1 STATE OF MICHIGAN 30th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM 2 CRIMINAL DIVISION 3 4 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN 6 Case No. 14-1380-FH V 7 Hon. James S. Jamo JOHN C. KELSEY II, 8 Defendant. 9 10 JURY TRIAL - VOLUME 7 BEFORE THE HON. JAMES S. JAMO, CIRCUIT JUDGE 11 12 Ingham County, Michigan - Friday, June 5, 2015 13 **APPEARANCES:** JONATHAN C. ROTH (P72030) 14 For the People: Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 15 Ingham County Prosecutor's Office 303 W. Kalamazoo Street, 4th Fl. 16 Lansing, MI 48933 17 For the Defendant: BRIAN P. MORLEY (P58346) Fraser Trebilcock Davis & Dunlap, PC 124 W. Allegan Street 18 Suite 1000 Lansing, MI 48933 19 20 ALSO PRESENT: John C. Kelsey II, Defendant Detective Sergeant Kyle McPhee 21 Detective Trooper Troy Johnston 22 REPORTED BY: Melinda I. Dexter, RMR, CSR-4629 NCRA Realtime Systems Administrator 23 Official Court Reporter 313 W. Kalamazoo P.O. Box 40771 24 Lansing, MI 48901-7971 2.5

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3		
4	<u>WITNESSES: PEOPLE</u> TROY JOHNSTON	
5	Direct Examination (Cont'g) by Mr. Roth Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Morley	10 17
6	Direct Examination (Cont'g) by Mr. Roth Cross-Examination by Mr. Morley Redirect Examination by Mr. Roth	17 27 48
7		40
8	KYLE McPHEE Direct Examination by Mr. Roth Cross-Examination by Mr. Morley	57 68
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Roth	94
10	TROY JOHNSTON	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Roth Cross-Examination by Mr. Morley	99 101
12	People rest	104
13	Motion for directed verdict	106
14	Motion to excuse Jurors 8 and 11	109
15	WITNESSES: DEFENDANT LISA GREE-CRAM	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Morley Cross-Examination by Mr. Roth	113 123
17	LESLIE J. ROCHEFORT III	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Morley Cross-Examination by Mr. Roth	128 134
19		-
20	CHARLES FUNK Direct Examination by Mr. Morley Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Roth	137 143
21	Direct Examination (Cont'g) by Mr. Morley	143 148 172
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Roth Redirect Examination by Mr. Morley	172
23	RICHARD HOEKSEMA Direct Examination by Mr. Morloy	1 Q 1
24	Direct Examination by Mr. Morley Cross-Examination by Mr. Roth	181 181
25		

1	Defense rests	183
2	WITNESSES: PEOPLE REBUTTAL ALLAN AVERY	
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Roth	184
4		
5	EXHIBITS: PX#203 Photograph	RECEIVED 19
6	PX#204 Photograph PX#205 Photograph	19 19
7	PX#206 Photograph PX#207 Photograph	19 19
8	PX#208 Photograph PX#209 Photograph	19 19
9	PX#210 Photograph	19
10	PX#211 Photograph PX#212 Photograph	19 19
TO	DXE Photographs	36
11	DXG Power Point document DXH DVD	148 148
12		110
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		T	
1	Ingham County, Michigan	1	THE COURT: Mr. Adkins, will you please bring
2	Friday, June 5, 2015 - At 9:35 a.m.	2	in Juror No. 9.
3	THE COURT: Be seated, please.	3	(At 10:02 a.m., Juror No. 9
4	We are back on the record in the matter of	4	entered the courtroom.)
5	People versus Kelsey it is File No. 14-1380-FH for	5	MR. MORLEY: No, sir.
6	continuation of the jury trial.	6	THE COURT: All right.
7	And do I understand correctly, Mr. Roth, you	7	Change that. Sorry, Juror No. 9. We have the
8	have something you want to put on the record?	8	wrong number.
9	MR. ROTH: Two things, Your Honor, and I	9	We can send you back to the jury room. Thank
10	haven't had a chance to speak to Mr. Morley in depth this	10	you.
11	morning. The first we discussed briefly, and he had to	11	JUROR NO. 9: Wrong number. Okay.
12	step out. Trooper Warzywak, Mr. Morley has some	12	(At 10:03 a.m., Juror No. 9 left
13	MR. MORLEY: May we approach, Judge?	13	the courtroom.)
14	THE COURT: Sure.	14	MR. MORLEY: The second number I gave you,
15	(At 9:38 a.m., an at-the-bench	15	Judge.
16	discussion is held off the	16	THE BAILIFF: Which juror's name?
17	record.)	17	THE COURT: Juror No. 10, please.
18	THE COURT: All right. So getting back to the	18	(At 10:04 a.m., Juror No. 10
19	question of whether there is anything to put on the	19	entered the courtroom.)
20	record this morning before we bring in the jury.	20	MR. MORLEY: No, sir. Sorry.
21	Mr. Roth?	21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. ROTH: No, Your Honor.	22	Juror No. 10, I'm sorry, you can go back to the
23	THE COURT: Mr. Morley?	23	jury room. Thank you.
24	MR. MORLEY: No, sir.	24	(At 10:04 a.m., Juror No. 10 left
25	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to again caution	25	the courtroom.)
	4		6
1	those in the audience to have your cell phones and any	1	MR. MORLEY: Approach, Judge?
2	other electronic devices turned off with the exception of	2	THE COURT: Pardon?
3	attorneys or media. And if you are found to have any	3	MR. MORLEY: Approach?
4	electronic device on you, you will not just on silent	4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	but on in any way, you will be removed from the courtroom	5	(At 10:04 a.m., an at-the-bench
6	and not be allowed back in for the proceedings today.	6	discussion is held off the
7	And with that, let's bring the jury in.	7	record.)
8	MR. MORLEY: Judge, could you hold off on the	8	THE COURT: Let's put on the record, though,
9	jury, please? Can we approach?	9	the reason for the bench conferences.
10	THE COURT: Yes.	10	MR. ROTH: That would be fine, Your Honor.
11	(At 9:54 a.m., an at-the-bench	11	THE COURT: And just and the reasons for
12	discussion is held off the	12	having called Juror No. 9 and 10 into the courtroom, I
13	record.)	13	think we need to make at least some record of that at
14	MR. MORLEY: Approach, Judge?	14	this point.
15	THE COURT: Yes.	15	Mr. Morley?
16	(At 9:58 a.m., an at-the-bench	16	MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge. As we were
17	discussion is held off the	17	about to commence, I consulted with my client who advised
18	record.)	18	me make sure I say this the right way. Mr. Kelsey was
19	MR. MORLEY: I'm correct on those numbers,	19	transported to the court this morning in a State Police
20	Judge.	20	marked vehicle. Per State Police policy, he was in the
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	21	front seat with the sergeant, I believe.
22	Are you ready, Mr. Roth?	22	Mr. Kelsey believes that he made eye contact,
23	MR. ROTH: I'm ready.	23	connected eyes, if you will, with one of the jurors who
. ·	THE COURT: Mr. Morley, are you ready?	24	was outside smoking. I approached the Court with that
24			
24 25	MR. MORLEY: Yes.	25	and prosecutor. In our discussion, the issue was the

1	jury can't know in court that the Defendant may or may	1		MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	not be in custody.	2		TROY JOHNSTON
3	The question is, is this too attenuated as it	3		called by the People at 10:09 a.m., sworn by the Court,
4	was outside of the court. Mr. Kelsey, in consulting with	4		testified:
5	me, was of the belief that it was either Juror No. 9 or	5		DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'G)
6	No. 10.	6		BY MR. ROTH:
7	At our bench conference and tell me if I'm	7	Q.	We were talking yesterday when we left off about the LG
8	stepping on your place, Judge. At our bench conference,	8		phone that was found on the Defendant's person on
9	we brought out No. 9. Mr. Kelsey was sure that it was a	9		December 12th corresponds to the Cellebrite report we
10	male. No. 9 was a female. Brought out No. 10. It was	10		have from 183. So starting with this, what was the cell
11	the wrong person.	11		phone number for this phone?
12	At the conclusion of the bench conference, I	12	A.	Cell phone number was 810 844-6830.
13	think counsel has agreed that to the extent there is any	13	Q.	Who was the service provider?
14	taint, it's there, so we will continue with the next	14	A.	l'm sorry, 6380.
15	er, excuse me, Trooper Johnston's testimony. During that	15	Q.	6380. Thank you. Who was
16	testimony, Mr. Kelsey and I will consult as to whether or	16	A.	The service provider was Sprint.
17	not he's able to identify the proposed juror at the next	17	Q.	More specifically; Boost?
18	break. If he can, in fact, identify that juror, we will	18	A.	Oh, it was a Boost mobile phone, yes.
19	I think the proposed procedure was that we will ask	19	0.	What does that mean?
20	that alleged that juror whether or not they saw	20	-	A Boost phone is basically a prepaid cell phone, prepaid
21	Mr. Kelsey before court this morning.	21		minutes.
22	If the answer is no, it's the end of the	22	0	Are you able to tell from the Cellebrite report when it
23	inquiry. If the answer is yes, further inquiry would be	23	×۰	was activated?
24	along the lines of "What were the circumstances	24	٨	Yes.
25	surrounding that contact?" I think that accurately	24	<b>А.</b> О.	I'm going to give you the report. If you can tell me
2.5	8	25	×۰	10
-				· · · · · ·
1	conveys what we discussed.	1		what page to open to for that.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Roth, do you agree that's	2		(Approaching the witness.)
3	accurate?	3		Okay. It's Page No. 3.
4	MR. ROTH: I agree at least how to go forward.	4	Q.	Thank you. When was this Boost phone activated?
5	We'll make a decision as to I think the questions are	5	A.	On December 12th, 2014.
6	fine, but make a decision as to what their impact of	6	Q.	So on the day that the phone was found?
7	their answers may have later.	7	А.	Correct.
8	THE COURT: All right. Very good. Okay.	8	Q.	How far back does the information in the phone go?
9	Well, then, let's bring the jury in.	9	A.	December 12th, 2014.
10	(At 10:08 a.m., the jury entered	10	Q.	Did you find any information relative excuse me,
11	the courtroom.)	11		relevant for your investigation on this phone?
12	THE COURT: Please be seated.	12	A.	We found very little.
13	Good morning, Members of the Jury.	13	Q.	And why was that?
14	VARIOUS JURORS: Good morning.	14	А.	Because it had just been activated.
15	THE COURT: We're going to proceed now.	15	Q.	When you get these reports normally from phones that had
16	Mr. Roth?	16		been in usage, are they much more voluminous?
17	MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. People would	17	A.	Yes, they are.
18	continue with Detective Trooper Troy Johnston.	18	Q.	Now moving to the Cellebrite I apologize, the Lantern
19	THE COURT: I'm going to administer the oath	19		report 184, did this correspond to the iPhone that the
20	again to you.	20		Defendant had on his person?
21	Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the	21	A.	Yes, it did.
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	22	Q.	What kind of phone is this?
23	TROOPER JOHNSTON: Yes, I do.	23	A.	This was an iPhone 5S.
24	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.	24	Q.	Who was the service provider?
25	Mr. Roth?	25	A.	Sprint again.
	9			11

			1		
1	Q.	Were you able to tell when this physical phone was	1	A.	734 680-9486.
2		activated?	2	Q.	Thank you. Looking at 186, the line sheet report that
3	A.	Yes.	3		Detective Sergeant Tinkle testified about yesterday, you
4	Q.	When was that?	4		reviewed this document?
5	A.	I'd have to	5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Would you like the report?	6	Q.	And was some follow-up done regarding some information
7	A.	Yeah.	7		regarding this document?
8	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)	8	A.	Yes.
9	A.	Okay. There is no page number but I left it open to the	9	Q.	Very good. So he testified about the first two phone
10		page.	10		calls in the early morning hours of December 7th. There
11	Q.	Thank you.	11		is then a period of inactivity. Do you know about how
12	A.	It was activated on December 12th, 2014.	12		long that period of inactivity was?
13	Q.	So, again, that day?	13	A.	I believe it was about seven hours.
14	A.	Yes.	14	О.	There is a call then at 8:05, and then that's an incoming
15		MR. ROTH: One moment, Your Honor.	15	C	call that was not answered. Is that correct?
16		THE COURT: Sure.	16	A.	Correct.
17		BY MR. ROTH:	17		And then we have two outgoing calls. What number was the
18	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)	18	×.	Defendant calling for those two?
19	-	Yes. Okay. It was actually activated on December 10th.	19	Δ	734 476-2359.
20	11.	The page I was looking at had activity from	20		Were you able to determine who that phone number belonged
21		December 12th. My mistake.	21	Q٠	to?
22	Q.	So looking at this page on the screen, it was actually	21	A.	l don't believe so.
23	Q.	two days earlier, December 10th, 2014?	23	<b>Q</b> .	And why not?
24	٨	Yes. Yes.	23	Q. A.	
24	<b>А.</b> О.	And so was any information from any prior phone	24	л.	his contacts. And further follow-up with law enforcement
2.5	Q.	12	2.5		14
1		transferred onto this phone?	1		database, I don't think we were able to match that number
2	٨	I believe there were some voicemails that were	2		to anybody.
3	л.	transferred, but	3	0	All right. And then after those calls, we have another
	0	Contacts, texts, pictures; anything like that?	4	Q.	number. What's that number?
4	Q. A.	No. There were none of that.	_	٨	734 954-0052.
6	~	Did you find anything relevant for the investigation on	5	<b>А.</b> Q.	At what time was the first number excuse me, the first
7	Q.	this phone beyond that it had just been activated?	7	Q.	time the Defendant called that phone number?
8	A.	No.	8	A.	At 9:20 a.m. on December 7th.
9	<b>Q</b> .	Did you obtain similar reports for Brian and Tony	9	<b>Q</b> .	Did he call that number again that day?
	Q.	Hildabridle phones?			Yes, he did.
10	٨	Yes.	10	A.	At what time?
11	A.		11	Q.	
12	Q.	And what did they contain?	12		At 10:18 in the morning.
13	A.	Very little.	13	Q.	Thank you. Did you identify who that number belonged to?
14	Q.	In what way?	14	A.	Yes.
15	А.	Well, the phones appeared to have been wiped or	15	Q.	Who?
16		information deleted from the phones prior to us acquiring	16	А.	I believe this is the number that belonged to
17	0	them.	17	0	Jerry Strunk.
18	Q.	Subsequent to December 7th, 2014?	18	Q.	Is that the same Jerry that Brian Hildabridle mentioned?
19	A.	Yes.	19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Very good. Do you know what Brian Hildabridle's cell	20	Q.	Did you learn Jerry Strunk's address?
21		phone number was?	21	A.	Yes.
22	A.	I'd have to check my notes.	22	Q.	What is it?
23	Q.	Go ahead.	23		11033, I believe, Dexter Pinckney Road in Pinckney.
24	A.	I believe it was 734 205-8918.	24	Q.	Showing you Exhibit 188, roughly where is that relative
25	Q.	And what about Tony Hildabridle?	25		to the Defendant's parents' house?
		13			15

				_	
1	A.	I believe that it was southwest of the Defendant's	1	Q.	Could you describe what you found as it relates to
2		parents' house. If I could just check my notes here.	2		vehicles at the Strunk residence?
3	-	Go ahead.	3	А.	We found various or several vehicles that were in various
4	A.	Yeah. It's approximately nine miles southwest of the	4		stages of it looked like he was some of these
5		8041 Teahen Road.	5		vehicles were running. Some of them were not. Some of
6	Q.	Thank you. And did you go to Jerry Strunk's residence?	6		them were disassembled. There were parts to vehicles.
7	A.	Yes, I did.	7		Piles of parts for vehicles and other scrap piles
8	Q.	When?	8		throughout the property.
9	A.	It was again, I'd have to check my notes, but it was	9	Q.	And do these pictures document that?
10		in May, I believe.	10	А.	Yes.
11	Q.	Of '15?	11		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, again, I would move for
12	A.	Of '15, yes.	12		admission. I think they are relevant; in that, we had
13	Q.	Thank you.	13		testimony that the day after this accident, the Defendant
14	A.	May 14th of 2015.	14		went there to swap out a vehicle. And then to
15		MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,	15		corroborate that at this residence, there is evidence as
16		Your Honor?	16		well as the ability to break down a vehicle.
17		THE COURT: You may.	17		THE COURT: Can I see
18		BY MR. ROTH:	18		MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?
19	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)	19		THE COURT: Sure.
20		Showing you what's been marked as Proposed	20		(At 10:22 a.m., an at-the-bench
21		Exhibits 203 through 212. Would you take a moment and	21		discussion is held off the
22		look through those?	22		record.)
23		Are each of these a fair and accurate picture	23		THE COURT: All right. As to the 401/403
24		of what you observed at the Strunk residence?	24		objection, I am going to overrule it. Although correctly
25	A.	Yes.	25		stated, I think the photographs do not indicate in the
		16			18
1		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'd move for admission	1		in and of themselves evidence of breaking down or ability
2		of Proposed Exhibits 203 through 212.	2		to break down vehicles.
3		MR. MORLEY: Voir dire, Your Honor?	3		They are the photographs are still relevant
4		THE COURT: You may.	4		and probative in corroboration with the testimony
5		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	5		regarding the multiple vehicles at this residence in
6		BY MR. MORLEY:	6		connection with the Defendant having gone there the day
7	Q.	Detective, these are photographs that you took at a	7		after the incident.
8		residence in Livingston County about three weeks ago,	8		(At 10:24 a.m., PX#203 through
9		right?	9		PX#212 are received.)
10	A.	They're photographs that Trooper Buege took at that same	10		MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
11		residence while I was present.	11		MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.
12	Q.	You were there, right?	12		BY MR. ROTH:
13	A.	Yes.	13	Q.	189 is the Strunk residence?
14	Q.	And at that time you also conducted an investigation, and	14	A.	Yes.
15		there was no evidence of any any vehicle related in	15	Q.	Could you please describe the property?
16		any way to what we're here on today, right?	16	A.	The property is it's a rental house that Jerry Strunk
17	A.	Correct.	17		has lived at for several years. There is what you're
18		MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object on	18		looking at in the photograph here is the front of the
19		401 and 403; relevancy and prejudicial to the Court.	19		house between the house and the road, and then there is a
20		THE COURT: Mr. Roth?	20		lot of property to the rear of the house that includes
21		MR. ROTH: I can lay a little further	21		the vehicles you know, a number of vehicles and scrap
22		foundation.	22		piles.
23		THE COURT: All right.	23	Q.	Thank you. What do we see in 203?
24		DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'G)	24		203 is this is the driveway directly behind the house.
25		BY MR. ROTH:	25		Pictured here is a Ford pickup that's up on jack stands.
20		17			19

1	0	It appears to be in the process of being worked on.	1	A.	
2	Q.	Where on the property is this?	2	Q.	All right. I want to ask you about a tip that Mr. Morley
3	A.	This is behind the Strunk residence.	3		asked Trooper Moots about yesterday. I believe it's Tip
4	Q.	Behind the actual house?	4		90. Do you know the one I'm referring to?
5	A.	Behind the house, yes.	5		Yes.
6	Q.	What do we see in 204?	6	Q.	And Trooper Moots indicated that he was not the one that
7	А.	<b>j</b> ,	7		followed up on it. Were you able to confirm or dispel
8		vehicles that were parked there. The first that the	8		and just for the record, this is the one regarding Jakob
9		blue Dodge. As you can see in the windshield, there is a	9		Novak. Were you able to confirm or dispel his possible
10		part of the dash that's been disassembled and laying up	10		involvement?
11	0	on the near the steering wheel. What do we see in 205?	11	_	Yes, I was.
12	Q.		12	Q.	First, what was your conclusion based on that? That the vehicle associated with this tip was not the
13	A.		13	А.	
14	Q.	206? There is you can see the vehicle parked there and also	14	0	suspect vehicle. How did you arrive at that conclusion?
15	А.	the scrap piles.	15	Q.	
16	0		16 17	А.	We spoke to Detective Sergeant Gee-Cram spoke to the owner of the vehicle, and we learned that it was a
17	Q.	So what do we see, for example, here in the scrap pile?			
18		There is a what appears to be a door to a vehicle. Are there various disassembled pieces of vehicle	18 19		different style vehicle.
19	Q.				MR. MORLEY: Objection. Hearsay. MR. ROTH: Your Honor, we talked about this
20	A.	throughout the property and in these piles? There are.	20		·
21		What do we see in 207?	21 22		yesterday. If we're going to open the door to allowing the tips for effect on the listener, then the officers
22 23	Q. <b>A.</b>	Two more vehicles parked on the property.	22		have to be able to testify how they're able to disprove
24	<b>д.</b>	208?	23		or discredit the tip.
24	-	Again, this is another vehicle. And behind that is	24		MR. MORLEY: No, Judge. The tip is the non-
2.5	Π.	20	2.5		22
1		another pile of scrap, includes a fender to a vehicle and	1		hearsay part. What they learned during the subsequent
-		another plic of serup, menuces a render to a venicle and	±		nearsay part. What they learned during the subsequent
2		the tire.	2		what they did during the subject investigation can be
2	0.	<b>the tire.</b> We see that closer in 2092	2		what they did during the subject investigation can be conveyed but what they heard is hearsay
3	Q. A.	We see that closer in 209?	3		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay.
3 4	A.	We see that closer in 209? <b>Yes.</b>	3 4		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on
3		We see that closer in 209? <b>Yes.</b> What do we see in 210?	3		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what
3 4 5	<b>A.</b> Q.	We see that closer in 209? <b>Yes.</b>	3 4 5		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on
3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile.	3 4 5 6		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did.
3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there?	3 4 5 6 7		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did?
3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q.	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance; Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>We see that closer in 209?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>What do we see in 210?</li> <li>A large scrap pile.</li> <li>Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there?</li> <li>There is, yes.</li> <li>What do we see in 211?</li> <li>211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>We see that closer in 209?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>What do we see in 210?</li> <li>A large scrap pile.</li> <li>Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there?</li> <li>There is, yes.</li> <li>What do we see in 211?</li> <li>211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts.</li> <li>And 212. What do we see?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209?Yes.What do we see in 210?A large scrap pile.Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughoutthere?There is, yes.What do we see in 211?211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you cansee there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion ofthe building there is, looks like, some fenders and othervehicle parts.And 212. What do we see?Two more vehicles parked on the property.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts. And 212. What do we see? Two more vehicles parked on the property. Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizable	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts. And 212. What do we see? Two more vehicles parked on the property. Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizable as complete vehicles did you find on the property?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are admitted and received.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts. And 212. What do we see? Two more vehicles parked on the property. Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizable as complete vehicles did you find on the property? I would estimate maybe 12.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are admitted and received. MR. ROTH: Was it 203 through 212?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts. And 212. What do we see? Two more vehicles parked on the property. Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizable as complete vehicles did you find on the property? I would estimate maybe 12. And that does not include the parts, the disassembled	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	<pre>conveyed but what they heard is hearsay.     THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did?     MR. ROTH: What they did.     THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you.     THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are admitted and received.     MR. ROTH: Was it 203 through 212?     THE COURT: I think that was what you said.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts. And 212. What do we see? Two more vehicles parked on the property. Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizable as complete vehicles did you find on the property? I would estimate maybe 12. And that does not include the parts, the disassembled parts scattered throughout?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	<pre>conveyed but what they heard is hearsay.     THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did?     MR. ROTH: What they did.     THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you.     THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are admitted and received.     MR. ROTH: Was it 203 through 212?     THE COURT: I think that was what you said.     MR. ROTH: Thank you.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209? Yes. What do we see in 210? A large scrap pile. Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughout there? There is, yes. What do we see in 211? 211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you can see there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion of the building there is, looks like, some fenders and other vehicle parts. And 212. What do we see? Two more vehicles parked on the property. Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizable as complete vehicles did you find on the property? I would estimate maybe 12. And that does not include the parts, the disassembled parts scattered throughout? No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are admitted and received. MR. ROTH: Was it 203 through 212? THE COURT: I think that was what you said. MR. ROTH: Thank you. May I ask of the Court, I'm going to talk about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We see that closer in 209?Yes.What do we see in 210?A large scrap pile.Again, there is pieces of vehicles scattered throughoutthere?There is, yes.What do we see in 211?211 is an old building. There is a vehicle that you cansee there, a pickup. And then in the rafter portion ofthe building there is, looks like, some fenders and othervehicle parts.And 212. What do we see?Two more vehicles parked on the property.Thank you. Approximately how many vehicles recognizableas complete vehicles did you find on the property?I would estimate maybe 12.And that does not include the parts, the disassembledparts scattered throughout?No.Now, obviously this is five months after the crash on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	conveyed but what they heard is hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, I'm not sure I'm clear on what it is what you're trying to elicit. Is it what they did? MR. ROTH: What they did. THE COURT: All right. Overruled. BY MR. ROTH: Go ahead. So we confirmed that that was not the suspect vehicle. Based on physical appearance? Based on physical appearance, the type of vehicle it is. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Roth, just so it's clear while you're looking for that, since I didn't say it after ruling on the objection, Exhibits 203 through 212 are admitted and received. MR. ROTH: Was it 203 through 212? THE COURT: I think that was what you said. MR. ROTH: Thank you. May I ask of the Court, I'm going to talk about 167 through 182. I believe about half have already been

			1			_
1		THE COURT: They were.	1	А.	Yes, it was.	
2		MR. ROTH: They've already been admitted?	2	Q.	Did you determine who owned that Morton Road residence,	
3		THE COURT: 174 through	3		4109 Morton Road?	
4		MR. ROTH: 182?	4	A.	Yes, we did.	
5		THE COURT: I believe so.	5	Q.	Who?	
6		MR. ROTH: Very good.	6	A.	John Kelsey.	
7		THE COURT: Yesterday. They were admitted	7	Q.	Do you know when he purchased that residence?	
8		yesterday.	8	A.	I do not I don't have the specific date in mind.	
9		MR. ROTH: Very good. Thank you, Your Honor.	9	Q.	In 2014?	
10		BY MR. ROTH:	10	A.	l believe so.	
11	Q.	With that, I want to talk about the property on the	11	Q.	Very good. And at the time in December of 2014, he owned	
12		Defendant's person on December 12th. We've already heard	12		that residence?	
13		testimony about 167, his clothing. Is that correct?	13	A.	Yes.	
14	A.	Yes.	14	Q.	What do we see in 177?	
15	Q.	And the beanie in 168?	15	A.	This is a Visa debit card. KPF Valued Customer. Looks	
16	A.	Yes.	16		like a rewards card.	
17	Q.	Trooper Adamczyk talked about this document here on 169,	17	Q.	Very good. And we just see the back on 178?	
18		a tabulation. Could you explain briefly what that is?	18	A.	Yes.	
19	A.	Yes. The two phones, the LG phone and the iPhone 5S,	19	Q.	Were you able to make out what the signature is?	
20		were seized from the Defendant pursuant to a search	20	A.	It appears the signature is John Kelsey.	
21		warrant, and a tabulation was made out and given to him.	21	Q.	Can't be sure, though?	
22	Q.	Thank you. 168. Just to back up. The black hat, was	22	A.	No.	
23	C	that pertinent to your investigation?	23	Q.	Very good. 179. What do we see?	
24	A.	Yes, it was.	24	-	It's a Costco Wholesale membership card.	
25	Q.	Why was that?	25	0.	Back side of 180. What do we see?	
	C	. 24		·	26	
1	A.	It's a hat that the Defendant was seen wearing on	1	A.	The name Frank Kelsey.	1
2		multiple occasions, including at the Dam Site Inn that	2	Q.	And a corresponding picture?	
3		night or the night of the sixth.	3	-	It appears to be Frank Kelsey.	
4	Q.	Did it corroborate what several other witnesses had	4	Q.	That's the back of the Costco card?	
5	χ.	reported as well?	5	<u>с</u> . А.	Yes.	
6	A.		6	Q.	Thank you. 181. What do we see?	
7	Q.	Very good. What do we see in 174?	7	A.	This is a Mugg & Bopps rewards card.	
8	A.	174 is a Michigan identification card with a picture of	8	Q.	Mugg & Bopps is the Marathon station	
9		the Defendant and the name John Coryell Kelsey.	9	<u>х</u> .	Yes, it is.	
10	Q.	What is the listed address on this?	10	0.	where the pursuit began at? And 182. We have the	
11	۹.	The address on this is 8041 Teahen Road, Brighton.	11	Q٠	back of that card?	
12	Q.	Thank you. 175. What do we see?	12	A.	Yes.	
13	۹.	This is a Michigan temporary personal identification with	13	11.	MR. ROTH: Very good. I have nothing further	
14		the name John Coryell Kelsey again on it.	14		of this witness, Your Honor.	
15	Q.	What address is listed on this one?	15		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.	
16	Q. A.	This one is 8041 Teahen Road again.	16		Mr. Morley, you may question the witness.	
17	Q.	And when does that expire?	17		MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.	
18	Q. A.	November 3rd, 2013.	18		Judge, I'm going to need just a minute. I'm	
19	Q.	Very good. What do we see next in 176?	19		going to grab just a couple of exhibits.	
20	Q. A.	Again, this is a Michigan temporary personal	20		THE COURT: Sure. No problem.	
20	<b>-11</b> +	identification card. It's John Coryell Kelsey. Again,	20		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
		the address on this one is 4109 Morton Road, Stockbridge,	21		BY MR. MORLEY:	
22 23			22	0	Troop, I believe you testified that you went to the	
	Q.	and the expiration date is February 1st, 2015. So this is the most recent of what he had on him at the		Q.		
24		So this is the most recent of what he had off filled the	24		Strunk residence on May 14th, I believe, of this year?	
25	Q.		25	٨	Vec	
25	ν.	time? 25	25	A.	Yes. 27	

1	Q.	Your earlier testimony was that you saw that a phone call	1	А.	No.
2		was made and you identified it as Mr. Strunk's in	2	Q.	There was testimony yesterday er, I'm sorry, an
3		December of '14?	3		exhibit yesterday regarding a NAPA bulb. Do you remember
4	А.	Yes.	4		that?
5	Q.	Was there any other contact with Mr. Strunk in that	5		Yes.
6		intervening time period between December of '14 and mid-	6	Q.	And you conducted a follow-up investigation on that NAPA
7		May of '1 5?	7		bulb?
8	A.	There may have been. I didn't	8		Yes.
9	Q.	By you?	9	Q.	You found and I'll find the specific part, but you
10	А.	Sorry?	10		found that that NAPA bulb can fit an awful lot of cars.
11	Q.	By you?	11		Literally thousands, right?
12	A.	l'm sorry?	12		Yes.
13	Q.	Did you make any other contact?	13	Q.	And specifically over a pretty good time frame as well,
14	А.	Oh, did I make any other contact? No, I did not.	14		right?
15	Q.	All right. And I asked you on voir dire, but, in fact,	15	A.	Right.
16		you prepared a report in this matter, right?	16	Q.	•
17	A.		17		that can be used literally on thousands of both domestic
18	Q.	And you investigated thoroughly everything out there, and	18		and foreign cars, right?
19		you found nothing to indicate that there was any evidence	19	A.	I didn't count them all, but
20		related, in any way, to this matter, true?	20	Q.	lt
21	A.	Correct.	21	A.	Safe to say it would be hundreds.
22	Q.	And we saw a bunch of photographs of junk. Did you take	22	Q.	Well, would reviewing your report help?
23		photographs or find any, I don't know, cutting torches,	23	A.	I don't think I completed a report on this. I believe
24		saws, acetylene tanks, or anything like that, that cuts	24		Detective Sergeant McPhee did.
25		up cars?	25	Q.	You're right. I stand corrected, but you were with him,
		28			30
-					
1	A.	We did not.	1		right?
1 2	<b>A.</b> Q.	<b>We did not.</b> Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what	1 2	A.	right? I was.
	~			<b>A.</b> Q.	•
2	~	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what	2		l was.
2 3	Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars?	2 3		<b>I was.</b> Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds
2 3 4	Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? <b>Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car,</b> <b>yes.</b> Like, what else?	2 3 4	Q.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period?
2 3 4 5	Q. <b>A.</b>	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws.	2 3 4 5	Q. <b>A.</b>	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? <b>Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car,</b> <b>yes.</b> Like, what else?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>I was.</li> <li>Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Over about a 20-year model period?</li> <li>Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.</li> <li>You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>I was.</li> <li>Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Over about a 20-year model period?</li> <li>Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.</li> <li>You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Would you agree with me that that's less than about a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>I was.</li> <li>Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Over about a 20-year model period?</li> <li>Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.</li> <li>You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>I was.</li> <li>Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Over about a 20-year model period?</li> <li>Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.</li> <li>You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station?</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>I was.</li> <li>Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Over about a 20-year model period?</li> <li>Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.</li> <li>You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>(Approaching the witness.)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've heard testimony that allegedly Mr. Kelsey's residence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right? Yes. Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station? Yes. (Approaching the witness.) Let me show you what's previously been admitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>I was.</li> <li>Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Over about a 20-year model period?</li> <li>Again, I didn't look at the document in depth.</li> <li>You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>(Approaching the witness.)</li> <li>Let me show you what's previously been admitted as Defendant's C. Ask you to take a look at those,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've heard testimony that allegedly Mr. Kelsey's residence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right? Yes. Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station? Yes. (Approaching the witness.) Let me show you what's previously been admitted as Defendant's C. Ask you to take a look at those, please. And we've established previously that that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've heard testimony that allegedly Mr. Kelsey's residence was I believe the testimony was about a half-mile from that Marathon station? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right? Yes. Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station? Yes. (Approaching the witness.) Let me show you what's previously been admitted as Defendant's C. Ask you to take a look at those, please. And we've established previously that that's the Sunoco station, and it came from the the video at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've heard testimony that allegedly Mr. Kelsey's residence was I believe the testimony was about a half-mile from that Marathon station?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right? Yes. Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station? Yes. (Approaching the witness.) Let me show you what's previously been admitted as Defendant's C. Ask you to take a look at those, please. And we've established previously that that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Is that do you know, because I don't, is that what cuts up cars? Well, that's one of many things that could cut up a car, yes. Like, what else? Plasma cutters, saws. Find anything like that? We did not photograph anything like that. Find anything like that? I don't remember seeing anything like that out there. Probably would have been in your report if you had, right? Well, we didn't have access to the garage and the tool area; things like that. We're looking at the yard. As you sit here today, do you know where the alleged vehicle is that was involved in this chase? No, I do not. Do you know you've been in the courtroom. You've heard testimony that allegedly Mr. Kelsey's residence was I believe the testimony was about a half-mile from that Marathon station? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I was. Were you so you're safe to say it's at least hundreds of vehicles? Yes. Over about a 20-year model period? Again, I didn't look at the document in depth. You previously testified that there were no other white SUVs in the area at the time this traffic stop commenced, right? Yes. But you're also aware of the white SUV that was seen at the Sunoco station at 2 o'clock that morning around the corner from the Marathon station, right? Yes. Would you agree with me that that's less than about a half a mile away from the Marathon station? Yes. (Approaching the witness.) Let me show you what's previously been admitted as Defendant's C. Ask you to take a look at those, please. And we've established previously that that's the Sunoco station, and it came from the the video at that

1		that that appears to depict a white SUV, right?	1	Q.	Any reason to disagree with me if I tell you it was 271?
2	A.	Yes.	2		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
3	Q.	How is it your testimony that there were no other white	3		The witness says he doesn't know. Mr. Morley can't then
4		SUVs in the area at the time?	4		suggest a number. We've done this numerous times. There
5	A.	There were no other white SUVs like the ones we've seen	5		is a lack of foundation.
6		in L & B, Mugg & Bopps and Marathon. This SUV, while	6		MR. MORLEY: And the last time, Judge, I asked,
7		being white, has some distinguishing characteristics that	7		and you allowed it in.
8		were not apparent on those other videos.	8		THE COURT: What is your question again?
9	Q.	I understand, but all of the other videos we're talking	9		MR. MORLEY: I'm asking if he has any reason to
10		about are pre-chase photos, right?	10		disagree with me if it has 271 white SUVs that passed by
11	A.	Yes.	11		the camera.
12	Q.	So	12		THE COURT: Overruled.
13	A.	As of this one.	13		BY MR. MORLEY:
14	Q.	at the time you see this, you can't compare well,	14	Q.	Do you have any reason to disagree with me if I told you
15		as you sit here today, you can't compare Defendant's C to	15		there were 271 white SUVs that went by the cameras?
16		any post-chase or during-chase video, right?	16	A.	Yes.
17	A.	Correct.	17	Q.	You do?
18	Q.	You set up well, you didn't set him up. Trail cam	18	A.	l do.
19		videos were set up, right?	19	Q.	What is your reason for the disagreement?
20	A.	Trail cam trail cameras were set up, not to take video	20	A.	These trail cameras, if you're familiar with trail
21		but still photos. You're right.	21		cameras, they take multiple pictures of a moving object.
22	Q.	You're right. I stand corrected. And those are am I	22		So there are while there are multiple pictures of
23		right that's a hunter's type of tool, deer hunting type	23		white SUVs, many of these pictures are the same white SUV
24		thing?	24		as it travels through the path of the camera.
25	A.	It is.	25	Q.	Okay. Stated differently, do you agree with me that
		32			34
1	Q.	When and well, it's a compound question. When were	1		there are at least 271 images of white SUVs on those
2		those set up?	2		or within those trail pictures?
3	A.	I believe they were set up that day, the day of	3	A.	That sounds reasonable.
4		December 7th.	4		MR. MORLEY: Thank you.
5	Q.	Why were they set up?	5		Approach the witness, Your Honor?
6	A.	They were set up as another tool in the investigation to	6		THE COURT: You may.
7		in an attempt to get a photograph of possibly the	7		BY MR. MORLEY:
8		suspect vehicle that may return to the scene of the	8	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)
9		crash.	9		I'd like to show you what's been marked as
10	Q.	And did you review the trail cam video?	10		Defendant's Proposed D and ask you to review those,
11	A.	The photos?	11		please.
12		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object to	12	A.	Okay.
13		the question. It's very clear that they're still photos.	13	Q.	And those are actually still photos taken from or gleaned
14		MR. MORLEY: That's just sloppy on my part.	14		from the DVD that contained all of the trail cam
15		BY MR. MORLEY:	15		pictures. Do you believe that does that appear to be
16	Q.	Did you review	16		the angle of the trail cam pictures?
17		MR. MORLEY: Did you need to rule on that?	17	A.	Yes.
18		THE COURT: That's okay. Go ahead and restate	18	Q.	Do you think that fairly and accurately represents
19		it.	19		pictures from the trail cam
20		MR. MORLEY: I apologize.	20	A.	Yes.
21		BY MR. MORLEY:	21	Q.	photos?
22	Q.	Did you review the trail cam photos?	22		MR. MORLEY: Move for the admission of
23	A.	l did.	23		Defendant's D, Your Honor.
24	Q.	And how many white SUVs went through there?	24		MR. ROTH: Without objection.
25	A.	I didn't count the number of white SUVs, but there was	25		THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit D is admitted
		33			35
1			_		

1		and received.	1	A.	or VIN number, I wasn't able to associate it with a
2		(At 10:47 a.m., DXE is received.)	2	1.	person or residence.
3		MR. MORLEY: Approach the witness, Your Honor?	3	О.	Will you flip the page, please?
4		THE COURT: You may.	4	<u></u> . А.	
5		BY MR. MORLEY:	5	Q.	The last page, please.
6	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)	6	<u></u> . А.	Oh.
7	×.	I'm going to hand you back Exhibit D, but I'd	7	0.	The last page, although somewhat blurry, has a license
8		also like to show you Plaintiff's 110 and ask you a	8	χ.	plate visible, right?
9		couple of questions. On the cover page of Defendant's D	9	А.	Yes.
10		is we'll call it white but a light white SUV, right?	10	Q.	Make any attempts to highlight that license plate or run
11		MR. ROTH: May I approach just so I can see	11	Č.	it?
12		what we're talking about?	12	A.	Yes.
13		MR. MORLEY: Sure.	13	Q.	And?
14		THE COURT: Sure.	14	-	It comes back to a residence in Gregory.
15		MR. MORLEY: I'm so sorry. It's not my answer.	15		What did you find out? Gregory is where this alleged
16		MR. ROTH: Okay.	16		chase was headed?
17		BY MR. MORLEY:	17	A.	Yeah. In the general direction of Gregory.
18	Q.	So Defendant's D has a large white or light colored SUV,	18	0.	No. It's due east, isn't it?
19	C	correct?	19	A.	Yeah.
20	A.	Yes.	20	Q.	So it was right where this alleged chase was headed; due
21	0.	And Plaintiff's 110 is a photo of the SUV from the Dam	21		east on Dexter Trail, right?
22		Site, right?	22	A.	Right.
23	A.	Yes.	23	Q.	Make any follow-up investigation?
24	Q.	If you look at the front right well, let me ask you	24	A.	Yes.
25		this: Is that the same car?	25	Q.	What did you do?
		36			38
1	A.	No.	1	A.	We followed up with running the plate, finding out who
2	Q.	How do you know?	2		the registered owner was, and determined that this was
3	A.	The 110 is a longer vehicle than Defendant's D.	3		not the vehicle.
4	Q.	Did you investigate the cover page of Defendant's D?	4	Q.	Did you run we took a lot of testimony on phone
5	A.	Yes.	5		records. Did you run phone records or anything like that
6	Q.		6		
7		Okay. That particular car?	0		on the owners of that vehicle?
8	A.	Okay. That particular car? I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN	7	A.	on the owners of that vehicle? No.
Ŭ	А.	· ·		<b>A.</b> Q.	
9	<b>A.</b> Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN	7	_	No.
	_	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car.	7 8	Q.	<b>No.</b> Why not?
9	Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car?	7 8 9	Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the
9 10	Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out.	7 8 9 10	Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg &
9 10 11	Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have	7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b>	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell.
9 10 11 12	Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look	7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right?
9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right.
9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase? Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase? Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase? Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos. How do you know that? Because of the timeline.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't. We did.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>No.</li> <li>Why not?</li> <li>Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L &amp; B, Mugg &amp; Bopps, and Shell.</li> <li>Pre-chase photos, right?</li> <li>Right.</li> <li>Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase?</li> <li>Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos.</li> <li>How do you know that?</li> <li>Because of the timeline.</li> <li>Do you have any picture evidence, video, that can show me</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't. We did. You just said no. You didn't have any identifying marks.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase? Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos. How do you know that? Because of the timeline.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't. We did. You just said no. You didn't have any identifying marks. So you didn't investigate it, right?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase? Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos. How do you know that? Because of the timeline. Do you have any picture evidence, video, that can show me that that's the same vehicle involved in the chase? All of the vehicles
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't. We did. You just said no. You didn't have any identifying marks. So you didn't investigate it, right? We attempted to locate vehicles like this, and this one	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>No.</li> <li>Why not?</li> <li>Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L &amp; B, Mugg &amp; Bopps, and Shell.</li> <li>Pre-chase photos, right?</li> <li>Right.</li> <li>Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase?</li> <li>Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos.</li> <li>How do you know that?</li> <li>Because of the timeline.</li> <li>Do you have any picture evidence, video, that can show me that that's the same vehicle involved in the chase?</li> <li>All of the vehicles</li> <li>That's not my question.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't. We did. You just said no. You didn't have any identifying marks. So you didn't investigate it, right? We attempted to locate vehicles like this, and this one specifically, but because there is no registration	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No. Why not? Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L & B, Mugg & Bopps, and Shell. Pre-chase photos, right? Right. Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase? Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos. How do you know that? Because of the timeline. Do you have any picture evidence, video, that can show me that that's the same vehicle involved in the chase? All of the vehicles That's not my question depicted
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't know I don't have a registration or a VIN number associated with that car. Did you make any efforts to try and identify that car? You see it's got a headlight out. It looks like a running light, but it does appear to have a light out. We've we made several attempts to look at a lot of vehicles But I asked in the Stockbridge area. I asked if you investigated the cover page of Defendant's D. Did you? To the extent that I could, yes. But you didn't. We did. You just said no. You didn't have any identifying marks. So you didn't investigate it, right? We attempted to locate vehicles like this, and this one	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>No.</li> <li>Why not?</li> <li>Because this doesn't this vehicle doesn't match the vehicle depicted in the photographs at L &amp; B, Mugg &amp; Bopps, and Shell.</li> <li>Pre-chase photos, right?</li> <li>Right.</li> <li>Does this vehicle match the vehicle involved in the chase?</li> <li>Well, the vehicle involved in the chase is the same as the pre-chase photos.</li> <li>How do you know that?</li> <li>Because of the timeline.</li> <li>Do you have any picture evidence, video, that can show me that that's the same vehicle involved in the chase?</li> <li>All of the vehicles</li> <li>That's not my question.</li> </ul>

1		can show me that your alleged pre-chase photos are the	1	A.	l should say we viewed video in Gregory.
2		same ones as the vehicle involved in the chase?	2	Q.	From where?
3	A.	Not photographs, no.	3	A.	I'd have to I didn't personally do it, but I think it
4	Q.	Videos?	4		was maybe a store or a pharmacy in the Gregory area.
5	A.	Or videos.	5	Q.	What's the third route?
6	Q.	Any media? Anything in a media form?	6	A.	Again, it's up in that area. I don't recall from memory.
7	A.	Explain media. Like, what do you mean?	7	Q.	Did you get video from that area?
8	Q.	Video, photographs. I don't know what else you can have.	8	-	I believe I'm not sure the route. So I can't say for
9	×.	Camera photos. Any you're saying not photographs, not	9		sure that we did.
10		video, but you seem to be qualifying it. And I want to	10	Q.	Did you check a route from the Dam Site to Brighton?
11		make sure I'm clear there is no photograph, right?	11	A.	No, we did not.
12		Right?	12	Q.	Did you try to get video of a route from the Dam Site to
13	A.	Right.	13	C.	Brighton?
14	Q.	No video?	14	A.	No.
15	A.	Right.	15	Q.	Mr. Kelsey was arrested on December 12th of 2014, right?
16	Q.	And no other media. I'm sorry, I can't better define it.	16	A.	Yes.
17	A.	No. We put it there with the totality of the	17	Q.	Fair to say he wasn't in the Stockbridge area after that
18		investigation.	18	C.	time?
19	Q.	I understand that. That wasn't my question. When you	19	A.	After the arrest?
20	C	searched a residence in Brighton, did you find any title	20	Q.	Yes, sir.
21		or registration that shows that Mr. Kelsey possesses a	21	A.	Yes.
22		Yukon?	22	0.	So we've heard a lot of testimony that Mr. Kelsey was not
23	A.	No.	23	Ċ.	seen after December 7th, but after December 12th he
24	Q.	What route we heard testimony yesterday, and I got a	24		wasn't around, right?
25	×.	little confused, I apologize. Was more than one let	25	A.	Right.
_		40			42
1		me step back. You were asked yesterday regarding	1	Q.	These phones that we reviewed earlier, are there any text
2		maybe not you, but there's been testimony regarding the	2		messages or e-mails or anything in any way relating to
3		route from the Dam Site into Stockbridge. Do you recall	3		this incident?
4		that general testimony?	4	A.	From who?
5	A.	Yes.	5	Q.	Anybody. The Kelsey phones and the Hildabridle phones
6	Q.	In your investigation and these times to 11.3 miles at	6		that you talked about or testified to, excuse me.
7		certain miles an hour, how many different potential	7	A.	No. The phones have been deleted, wiped, the information
8		routes were used?	8		on them.
9	A.	I'm sorry, used?	9	Q.	Well, that's where I got a little bit confused. You
10	Q.	I take a different way to work every now and then. There	10		testified to two phones. One a Boost and one an iPhone.
11		is a different way to everywhere. How many different	11		My notes on the Boost you said it had just been
12		routes did you examine or investigate?	12		activated. Is that accurate?
13	A.	Well, I suppose there is several. There are specifically	13	A.	Yes.
14		maybe	14	Q.	What's "just been"? When?
15	Q.	But my question is, what did you investigate?	15	A.	It looked like it had been activated on the twelfth.
16	A.	How many did we investigate?	16	Q.	Of December?
17	Q.	Yes.	17	A.	Yes.
18	A.	Three different routes.	18	Q.	The incident happened on the seventh of December?
19	Q.	Which were?	19	A.	Yes.
20	A.	There is that's the furthest south, as I recall, but	20	Q.	And the iPhone, I believe you testified, was activated on
21		there is a couple that are north taking you through	21		December 10th?
22		Gregory.	22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Did you get video cameras through there?	23	Q.	I'm going to switch gears on you a little bit.
24	A.	We attempted to.	24		MR. MORLEY: Judge, could I have a moment? I
25	Q.	Did you get video cameras through there?	25		don't need a break, just a quick minute.
		41			43

				•	
1		THE COURT: Sure. Sure.	1	А.	At that point that's what it whoever is doing the
2		BY MR. MORLEY:	2		assigning, that's not my writing either, but they're
3	Q.	If I'm shortcutting any of this too quickly, please let	3		assigning it to me. Now, whether or not I actually did
4		me know, but you have been in the courtroom, and you've	4		it, there is another part of that tip form, the follow-up
5		heard me elicit testimony that Michigan State Police	5		part, that may be of that may be able to show you who
6		established or activated a tip line, I think, on	6		did the investigation.
7		December 7th, right?	7	Q.	Well, that's a good question.
8	A.	Yes.	8		MR. MORLEY: Approach again, Your Honor?
9	Q.	And as part of that tip line, stated differently, part of	9		THE COURT: Yes.
10		your job was to help investigate some of those tips,	10		BY MR. MORLEY:
11		right?	11	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)
12	A.	Yes.	12		Is that your writing on the bottom there by my
13	Q.	I'm not oversimplifying anything. They get assigned to	13		highlighting?
14		various investigators on the task force?	14	А.	That is my writing.
15	A.	Right.	15	Q.	All right. And that well, you want to use that to
16	Q.	And Tip No. 6 on December 8th of '14, you received	16		refresh your memory for a moment?
17		information that:	17	A.	Yes.
18		An anonymous caller on	18	Q.	Don't read it out loud, please.
19		December 8th at 6:15 overheard	19	A.	Okay.
20		conversation of two black males	20	Q.	Did you interview these sus these people at the Gun
21		and black female at Gun Lake	21		Lake Casino?
22		Casino in Battle Creek at	22	A.	No, I did not.
23		approximately 4 a.m.,	23		MR. MORLEY: Is it all right if I consult with
24		conversation was about getting	24		counsel, Judge?
25		away from police. They said they	25		THE COURT: Sure.
		44			46
1		were Lansing.	1		MR. MORLEY: (Talking to Mr. Roth off the
2		Do you recall that?	2		record.)
3	A.	Yes.	3		BY MR. MORLEY:
4	Q.	Did you investigate that?	4	Q.	Detective, and please correct me if I'm wrong because I
5	A.	The team did.	5		didn't take good notes on it, but you testified, I
6	Q.	Did you?	6		believe, yesterday regarding the route from the Dam Site
7	A.	I don't recall if I had anything to do with that. I	7		to Mr. Kelsey's alleged residence in Stockbridge. Do you
8		believe I was involved in it, whether it was taking the	8		recall that?
9		information down or assigning it to somebody else.	9	А.	Yes.
10	Q.	Well, that's what I'm asking because it says, and tell me	10	Q.	And I believe you said the route was Hell, Michigan I
11		if I'm wrong	11		forget some Patterson Lake and Doyle Road and M-106
12		MR. MORLEY: Approach the witness, Your Honor?	12		and then to Morton Road. Is that about accurate?
13		THE COURT: Yes.	13	А.	Yes.
14		BY MR. MORLEY:	14	Q.	This is where I'm a little bit confused. M-106 Morton
15	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)	15		Road goes west, right?
16					Right.
		I've looked at these. You probably know them	16	А.	
17		I've looked at these. You probably know them better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not	16 17	A. Q.	106 goes south, right?
				_	
17	А.	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b>	17	Q.	106 goes south, right? Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west. Before you get to Morton Road, right?
17 18	<b>A.</b> Q.	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b> And then there is biographical information, if you will.	17 18	Q. <b>A.</b>	106 goes south, right? Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west.
17 18 19	_	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b> And then there is biographical information, if you will. Then it is "Information reviewed by" and then there is an	17 18 19	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	106 goes south, right? Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west. Before you get to Morton Road, right?
17 18 19 20	_	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b> And then there is biographical information, if you will. Then it is "Information reviewed by" and then there is an "Assigned to" part. The assigned to is Troy Johnston.	17 18 19 20	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	106 goes south, right? Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west. Before you get to Morton Road, right? Right. But 106 goes south, right? Right.
17 18 19 20 21	Q.	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b> And then there is biographical information, if you will. Then it is "Information reviewed by" and then there is an "Assigned to" part. The assigned to is Troy Johnston. That's you, right?	17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	106 goes south, right? Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west. Before you get to Morton Road, right? Right. But 106 goes south, right? Right. So it's not part of the chase route?
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b> And then there is biographical information, if you will. Then it is "Information reviewed by" and then there is an "Assigned to" part. The assigned to is Troy Johnston. That's you, right? <b>Yes, it is.</b>	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>106 goes south, right?</li> <li>Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west.</li> <li>Before you get to Morton Road, right?</li> <li>Right.</li> <li>But 106 goes south, right?</li> <li>Right.</li> <li>So it's not part of the chase route?</li> <li>That's not, no.</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	better than I do, but the top part, some of that is not your handwriting, right? <b>Correct.</b> And then there is biographical information, if you will. Then it is "Information reviewed by" and then there is an "Assigned to" part. The assigned to is Troy Johnston. That's you, right?	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	106 goes south, right? Well, there is a there is a point that it's east/west. Before you get to Morton Road, right? Right. But 106 goes south, right? Right. So it's not part of the chase route?

- 1		These lange	1		Description 7th 2014 was such a transmission data
1		Thank you.	1		December 7th, 2014, you or the team checked the Defendant's Morton Road residence in Stockbridge for a
2		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.	2		•
3		Mr. Roth, do you have any redirect examination? MR. ROTH: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.	3	A.	white SUV. Do you recall that? Yes.
4 5		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	4 5		And nobody did at that time, correct?
6		BY MR. ROTH:	6	Q. <b>A.</b>	Right.
7	Q.	Trooper, can I give you the tip book. I'm going to ask	7	Q.	At the beginning of this investigation, did anybody know
8	Q.	some questions about it.	8	Q.	the Defendant as a suspect in terms of the police team?
9	Δ	Yes.	9	Δ	No, we did not.
10	0.	(Approaching the witness.)	10	0.	Did you have any reason to check his address at that
11	×۰	Mr. Morley asked you or started by asking you	11	×۰	point?
12		if you had any contact or the team had any contact with	12	A.	•
13		Jerry Strunk before May of 2015, and the answer was no,	13	0.	All right. So, first of all, talking about the Sunoco
14		correct, well, at least not that you're aware of?	14	χ.	SUV, you recall the testimony earlier, I think it was
15	A.		15		last week, by Trooper Rochefort about the vehicle turning
16	Q.	Why not?	16		in the opposite direction?
17	A.	I'm sorry, what was the date again?	17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Why no earlier contact with Jerry Strunk?	18	0.	
19	A.	Well, at the time we didn't know of Jerry Strunk.	19	C	pursuit?
20	Q.	In December, his phone number is in the phone. And at	20	A.	No.
21	-	some point Brian Hildabridle mentions him. Why was the	21	Q.	Certainly was not on Morton Road?
22		decision not made to follow up on it earlier, at least at	22	A.	
23		that particular location?	23	Q.	Now, you talked about physically that it's different, and
24	A.	Well, the you know, the investigation was rapidly	24		there is some issue made about what we're comparing to.
25		evolving. We had attempted to contact; we, meaning the	25		So I want to talk generally about the media evidence that
		48			50
1		team, had attempted to contact Jerry Strunk, I believe,	1		we have in this case. Are we able to see the white SUV
1 2		team, had attempted to contact Jerry Strunk, I believe, on the eleventh or twelfth, but	1 2		we have in this case. Are we able to see the white SUV in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out
2		on the eleventh or twelfth, but	2	A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out
2 3		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when?	2 3		in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue?
2 3 4		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/	2 3 4		in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? <b>Yes.</b>
2 3 4 5		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the	2 3 4 5		in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? <b>Yes.</b> We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get
2 3 4 5 6		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been	2 3 4 5 6		in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see
2 3 4 5 6 7		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. that it's the same SUV?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. Yes. Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. Yes. Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> <b>residence, but we had now we had information that it</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. - that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. - that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> <b>residence, but we had now we had information that it</b> <b>may now be somewhere else.</b> You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools may have stored when you were there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. • that it's the same SUV? Mow, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes. All right. So going backwards, is that the same white
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools may have stored when you were there? <b>Right.</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. Yes. Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes. All right. So going backwards, is that the same white SUV that we see in 157?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools may have stored when you were there? <b>Right.</b> Very good. So you don't know what tools were there or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes. All right. So going backwards, is that the same white SUV that we see in 157? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> - of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools may have stored when you were there? <b>Right.</b> Very good. So you don't know what tools were there or were not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes. All right. So going backwards, is that the same white SUV that we see in 157? Yes. And closer, I guess, in 158?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools may have stored when you were there? <b>Right.</b> Very good. So you don't know what tools were there or were not? <b>No, we don't.</b>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. - that it's the same SUV? Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes. All right. So going backwards, is that the same white SUV that we see in 157? Yes. And closer, I guess, in 158? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	on the eleventh or twelfth, but MR. MORLEY: Of? I'm sorry, of when? THE WITNESS: The December 11th/ December 12th of 2014. And because of the way the investigation was going, the interviews that had been conducted, Jerry had not been contacted. And, in fact, we got other information that pointed in a different direction as to the whereabouts of the vehicle. BY MR. ROTH: When we say different, it's not necessarily contradictory. It's just the next step <b>Right.</b> - of where it went later? <b>Right. It could have very well been at the Strunk</b> residence, but we had now we had information that it may now be somewhere else. You testified when Mr. Morley asked that you didn't or weren't allowed to go in the garage area where any tools may have stored when you were there? <b>Right.</b> Very good. So you don't know what tools were there or were not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	in the Mugg & Bopps video that the deputies then pull out and pursue? Yes. We're going to look at 162 just as a still, but we get more of it in the video. After this scene, you then see the deputies pull out after the white SUV? Yes. In Deputy Hoeksema's MVR, can you see from that stage on Yes. Now, stepping backwards or working backwards, from the Mugg & Bopps going backwards, we have the L & B. Are those in all very quick order in Stockbridge? Yes, they are. And on the video, can you essentially see where they go from one to the next to the third? Yes. All right. So going backwards, is that the same white SUV that we see in 157? Yes. And closer, I guess, in 158?

			r –		
1		you we see that Shell station in 150?	1	Q.	So this vehicle clearly didn't match because of the
2	A.	Yes.	2		handles, and the pillars were inconsistent?
3	Q.	Same SUV that's shown in the pursuit of the deputy's	3	A.	Right.
4		vehicle and then backwards to the Marathon, the L & B,	4	Q.	Detective Sergeant Young talked yesterday about ruling in
5		and the Shell?	5		or ruling out. So you're able to effectively rule out
6	А.	Yes.	6		this vehicle?
7	Q.	So with that in mind, in addition to the testimony that	7	A.	Yes.
8		the vehicle went all the wrong way anyway, how were you	8	Q.	I want to talk next about the trail cam pictures, Defense
9		able to determine that the vehicle at the Sunoco was not	9		Exhibit D. First of all, Mr. Morley talked about Gregory
10		the one involved in the pursuit?	10		being the direction of the pursuit. When the vehicle
11	A.	This vehicle is a smaller vehicle. You can tell that by	11		when the deputies try to pull over the vehicle, is it
12		the seat pillar right here, how it comes down over the	12		heading in the direction of Gregory?
13		rear wheel well, as opposed to the suspect vehicle that	13	A.	No.
14		comes down to comes down front of the wheel well. You	14	Q.	Is it, in fact, heading away from Gregory?
15		can also tell by the black door handles on this vehicle	15	A.	It is.
16		and the lack thereof on the suspect vehicle.	16	Q.	Gregory is just the location it ends up on after it loops
17		And you can also tell on the lower portion of	17		around during the pursuit?
18		the vehicle that there is a what appears to be a	18	A.	Right.
19		darker stripe on the bottom, and that's not present on	19	Q.	And you talked about the video in Gregory. Was that what
20		the suspect vehicle.	20		Trooper Rochefort testified to earlier?
21	Q.	We have a number of different pictures from the cameras,	21	A.	Yes.
22		but looking at I apologize. Not Topping. The L & B,	22	Q.	That there was no white SUV shown there?
23		as an example, in the white SUV that	23	A.	Yes.
24		THE COURT: Mr. Roth, is that an exhibit	24	Q.	The vehicles shown on the trail cam, first of all, did
25		number?	25		you ever receive any information that any of these were
		52			54
1		MR. ROTH: It is, it's page 25 of Exhibit 165.	1		actually involved?
2		BY MR. ROTH:	2	A.	No.
3	Q.	In the L & B stills that we have of the vehicle that soon	3	Q.	So at this point you're just investigating white SUVs
4		gets involved in the pursuit, there are no dark door	4		that exist. Not any ones that are specifically directed
5		handles visible?	5		to your case?
6	A.	Correct.	6	A.	Right. At this point, the investigation we had
7	Q.	And to the extent that it's not clear from your	7		determined by the time we got these photographs
8		description, could you explain what you mean to the jury	8		it's dated the ninth, but we didn't get them until after
9		when you talk about where the door ends in the different	9		the arrest was made. We had established a suspect, and
10		vehicles?	10		we were simply just looking for the suspect vehicle at
11	A.	Yeah. The door ends, you know, by the C pillar the	11		this point.
12		rear door by the C pillar, and that where it ends,	12	Q.	Looking at these pictures, were there also physical
13		where it's cut out is before the rear wheel well. So, in	13		things you're able to rule out some of these vehicles?
14		other words, it comes down it does down like that	14	A.	Yes.
15		before the rear wheel well, as opposed to this one up	15	Q.	Very good. Mr. Morley asked if there were a title or
16		here that comes down almost in the middle of the wheel	16		registration linking John Kelsey to the GMC Yukon Denali
17		well indicating to us that it's it's a shorter	17		found in the residence that you searched. Were there any
18		vehicle, this one up here (illustrating).	18		documents linking him to that vehicle?
19	Q.	Give me a little bit of background about pillars. We	19	A.	Yes.
20		talk about C pillars. How are we categorizing the	20	Q.	Thank you. Mr. Morley asked if you checked for video
21		pillars?	21		heading out of the Dam Site Inn going east towards
22	A.	The first pillar in the vehicle, and I'll show you on the	22		Brighton, and your indication was no, correct?
23		top photo. This one here is the A pillar, behind the	23	A.	Correct.
24		front door is the B pillar, and this one back here is the	24	Q.	Why was that?
25		C pillar.	25	A.	The vehicle is seen leaving the Dam Site traveling west.
		53			55

1	0	Co in that way was there are point in sharking cost?	1	0	Llaur Jana have you have with the State Dalias?
1	Q.	So in that way was there any point in checking east?	1	Q.	How long have you been with the State Police?
2	A.	No.	2	A.	Twenty-six years.
3	Q.	Tip No. 6, the Gun Lake Casino one. Were you able to	3	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?
4		remove that as a possibility?	4	А.	I'm assigned to the Lansing Post as the post detective
5	A.	Yes.	5		sergeant where I handle major criminal investigations and
6	Q.	Could you please explain how?	6		supervise the criminal investigations of approximately 30
7	А.	We removed it as a possibility because at the time that	7	0	state troopers.
8		it was followed up on, we had already received numerous	8	Q.	
9		tips and information that John Kelsey was the suspect in	9		the police pursuit that resulted in the death of Ingham
10	0	this incident.	10		County Sheriff's Deputy Grant Whitaker?
11	Q.	Was there any indication in that tip that there was even	11	-	I was named the officer in charge of that investigation.
12		a white SUV associated with these people?	12	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that role?
13	A.	No.	13	А.	• •
14	Q.	Did it provide information such that you could contact	14		organizational role. We assembled an investigative team,
15		these people?	15		provided daily briefings, set up tip line. That kind of
16	A.	No.	16		thing.
17	Q.	Any identifying markers that you could follow up on this	17	Q.	How quickly did the investigation begin?
18		at all?	18	A.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
19	A.	No.	19		Whitaker.
20	Q.	Any details that provide any further ability to	20	Q.	
21		investigate?	21		were involved in the investigation?
22	А.	No.	22	A.	Between 50 and 60.
23		MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.	23	Q.	And did you assign them to specific segments of the
24		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.	24		investigation?
25		You may step down, sir. Thank you.	25	A.	Yes, sir.
		56			58
1		(At 11:13 a.m., the witness	1	Q.	Could you please explain what that means?
2		stepped down from the witness	2	A.	Well, there is different specialties that are required to
3		stand.)	3		do an investigation of this nature. And so I brought in
4		THE COURT: Mr. Roth, you may call your next	4		people that were strong in those individual segments,
5		witness.	5		such as audio visual experts, cell phone acquisition
6		MR. ROTH: One moment, Your Honor.	6		individuals, people that were efficient at drafting
7		People call Detective Sergeant Kyle McPhee.	7		search warrants.
8		THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.	8		We had certain detectives that were very
9		Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the	9		experienced with field interviews. We brought in
10		whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	10		somebody to coordinate the tips and the tip line; that
11		DETECTIVE SERGEANT McPHEE: Yes, sir.	11		kind of thing.
12		THE COURT: Please be seated. State your full	12	Q.	Very good. How quickly after the beginning of the
13		name for us and spell it, please.	13		investigation or creation of the task force was the
14		THE WITNESS: Kyle, K-Y-L-E, McPhee,	14		picture we see in Exhibit 41 obtained?
15		M-c-P-H-E-E.	15	А.	That photograph is, I believe, from the L & B, and that
16		MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.	16		came pretty quick. That was actually our you know,
17		KYLE McPHEE	17		the second photo that we came up with for the vehicle.
18		called by the People at 11:13 a.m., sworn by the Court,	18		That was, I believe, the eighth of December.
19		testified:	19	Q.	The first one being from the MVR?
20		DIRECT EXAMINATION	20	А.	Yes, sir.
21		BY MR. ROTH:	21	Q.	And how did you go about beginning to identify the
22	Q.	Where do you work?	22		vehicle and its driver?
23	A.	Michigan State Police.	23	A.	Well, we we made these press releases, and we
24	Q.	In what capacity?	24		disseminated them to the media. We used the photograph
25	A.	I'm a detective sergeant.	25		in some flyers to give to several uniformed troopers that
		57			59

			T		
1		were deployed throughout the Stockbridge area and went	1		have been the driver, right?
2		door to door and handed out flyers and try to do it that	2		Right.
3		way. Set up a tip line.	3	Q.	And there is, I'm sure, a couple of other people that
4	Q.	In total, approximately how many tips were received?	4		we've mentioned throughout the trial.
5	А.	151.	5	A.	Mm-hmm.
6	Q.	Now, again, we talked about this at the beginning of the	6	Q.	Is that correct?
7		trial. Not every one of those tips means a member of the	7	А.	Yes.
8		public called up, correct?	8	Q.	And at the beginning of the investigation, you don't know
9	А.	That's correct.	9		the Defendant from any of the rest of them, correct?
10	Q.	Could you explain the difference?	10	A.	That's correct.
11	А.	Yeah. Tips came from different different places.	11	Q.	How did you go about at some point your investigation
12		Tips can actually be generated within our own	12		focuses then on the Defendant, correct?
13		investigation. So if we interview someone and they gave	13	A.	Yes.
14		us two names during the interview, that investigator	14	Q.	Why is it that it focused on the Defendant at some point
15		would then make two new tips for those two people that	15		and these other people were ruled out?
16		needed to be talked to so we could generate them within	16	A.	The main reason is we received more tips on John Kelsey
17		our own investigation. They came from the tip line we	17		than we received on anyone else.
18		set up. They came through e-mails to our operations	18	Q.	And were the tips regarding John Kelsey corroborated and
19		center in Lansing.	19		confirmed through your investigative efforts?
20		I think the Ingham County Sheriff's Office	20	A.	Yes, they were.
21		received some tips that were subsequently forwarded to	21	Q.	The other potential suspects, were they confirmed or
22		us. So there was a lot of different areas where tips	22		discredited?
23		were generated from.	23	A.	They were cleared, yes.
24	Q.	Were some of them anonymous?	24	Q.	We heard testimony about a 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL
25	A.	Yes, sir.	25		registered to the Defendant's father. In addition to the
		60			62
1	Q.	And generally as law enforcement officers, do you have to	1		VIN information that Mr. Thompson already discussed, were
2		are you stuck with that? That if somebody wants to	2		you able to verify the vehicle's color?
3		remain anonymous, you'll respect that and carry that	3	A.	Yes, I was.
4		through?	4	Q.	How?
5	А.	We certainly attempt to do that, yes.	5	A.	I queried the VIN through the factory shipping record
6	Q.	And is it fair to say that not of all of those tips	6		which indicates a color code. And then I was able to
7		implicated the Defendant?	7		take the color code and turn it into a color through some
8	А.	That's correct.	8		investigative contacts.
9	Q.	Regardless of whether or not they implicated the	9	Q.	And what color did it translate to?
10		Defendant or somebody else, were they followed up on?	10	A.	White.
11	A.	Yes, sir.	11	Q.	Thank you. Did you determine if the vehicle had OnStar
12	Q.	And just to be clear at the beginning of this	12		or some equivalent service?
13		investigation, the Defendant was not a known person,	13	A.	Yes.
14		known suspect; anything like that?	14	Q.	What is OnStar?
15	A.	No.	15	A.	OnStar is a fee based GPS system that is installed in
16	Q.	At the beginning of this investigation, was there	16		General Motors vehicles, and it provides turn by turn in
17	-	anything setting him apart from any of the other people	17		directions. It can be used in the case of emergencies or
18		that were mentioned in the tips?	18		airbag deployments to get first responders to a crash
19	A.	Not until we had I don't understand the question. If	19		scene, and it also can be used to locate vehicles that
20		you could	20		are entered as stolen.
21	Q.	Sure. So there is tips that implicate the Defendant that	21	Q.	Did this vehicle, the white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali,
22	-	start coming in, correct?	22	-	registered to the Defendant's father have OnStar?
23	A.	Yes.	23	A.	When it was built in 2003, it was equipped with that
24	Q.	Tips that implicate other people. We heard initially	24		service.
25	-	that somebody involved in a Back Street bar fight might	25	Q.	Were you able to use that to assist you in your
		61		£.	63
			1		•••

			-		
1		investigation?	1	Q.	When did it expire?
2	A.	No.	2	A.	January 17th, 2015, I believe.
3	Q.	Why not?	3	Q.	So this vehicle was never found, correct?
4	A.	OnStar was contacted, and they told us that the vehicle	4	A.	It was never found. That's correct.
5		was too old and the system was of an analog nature and	5	Q.	The Kelsey family was not able to Mr. and Mrs. Kelsey,
6		would not be any use for us at this particular time	6		Marcia and I apologize. She has a different last
7	Q.	Thank you.	7		name. The Defendant's parents were never able to point
8	A.	to find it.	8		you in the direction of the vehicle currently, correct?
9	Q.	We heard testimony about search warrants that were	9	A.	That's correct.
10		executed at the Defendant's residence and the parents'	10	Q.	But the registration was never renewed?
11		residence. Did you do some follow-up based on items and	11	A.	That's correct.
12		information found at each?	12	Q.	Did you do anything to notify other law enforcement
13	A.	Yes.	13		officers and agencies of the vehicle's relevance?
14	Q.	I want to discuss the head lamp, 196, found at the Morton	14	A.	Yes.
15		Road address. 195, I apologize. Did you do some follow-	15	Q.	Could you explain what that was?
16		up as it relates to this item?	16	A.	In our efforts to locate it, I entered into LEIN and NCIC
17	A.	Yes, sir, l did.	17		as a wanted vehicle so that if anyone ran the license
18	Q.	And does this fit a 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL?	18		plate or the VIN number, it would a flag would come up
19	A.	Yes.	19		that we were interested in locating that vehicle.
20	Q.	And, in fairness, as Mr. Morley said, it fits thousands	20	Q.	And was it ever flagged? Did anybody ever notify you
21		of other vehicles, correct?	21		pursuant to that stop action
22	A.	Thousands.	22	A.	No.
23	Q.	Different makes, models; things like that?	23	Q.	that it had been
24	A.	Yes, sir.	24	A.	No, sir.
25	Q.	Fair to say that this did not play this bulb much, if	25	Q.	Very good. Mr. Morley asked and has asked about a number
		64			66
1		any, role in your investigation?	1		of other people that have been mentioned as part of tips.
2	A.	None.	2		Was everybody else able to produce their white SUV to
3	Q.	I'm sorry?	3		assist you in their investigation?
4	A.	None.	4	A.	As I recall, every white SUV that we tracked down that we
5	Q.	Very good. We also heard testimony about the proof of	5		were able to find and identify, everyone that all of
6		insurance for the 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL found at the	6		the owners were all cooperative and let us interview them
7		Defendant's parents' house. Did you contact AAA to find	7		and produced their vehicles.
8		out about the status of that policy?	8	Q.	Trooper, Detective Trooper Johnston just talked about the
9	A.	Yes, sir, l did.	9		Sunoco white SUV. So showing you E, this, I believe, was
10	Q.	And we heard testimony from Mr. Baum from AAA that the	10		the Marshall SUV at the L & B earlier in the day. Were
11		vehicle was never reported stolen to the insurance	11		you able to rule out this potential SUV?
12		company. Was it ever reported stolen to the police?	12	A.	Yes.
13	A.	No, sir.	13	Q.	How so?
14	Q.	Is it still currently registered to the Defendant's	14	A.	It was actually ruled out, I believe, on three different
15		father?	15		occasions. I believe Trooper Rochefort and Trooper North
16	A.	Yes.	16		during their patrols actually stopped the same vehicle on
17	Q.	It hasn't been reported stolen?	17		a traffic stop during the investigation and identified it
18	A.	No. I queried the vehicle through what we call LEIN and	18		as the Marshall vehicle. And I believe Detective
19		NCIC, which is a stolen vehicle database, and it's not	19		Sergeant Bundshuh cleared this vehicle as he interviewed
20		entered as stolen.	20		the Marshalls as well. I believe he was provided this
21	Q.	Has it ever been reported sold after Frank Kelsey	21		photo by a clerk at the station who advised it was a
22		acquired it?	22		relative of hers.
23	А.	No, sir.	23	Q.	Similarly we see in Defense B what's identified as the
24	Q.	What is the status of the registration currently?	24		Porter vehicle. That was eliminated as a potential
25	А.	It's expired.	25		vehicle as well?
		65			67

1	A.	Yes, sir.	1		sorry. It may be much ado about nothing, but on
2	Q.	How so?	2		December 18th, the undersigned is you, you activated the
3	A.	The Porter vehicle came up as a result of the Back Street	3		Michigan State Police Post tip line, right?
4		bar investigation. And that vehicle was located by	4	A.	I don't believe that date is correct. I activated it
5		Detective Sergeant Bundshuh, who ultimately cleared the	5		prior to December 18th.
6		vehicle as being our suspect vehicle.	6	Q.	If I said eighteenth, I apologize. I meant to say
7		MR. ROTH: Thank you.	7		December 8th.
8		I have nothing further of this witness,	8	A.	That's correct.
9		Your Honor.	9	Q.	Okay. And at the time and maybe you heard me ask this
10		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.	10		before was reward money offered?
11		Mr. Morley?	11	A.	I believe there was.
12		MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.	12	Q.	There is a \$10,000 reward associated with this, right?
13		CROSS-EXAMINATION	13	A.	I don't know what the value the monetary values are,
14		BY MR. MORLEY:	14		sir. I'm not the Michigan State Police is not
15	Q.	As you sit here today, Sergeant, do you know where the	15		involved in disseminating reward money. We're not
16	-	vehicle that was allegedly involved in this chase is?	16		involved in that process.
17	A.	I do not, sir.	17	Q.	Are you aware that a lot of the troopers throughout this
18	Q.	You heard testimony earlier and you have been here	18	·	investigation were telling people that there was a
19	C	throughout Mr. Kelsey was arrested on, I believe,	19		\$10,000 reward?
20		December 12th, 2014.	20	A.	Yeah. That's common practice when we make a flyer and
21	A.	Yes.	21		put it out for
22	Q.	Subsequent to that, he was unavailable or not in the	22	Q.	' I'm confused then.
23		Stockbridge area, right?	23	A.	Because the money is not paid by the Michigan State
24	A.	Yes.	24		Police. It's paid by another organization, like Crime
25	Q.	And at the time he was arrested, do you know whether or	25		Stoppers, or something like that. We do mention there is
		68			70
1		not he had an appointment scheduled with the Michigan	1		a reward, but we're not the one that provide the money.
2		State Police to meet with them?	2	Q.	Okay. I thought you said you don't know anything about
3	A.	I do not because I was not part of the investigation for	3		the reward.
4		a period of four days. I had to leave town on a family	4	A.	I don't know how much was put out.
5		emergency, and I turned the investigation over to	5	Q.	All right.
6		Trooper Johnston.	6	A.	You just said that.
7	Q.	As you sit here today, are you aware that an appointment	7	Q.	All right. But there we go. It's clarified. Thank you.
8		had been scheduled?	8		You had 151 tips, right?
9	A.	Just through reading reviewing the reports that were,	9	A.	Yes.
10		yes.	10	Q.	Some are law enforcement. Some aren't. Some are
11	Q.	That appointment never happened, but to your knowledge	11		anonymous. Some aren't. Using the general category of
12		there was an appointment scheduled prior to him being	12		non-law enforcement tips, you had less than five related
13		arrested?	13		to John Kelsey. Is that true?
14	A.	Yes.	14	A.	No. I don't believe that's true.
15	Q.	Talked a lot about this tip line, but I need to address	15	Q.	Using the same category, you had more than five relating
16		it a little bit with you.	16		to the Back Street bar fight on the early morning hours
17		Could you, without belaboring the point, is it	17		of December 7th of '14, right?
18		set up or activate? Which one is more right? Does the	18	A.	Can you restate that?
19		Michigan State Police just have the tip line that you	19	Q.	Using the same category of non-law enforcement related
20		guys just get started?	20		tips and by that I mean either anonymous or John Q
21	A.	This tip line, this particular one was specific to the	21		Citizen, something like that you had more than five
22		Lansing Post. It was just one that we had set up using a	22		relating in some way to the Back Street bar fight of the
23		very cheap track phone cell phone number. We wanted a	23		early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right?
24		single point of contact, and that's all it was.	24	A.	I can't say for sure.
25	Q.	Okay. And the reason I ask is that in the report	25	Q.	Were you in charge of the tip line?
		69	1		71

1	A.	Yes.	1	A.	Subsequent
2	Q.	Other than the phone records that we've heard regarding	2		Yes.
3		Mr. Kelsey, Hildabridle, how many other search warrants	3	Q.	Okay. Here's what I don't understand, and maybe you can
4		or cell phone investigations were done relating to the	4		help me. This is the very first police report that was
5		tip line?	5		generated in this matter. It's your report you just
6	A.	I don't understand your relating to search warrants	6		said.
7		relating to the tip line?	7	A.	Mm-hmm.
8	Q.	How many other cell phone records were searched that	8	Q.	December dated 7th, right
9		emanated from a tip that came in through the tip line,	9	A.	Mm-hmm.
10		other than Kelsey or Hildabridle's?	10	Q.	of '14, right?
11	A.	We did several search warrants. I can't say which	11	A.	Yeah.
12		specific phones are associated with the tip line. We did	12	Q.	Is that when it was drafted?
13		a lot of search	13	A.	No, no. That's not that's the date of the incident
14	Q.	Immediately	14		that we're investigating.
15	A.	warrants.	15	Q.	That's what I figured. When was this drafted?
16	Q.	I apologize. Immediately after the crash and, in fact,	16	A.	The report?
17		on that same day, law enforcement related tip came in	17	Q.	Yes, sir.
18		regarding a white SUV here in Okemos, right?	18	A.	I don't know. It's going to be in the day or two or
19	A.	Yes.	19		three after that.
20	Q.	And people were detained and brought to the State Police	20	Q.	Okay. So is it fair to say no later than December 10th
21		Post	21		using December 7th as a
22	A.	Yes, sir.	22	A.	Yeah. I can't say for sure the actual date that that was
23	Q.	and investigated?	23		drafted, but the I can't say.
24	A.	Yes.	24	Q.	Here's why I'm asking: You indicate or within that
25	Q.	And that was a white SUV that had several occupants in	25		day or two or three-day period, you had determined that
		72			74
1		it, right?	1		there was no connection between the bar fight incident at
1 2	A.	it, right? I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're	1 2		there was no connection between the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're
	A.				
2		I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're	2	A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're
2 3		I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the	2 3		the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and
2 3 4		I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip	2 3 4 5 6		the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or
2 3 4 5	Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes.	2 3 4 5	Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight?
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A.</b>	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b>	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b>	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight?</li> <li>Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be</li> <li>I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight.</li> <li>Mm-hmm, yes.</li> <li>No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) You can disregard my notes on this, and I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar was in any way related to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) You can disregard my notes on this, and I'm not playing hide the ball. This is page one of six. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar was in any way related to the fatal ICSD pursuit incident.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) You can disregard my notes on this, and I'm not playing hide the ball. This is page one of six. I think this is one through six er, I'm sorry, that's six of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar was in any way related to the fatal ICSD pursuit incident. Is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) You can disregard my notes on this, and I'm not playing hide the ball. This is page one of six. I think this is one through six er, I'm sorry, that's six of six of one of six.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar was in any way related to the fatal ICSD pursuit incident. Is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) You can disregard my notes on this, and I'm not playing hide the ball. This is page one of six. I think this is one through six er, I'm sorry, that's six of six of one of six. This is my report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar was in any way related to the fatal ICSD pursuit incident. Is that accurate? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. Q.	I don't know how many occupants were in it, but you're correct there was a white SUV involved. You subsequently received information on another tip about this bar fight at the Back Street bar on in the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014, right? Yes. And, in fact, the tips were received indicating that the combatants and their associates involved in the Back Street bar fight were somehow connected to the fatal ICSD pursuit, right? If you have a specific tip you're referring to, I would like to review that. I'd be happy to. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) You can disregard my notes on this, and I'm not playing hide the ball. This is page one of six. I think this is one through six er, I'm sorry, that's six of six of one of six.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	the Back Street bar and this underlying incident we're here today on, right? Yes. And, in fact, multiple tips were received, assigned, and investigated, and this is all in December 8th, 9th, or 10th relating to the Back Street bar fight? Whatever the tips that came in, those are dated individually. So it would be I understand. You received information let me state it differently. Multiple tips were received relating to the Back Street bar fight. Mm-hmm, yes. No later than December 8th, 9th, or 10th you decided, per your report: No factual connection could be determined that the bar fight incident at the Back Street bar was in any way related to the fatal ICSD pursuit incident. Is that accurate?

			1		
1	Q.	On December 8th you received information from the	1	Q.	This is what would be called a law enforcement tip
2		Livingston County Sheriff's Department regarding a bar	2		because it comes from MSP Aviation that:
3		fight involving a white SUV in Livingston County on	3		Multiple addresses identified by
4		December 8th, 2014, right?	4		MSP chopper as having white
5	А.	Is there a tip number associated with that?	5		colored SUV.
6	Q.	Yes, sir. I apologize. Tip No. 8.	6		Right?
7	A.	Can I refer to that here?	7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Yes, sir.	8	Q.	Is that in the Stockbridge area, presumably?
9	A.	All right. Okay. I have No. 8 pulled up here.	9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	You received information from Livingston County Sheriff	10	Q.	And, in fact, there were eight addresses that your
11		there was a white SUV involved in a bar fight in	11		chopper reported as having white SUVs, right?
12		Livingston County on December 8th of '14, right?	12	A.	Yes.
13	А.	Yes.	13	Q.	Did you investigate those?
14	Q.	Did you contact the owner of that white SUV?	14	A.	Personally, no.
15	А.	No.	15	Q.	Tip No. 37. Let me know when you're ready.
16	Q.	In fact, one of the suspects lived on Patterson Lake	16	A.	Okay.
17		Drive in Pinckney that we've been referencing on these	17	Q.	On December 9th of '14, you received information:
18		various maps, right?	18		Fox 2 Facebook page Huey brags
19	A.	Yeah. One of the individuals involved lives on Patterson	19		about getting away from police.
20		Lake Road.	20		Caller is e-mailing the screenshot to you. Do
21	Q.	Did you interview him?	21		you recall that?
22	A.	No, I didn't.	22	A.	Yes. And I believe the caller never sent the information
23	Q.	Tip No. 14 on December 8th of 2014, you received:	23		that they promised that they were going to send. So
24	C.	Text message to tip line	24		Tip
25		indicates a Facebook feud	25	Q.	Tip 109.
		76		·	. 78
1		references bar fight in	1	A.	109. Okay.
2		Stockbridge.	2	Q.	I don't know what the tip source is. What is TF? If you
3		Do you recall that?	3	·	do you know?
4	A.	I'm looking at Tip 14, yes.	4	A.	I want to.
5	0.	l apologize. Let me know if I'm going too fast.	5	Q.	That's fine.
6	A.	I have Tip 14.	6	A.	I don't know. I don't know what TF is. You'd have to
7	Q.	Did you receive information excuse me, a text message	7		ask the individual that took the call.
8		to the tip line assigned to you check that reviewed	8	Q.	Is that your handwriting in the tip information part?
9		by you:	9	A.	Tip information? My handwriting on this is on the second
10		A Facebook feud references a bar	10		page of it at the bottom.
11		fight in Stockbridge.	11	Q.	
12		Right?	12	·	Check scrap yards for turn-ins by
13	A.	Yes.	13		Kelsey, Bramlett, or Tyler Brown.
14	Q.	Did you investigate that?	14		Right?
15	A.	I believe it was investigated. It might be connected to	15	A.	Yes.
16		another tip.	16	Q.	This is December 15th
17	Q.	l'm asking if you investigated it.	17	A.	Yes.
18		l did not investigate that.	18	Q.	of 2014?
19	Q.	Tip No. 21.	19		Yes, sir.
20	<u>२</u> . А.	21?	20	Q.	
21	Q.	Just tell me when you're ready.	21	Č.	scrap yards?
22	Q. A.	Go ahead.	22	A.	
23	Q.	Michigan State Police sent up a plane or a helicopter to	23	<b>Д.</b>	Okay. Who is Bramlett?
24	<del>ب</del>	take aerial photographs of the area, right?	24	Q. A.	I believe he was one of the individuals that was involved
				4.8.0	
25	Α.				
25	A.	That's correct.	25		in the fight at the at the Back Street bar. 79

	-			_	
1	Q.	Who is Tyler Brown?	1	Q.	Was that done?
2	A.	Same. I believe he was involved at the Back Street bar.	2	А.	Not by me. I believe Trooper Wolf looked into that and
3	_	His name came up there.	3		determined that that vehicle was not the same as the
4	Q.	But you testified earlier that you've already indicated	4		vehicle we were looking for.
5		there was no way as of no later than December 10th;	5	Q.	Well, actually as of December 15th and that was the
6		that there was no way they were related. That the Back	6		December 9th tip nobody from Michigan State Police had
7		Street bar wasn't in any way related, but on	7		followed up on that tip, right?
8		December 15th you're still looking for ties to Bramlett	8	A.	Well, the tip was closed.
9		or Tyler Brown. Why is that?	9	Q.	Well
10	A.	No. I think tips we're looking for the vehicle.	10		MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?
11		That's what the scrap yard angle is all about.	11		THE COURT: Yes.
12	Q.	l understand. But you want to see if Bramlett or Tyler	12		THE WITNESS: Yes. I see what you're saying.
13		Brown turned in a vehicle, right? The tip says:	13		Yeah. I see the note there.
14		Check scrap yards for turn-ins by	14		BY MR. MORLEY:
15		Kelsey, Bramlett, or Tyler Brown.	15	Q.	As of December 15th, 2014, nobody had followed up on that
16	A.	Okay.	16		tip, right?
17	Q.	Clearly we know who Kelsey is.	17	A.	That's correct.
18	A.	Right.	18	Q.	Tip No. 72.
19	Q.	Bramlett and Tyler Brown are involved in the bar fight	19	A.	Okay.
20		that you determined no later than December 10th was	20	Q.	Not sure when it came in. It appears it was assigned on
21		unrelated, but on December 15th you're looking to see if	21		December 10th of '14, but the caller, and in parenthesis
22		they turned anything into a scrap yard, right?	22		it says texter, saw white partial vehicle on trailer
23	A.	Yes.	23		leaving residence on Morton Road on Tuesday. Right?
24	Q.	Tip No. 15.	24	A.	Yes.
25	A.	Okay. Yeah. I have 15.	25	Q.	And I think I some of those we're abbreviations. And,
		80			82
1	0.	December 8th of December 14th, references Davy Crockett	1		in fact, the Morton Road address was 3515 Morton Road in
	Q.		2		
2		Bettelon again, right?	2	А.	Stockbridge, right?
2 3	A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes.	2 3	A.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet.
2 3 4		Bettelon again, right? <b>Yes.</b> In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates	2 3 4	_	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small.
2 3 4 5	A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on	2 3 4 5	<b>A.</b> Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the
2 3 4 5 6	A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother	2 3 4 5 6	_	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in
2 3 4 5 6 7	<b>A.</b> Q.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b>	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b>	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b>	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b>	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b>	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan State Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, Trail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan State Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, Trail Blazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy Crockett	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan State Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, Trail Blazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy Crockett Bettelon, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan State Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, Trail Blazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy Crockett Bettelon, right? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Bettelon again, right?Yes.In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicatesthat Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. onDavy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brotherhas white SUV, right?That's what the tip says, yeah.Did you investigate that?Well, this was part of the I did not interview DavyCrockett, if that's what you're asking me.I asked if you investigated that.No. I didn't directly investigate theOkay.It references a prior investigation by another MichiganState Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, TrailBlazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy CrockettBettelon, right?Yes.And it says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right?Yes.In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicatesthat Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. onDavy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brotherhas white SUV, right?That's what the tip says, yeah.Did you investigate that?Well, this was part of the I did not interview DavyCrockett, if that's what you're asking me.I asked if you investigated that.No. I didn't directly investigate theOkay. Tip No. 43.Okay.It references a prior investigation by another MichiganState Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, TrailBlazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy CrockettBettelon, right?Yes.And it says:Find vehicle and interview Lora	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right? Yes. 3515 Morton Road is Davy Bettelon's address, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan State Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, Trail Blazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy Crockett Bettelon, right? Yes. And it says: Find vehicle and interview Lora Bettelon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right? Yes. 3515 Morton Road is Davy Bettelon's address, isn't it? I believe it may be a relative of his. I think that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right?Yes.In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicatesthat Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. onDavy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brotherhas white SUV, right?That's what the tip says, yeah.Did you investigate that?Well, this was part of the I did not interview DavyCrockett, if that's what you're asking me.I asked if you investigated that.No. I didn't directly investigate theOkay. Tip No. 43.Okay.It references a prior investigation by another MichiganState Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, TrailBlazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy CrockettBettelon, right?Yes.And it says:Find vehicle and interview Lora	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Stree. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right? Yes. 3515 Morton Road is Davy Bettelon's address, isn't it? I believe it may be a relative of his. I think that's where he was located the night we made contact with him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Bettelon again, right? Yes. In fact, this was an anonymous female, but it indicates that Deputy Hoeksema did a welfare check at 1:32 a.m. on Davy who got beat up at bar. Caller says Davy's brother has white SUV, right? That's what the tip says, yeah. Did you investigate that? Well, this was part of the I did not interview Davy Crockett, if that's what you're asking me. I asked if you investigated that. No. I didn't directly investigate the Okay. Tip No. 43. Okay. It references a prior investigation by another Michigan State Police personnel regarding a gray, in color, Trail Blazer belonging to the ex-wife of Davy Crockett Bettelon, right? Yes. And it says: Find vehicle and interview Lora Bettelon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Stockbridge, right? I'm trying to find the address here on the tip sheet. The writing is really small. I'll be happy to help you, if you want. It's on the it's on what I have is the second page. It's still in handwriting. Show me where what you have. Sure. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE WITNESS: This writing is very small. THE COURT: Sure. BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.) I'm cutting ahead a little bit. You see my red mark in the bottom? Oh, this stuff here. All right. Okay. So there was a white SUV, partial vehicle on a trailer, leaving a residence at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right? Yes. 3515 Morton Road is Davy Bettelon's address, isn't it? I believe it may be a relative of his. I think that's

1	A.	Right.	1	Α.	Okay.
2	<b>А.</b> Q.	But to be clear, somebody a tipster saw a partial	2	<b>А.</b> О.	Information was received regarding a Kevin Ray Chase
3	ح.	(verbatim) white SUV actually, a partially (verbatim)	3	×.	living in an address in Dansville and a 2004 GMC Yukon
4		damaged SUV being carried out of there on a trailer,	4		white in color, right?
5		right?	5	A.	That's what's indicated on the tip sheet.
6	A.	That's what the tip was, yes.	6	0.	And it goes on to state:
7	•	MR. MORLEY: Mind if I consult with counsel.	7	κ.	Vehicle observed in above-listed
8		Judge?	8		address driveway. Chase has an
9		THE COURT: No problem.	9		extensive CCH.
10		MR. MORLEY: (Talking to Mr. Roth off the	10		What is an extensive CCH?
11		record.) One second, Judge. I've got to try and find	11	A.	Criminal history.
12		something.	12	Q.	Computerized criminal history?
13		BY MR. MORLEY:	13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Tip No. 100.	14	0.	That's what CCH stands for?
15	A.		15	•	Yes.
16	Q.	This is related to Tip 72 that we just talked about, the	16	Q.	Do you know whether this was followed up on? It appears
17		partial white vehicle at 3515 Morton Road, right?	17		it's closed the same day.
18	A.		18	A.	17 was assigned to someone other than myself.
19	Q.	In fact, Tip 100 indicates:	19		I understand. And I'm asking in your capacity as being
20		3515 Morton Road truck and	20		in charge of the tip line, which you testified to
21		trailer	21		earlier.
22		Something.	22	A.	Yes.
23		with partial (verbatim)	23	Q.	What I have is December 19, 8:35, it references it. It's
24		damaged vehicle seen leaving same	24		assigned to Warzywak on 12-9, and it's closed all on the
25		er, above address on 12-9 of	25		same page. Do you have any other information?
		84			86
1		'14.	1		I believe that was closed out after that was I
			1	А.	i scheve that was closed out after that was i
2		Right?	2	А.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John
2 3	A.			А.	
	<b>A.</b> Q.	Right?	2		believe it was closed out after the arrest of John
3	~	Right? <b>Right.</b>	2 3	Q.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey.
3 4	Q.	Right? <b>Right.</b> And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?	2 3 4	Q.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22.
3 4 5	Q. <b>A.</b>	Right? <b>Right.</b> And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right? <b>Yes.</b>	2 3 4 5	Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay.
3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Right? Right. And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right? Yes. And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road	2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right? Yes.
3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Right? Right. And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right? Yes. And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Right? Right. And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right? Yes. And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right? I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere? Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right? Yes. And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase, Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Roadrefused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Areyou taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right? Yes. And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right? Yes. And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase, Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman Road, correct? Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Roadrefused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Areyou taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY: (Approaching the witness.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right? Yes. And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase, Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman Road, correct? Yes. And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman Road, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:(Approaching the witness.)Showing you what I have is the second page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:(Approaching the witness.)Showing you what I have is the second page.And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	Right?         Right.         And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?         Yes.         And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road         refused to contact law enforcement, right?         I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are         you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?         Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.         MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?         THE COURT: Yes.         BY MR. MORLEY:         (Approaching the witness.)         Showing you what I have is the second page.         And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may         not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> <li>I did not personally, but I can provide you information</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	Right?   Right.   And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?   Yes.   And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road   refused to contact law enforcement, right?   I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are   you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?   Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.   MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?   THE COURT: Yes.   BY MR. MORLEY:   (Approaching the witness.)   Showing you what I have is the second page.   And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may   not   I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> <li>I did not personally, but I can provide you information that's on the tip sheet.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	Right?   Right.   And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?   Yes.   And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road   refused to contact law enforcement, right?   I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are   you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?   Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.   MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?   THE COURT: Yes.   BY MR. MORLEY:   (Approaching the witness.)   Showing you what I have is the second page.   And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may   not   I don't.   That's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> <li>I did not personally, but I can provide you information that's on the tip sheet.</li> <li>Tip No. 23.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A. Q.	Right?   Right.   And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?   Yes.   And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road   refused to contact law enforcement, right?   I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are   you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?   Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.   MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor?   THE COURT: Yes.   BY MR. MORLEY:   (Approaching the witness.)   Showing you what I have is the second page.   And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may   not   I don't.   That's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? If   not, that's fine?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> <li>I did not personally, but I can provide you information</li> <li>that's on the tip sheet.</li> <li>Tip No. 23.</li> <li>Guess not. Okay.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:(Approaching the witness.)Showing you what I have is the second page.And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may notI don't.That's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? If not, that's fine?I didn't follow up on this personally.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> <li>I did not personally, but I can provide you information</li> <li>that's on the tip sheet.</li> <li>Tip No. 23.</li> <li>Guess not. Okay.</li> <li>Received information that:</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me. MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:(Approaching the witness.)Showing you what I have is the second page.notI don't.That's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? Ifnot, that's fine?I didn't follow up on this personally.Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>believe it was closed out after the arrest of John</li> <li>Kelsey.</li> <li>Tip No. 22.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman</li> <li>Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,</li> <li>Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman</li> <li>Road, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman</li> <li>Road, right?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did you investigate that tip?</li> <li>I did not personally, but I can provide you information</li> <li>that's on the tip sheet.</li> <li>Tip No. 23.</li> <li>Guess not. Okay.</li> <li>Received information that:</li> <li>Gary Baldwin lives in</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may notI don't.That's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? If not, that's fine?I didn't follow up on this personally.Okay.So I can't say that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey.   Tip No. 22.   Okay.   Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman   Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?   Yes.   And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,   Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman   Road, correct?   Yes.   And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman   Road, right?   Yes.   Did you investigate that tip?   I did not personally, but I can provide you information   that's on the tip sheet.   Tip No. 23.   Guess not. Okay.   Received information that:   Cary Baldwin lives in Stockbridge. Works at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:(Approaching the witness.)Showing you what I have is the second page.And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may notI don't.I don't.I hat's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? If not, that's fine?J didn't follow up on this personally.Okay.So I can't say that.That's fair. Direct your attention to Tip No. 17,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey. Tip No. 22. Okay. Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman Road had a white SUV behind the house, right? Yes. And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase, Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman Road, correct? Yes. And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman Road, right? Yes. Did you investigate that tip? I did not personally, but I can provide you information that's on the tip sheet. Tip No. 23. Guess not. Okay. Received information that: Gary Baldwin lives in Stockbridge. Works at Hitchcock's in Williamston.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Right?Right.And, again, that's the 3515 Morton Road, right?Yes.And during the investigation, people at 3515 Morton Road refused to contact law enforcement, right?I don't have that. I didn't know that information. Are you taking that off of the tip sheet somewhere?Yeah. Yes. Excuse me.MR. MORLEY: Approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.BY MR. MORLEY:And if you don't have direct knowledge, which you may notI don't.That's fair. Does that refresh your memory at all? If not, that's fine?I didn't follow up on this personally.Okay.So I can't say that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	believe it was closed out after the arrest of John Kelsey.   Tip No. 22.   Okay.   Received information on December 9th that 3750 Chapman   Road had a white SUV behind the house, right?   Yes.   And Chapman Road is the alleged route of this chase,   Morton Road goes west and I think dead ends into Chapman   Road, correct?   Yes.   And then the alleged chase route went north on Chapman   Road, right?   Yes.   Did you investigate that tip?   I did not personally, but I can provide you information   that's on the tip sheet.   Tip No. 23.   Guess not. Okay.   Received information that:   Cary Baldwin lives in Stockbridge. Works at

1		vehicles.	1	Α.	Are you gleaning that off of the tip sheet?
2	A.	I	2	Q.	Yes.
3	Q.	Do you recall that?	3	-	You want to show me where you find that at?
4	<u>ч</u> . А.	I recall the tip.	4	0.	Sure. Sure.
5	Q.	Did you do any follow-up investigation with that?	5	×.	MR. MORLEY: Approach, Judge?
6	A.	Another officer did.	6		THE COURT: Yes.
7	Q.	Tip No. 30.	7		BY MR. MORLEY:
8	A.	Okay.	8	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)
9	Q.	Information was received regarding a fight at the Back	9		See the top right. It says Tip 54.
10		Street bar. A Sandra Kay White stated that she heard	10	A.	What was your question again?
11		that a Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett had beat up another	11	Q.	You received information that people associated with
12		kid. She said that a white SUV was on one of the	12		Kyle Peters wouldn't be surprised to learn that he was
13		subject's Facebook page. Later she learned meant white	13		involved in this?
14		pickup trucks.	14	A.	That's what tipsters provided, yes.
15	A.	Yes.	15	Q.	Is that what happened in this tip?
16	Q.	Do you recall that?	16	A.	That's what the tip indicates, yeah.
17	A.	Yes. That's what's indicated on the tip sheet.	17	Q.	In fact, his sister was the one that says she would not
18	Q.	Do you recall the testimony of Deputy Hoeksema that the	18		be surprised if Kyle was the suspect, right?
19		vehicle in the alleged pursuit could have been a white	19	A.	That's what the tip indicates.
20		pickup with a capper on it?	20	Q.	Sister er, a half sister said she believes his mom is
21	A.	Yes.	21		covering for him, and he's hiding out at his mom's
22	Q.	Tip No. 40.	22		residence, right?
23	A.	Okay.	23	A.	That's what the tip indicates, yes.
24	Q.	On December 8th, information was received that:	24	Q.	Did you interview Mr. Peters?
25		The Sanchez family works at the	25	A.	l did not.
		88			90
1		Dewey Kunkel farm on O'Brien Road	1	Q.	With all of the tips that we've just referenced and I
2		near Chapman Road. Family causes	2		didn't keep a tab. I could put one together if you
3		trouble around town and drives a	3		wanted it, but how many of these were nearby videos
4		white SUV.	4		attempted to be obtained? In other words, the tip on
5		Do you recall that?	5		Chapman Road, did you try to obtain any videos from
6	А.	That's what the tip sheet indicates.	6		around there?
7	Q.	Did you do any investigation into that?	7	A.	Initially when we started our canvass, that was one of
8	A.	Not personally. Someone else did.	8		the tasks that was put on the uniform troopers is to
9	Q.	Tip No. 54, 5-4.	9		canvass the area. And that's ultimately how we found the
10	А.	Okay.	10		business videos in Stockbridge. Uniform troopers went to
11	Q.	Received information on December 9th of '14, that:	11	~	canvass the area and found those.
12		Subject named Kyle Peters (a	12	Q.	l understand that. I'm talking more specifically
13		white male, twenty-five years	13		we'll use that Chapman Road as an example where it says
14		old) recently purchased a white	14		"A white SUV behind there." Did you check with any of
15		Chevy Suburban. Kyle is always	15		the neighbors next to that Chapman Road address, whether
16		around Munith and Stockbridge	16		they had a camera?
17		area. Known to have warrants, drugs, intox. Unknown address	17	A. 0	<b>Personally, no.</b> Do you know whether that was done?
18		for Kyle.	18 19	Q. <b>A.</b>	Do you know whether that was done? I do not.
19 20		Did you do any follow-up investigation into	20	<b>А.</b> Q.	Same series of questions on all of these other tips.
20		that?	20	ų.	Were phone records run, for example, on Davy Bettelon?
21	A.	Not personally.	21	Δ	We yes. Davy Bettelon's phone was seized, and we did
22	<b>А.</b> Q.	And, in fact, further information was received that those	22	<b>A</b> •	a download on his phone.
24	<b>~</b> ·	associated with Mr. Peters believed he may have been	24	Q.	Luke Bramlett?
25		involved in the chase, right?	25	-	I believe his phone was seized.
		89		•	91
1					

1	0	Kyle Peters?	1	•	Une was die er in an abie in twee different webielen webe
1	Q.	,	1	А.	I'm reading it as this is two different vehicles we're
2	А.	I'm not sure on his phone. I'd have to refer to our	2	0	talking about.
3	0	evidence log of whose phone we took.	3	Q.	How do you read that?
4	Q.	Gary Baldwin that we just referenced?	4	А.	Well, it looks to me like he's referring to something
5	A.		5		that was on the trailer that was white, and he's
6	Q.	I want to take you back to Tip 72. This is the one with	6	0	nonspecific of what it was.
7		3515 Morton Road, the address associated with Bettelon	7	Q.	It's not a new paragraph or anything. It's all within
8		where a flatbed is seen driving a damaged white vehicle	8		the same paragraph.
9		out on December 9th.	9	А.	He mentions a white er, he mentions a Denali in
10	_	Okay.	10	0	Stockbridge multiple times
11	Q.	In fact, Michigan State Police received information from	11	Q.	And then takes
12		an individual that a Denali he has observed a Denali	12		since the sixth.
13		in Stockbridge multiple times, but since December 6th,	13	Q.	the police to 3515 Morton Road, right?
14		sometime around midday, was the last time he saw it.	14	A.	Right.
15		Right?	15		MR. MORLEY: That's all I have. Thank you.
16	_	Yes, sir. Yes.	16		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.
17	Q.	So information was received a white SUV seen around town	17		Mr. Roth, redirect examination?
18		but not seen after December 6th, that information goes on	18		MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
19		and was determined that this came or was pointed out	19		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20		Michigan State Police found out that it was at 3515	20		BY MR. ROTH:
21		Morton Road, right?	21	Q.	Mr. Morley asked you about a lot of tips where the answer
22	A.	Yes. But this tip doesn't indicate it was white.	22		was that you did not personally follow up on them. Is
23	Q.	Well, at the very top, if you look	23		that correct?
24	A.	It's a Denali in Stockbridge multiple times, but I don't	24	A.	Yes.
25		see where it says white.	25	Q.	Did you ensure that one of the many members of your team
		92			94
1	Q.	Go to the top of the page. It continues from	1		followed up on each of them?
2		On 12-9-2014 at approximately	2	A.	Yes.
3		16:00 hours he observed a dark	3	Q.	And, for example, many of them implicated people related
4		Ford F-250 or 350 pull out of a	4		to the Back Street bar?
5		driveway hauling a trailer. On	5	A.	Yes.
6		the trailer was a front end of a	6	Q.	Right. Were those closed out in group once the relevant
7		white vehicle described as being	7		vehicle was able to be disproven?
8		the whole front hood and side	8	A.	Yes.
9		panel portion of a white vehicle.	9	Q.	Was each of them closed out in some in your opinion as
10		The headlights were missing but	10		the leader of the task force responsible in an
11		no damage was observed on the	11		appropriate manner
12		vehicle.	12	A.	Yes.
13		Do you see that?	13	Q.	after sufficient investigation?
14	A.	Yes.	14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	So it is a white vehicle, right?	15	Q.	Mr. Morley asked about somebody who said, "I wouldn't be
16	A.	Yes.	16		surprised to learn that Peters was the suspect." That
17	Q.	It's not seen after December 6, '14, right?	17		wasn't somebody who said they had any personal knowledge
18	A.	By who?	18		he was involved, correct?
19	Q.	By your tipster. The middle of the page.	19	A.	That's correct.
20		Michael advised he has observed a	20	Q.	So, in contrast, we heard testimony from Brian
21		Denali in Stockbridge multiple	21		Hildabridle, Tony Hildabridle, Sandie Hale who received
22		times but since December 6, '14,	22		inculpatory statements from the Defendant in this case.
23		sometime around midday was the	23		Did anybody else say that any of your tipsters say
24		last time he seen it.	24		that somebody else told them they did this?
25		Right?	25	A.	No.
		93			95

			1		
1	Q.	Was anybody else not able to produce their white SUV?	1	Q.	Given the sort of back country roads where this pursuit
2	A.	No.	2		went, would you expect it to be that clean?
3	Q.	Did everybody else cooperate with the investigation?	3		No, sir.
4	A.	Yes. Did anybody else's white SUV fit the description of what	4	Q.	Mr. Morley asked about the fact that Deputy Hoeksema initially said that it could have been a pickup with a
5	Q.	we see in the videos of the vehicle that becomes involved	5		, , , , , ,
6			-		topper on it. Did the video support that from the gas
7		in the pursuit?	7		stations and L & B leading up to the pursuit?
8	A.	No.	8	А.	No, it did not.
9	Q.	Mr. Morley asked specifically about the first vehicle	9		MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.
10		that was put out there as a possibility being identified	10		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.
11		in Meridian Township. Do you remember that?	11		You may step down, sir. Thank you, very much.
12	_	Yes.	12		(At 12:05 p.m., the witness
13	Q.	First of all, geographically, did it fit at all with the	13		stepped down from the witness
14		pursuit?	14		stand.)
15	A.	No.	15		THE COURT: Mr. Roth, further proofs?
16	Q.	Meridian is quite a ways from Stockbridge?	16		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to recall
17	A.	,	17		Detective Trooper Johnston for two very narrow issues.
18	Q.	And did you interview the people involved?	18		THE COURT: All right. Please raise your right
19		l did.	19		hand again.
20	Q.	If we were looking to take the easy way out, this is the	20		Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
21		first suspect. It would be easiest to just say they did	21		whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
22		it, correct?	22		DETECTIVE TROOPER JOHNSTON: Yes, I do.
23	А.	Sure.	23		THE COURT: Mr. Roth?
24	Q.	You had no other suspects at the time, correct?	24		MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	A.		25		TROY JOHNSTON
		96			98
1	Q.	Did you rule them out?	1		called by the People at 12:05 p.m., sworn by the Court,
2	A.	Yes, I did.	2		testified:
3	Q.	Based on what?	3		DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	A.	Based on the interview of the individuals that were	4		BY MR. ROTH:
5		presented to me.	5	Q.	Mr. Morley asked Detective Sergeant McPhee about a tip
6	Q.	Were they cooperative?	6		involving a front end of a white he alleged it might
7	А.	Very.	7		be a Denali, the front end of a vehicle. Did you receive
8	Q.	Let you look at their vehicle?	8		further information about that in the trail cams?
9	A.	Yes, sir.	9	A.	Yes, I did.
10	Q.	And did their vehicle fit the possibility of one that was	10	Q.	And did it show that it was not, in fact, a Denali?
11		involved in the pursuit?	11	A.	It did.
12	А.	No, it did not.	12	Q.	And physically were you then able to remove that as being
13	Q.	In what way?	13		involved in the pursuit?
14	А.	The vehicle that they were driving was, I believe, a 2015	14	А.	Yes, we were.
15		GMC Yukon, and it was a shorter version. I believe it	15	Q.	Mr. Morley also asked about the Defendant setting up an
16		was an non-XL version. Nearly brand new vehicle. Very	16		interview with you excuse me, with the police, in
17		clean.	17		general, about this case. Was that set up on Thursday of
18	Q.	What about the tires?	18		that week?
19	A.	Well, like I said, it was so new that the tires still had	19	А.	
20		the small stems sticking off of them.	20	Q.	And Detective Sergeant McPhee testified that he wasn't in
21	Q.	A vehicle involved in a more than 100-mile-per-hour	21		town, or he was off during that time is that right?
22		chase, you wouldn't expect to see those still on there?	22	A.	Right.
23	A.	I wouldn't expect they would survive that.	23	Q.	At what point did you take over being the head when he
24	Q.	Was the vehicle clean?	24		took off for a few days?
25	A.	Very clean.	25	A.	I believe it was either on Wednesday or Thursday.
1		97			99

1	Q.	All right. And without getting into details, he had some	1	Q.	And, in fact, when he was stopped, he wasn't driving, and
2		sort of family emergency?	2		he had his two kids in the car, right?
3	A.	Yes.	3	A.	Correct.
4	Q.	And so on Thursday, the interview was set up for Monday	4	Q.	Passport with him or anything like that?
5		of the following week?	5	A.	No.
6	A.	Correct.	6	Q.	A map or a GPS or travel plans?
7	Q.	Did any of the other people implicated in the tips try	7	A.	I don't know if the vehicle was searched to be able to
8		and put this off for that many days?	8		say that.
9	A.	No.	9	Q.	It was middle of December. He had clothes commensurate
10	Q.	And was it ultimately your decision to arrest the	10		with the weather, right?
11		Defendant before that interview?	11	A.	Right.
12	A.	Yes.	12	Q.	So because he's got toothpaste and 300 bucks, you felt he
13	Q.	Why?	13		was a flight risk?
14	A.	Well, there was a lot of things going on at that time.	14	A.	No.
15		Interviews specifically, we had Detective Sergeant	15		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
16		Singleton and Detective Sergeant Bundshuh, and they were	16		the mischaracterization. Considering him a flight risk
17		conducting interviews of witnesses, specifically Brian	17		was a prospective belief. What he had on him was
18		Hildabridle, Sandie Hale, and Tony Hildabridle.	18		retrospective.
19		Those are very important interviews, one of	19		THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
20		which, that being Tony Hildabridle, wasn't done until	20		You opened the door on it.
21		Friday. And at that time on Friday, the fugitive team we	21		THE WITNESS: Can you restate it?
22		had already asked to locate Mr. Kelsey and, you know,	22		BY MR. MORLEY:
23		find his location and follow him. And based on the	23	Q.	Because he had toothpaste and 300 bucks, he was a flight
24		based on following Mr. Kelsey around, it was determined	24		risk?
25		that he was a possible flight risk with his with the	25	A.	Not for just those reasons, no.
		100			102
1		type of traveling that he was doing that day, and that's	1	Q.	What else?
1 2		type of traveling that he was doing that day, and that's why he was arrested.	1 2	Q. <b>A.</b>	What else? We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.
	Q.				
2	Q.	why he was arrested.	2		We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.
2 3	Q.	why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was	2 3	<b>A.</b> Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that
2 3 4	Q. <b>A.</b>	why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of	2 3 4	<b>A.</b> Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston?
2 3 4 5		why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?	2 3 4 5	<b>A.</b> Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're
2 3 4 5 6	А.	why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk? Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6	<b>A.</b> Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go
2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk? Absolutely. And in retrospect with the things that were found on his	2 3 4 5 6 7	<b>A.</b> Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	А.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was</li> <li>fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of</li> <li>him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his</li> <li>person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest</li> <li>early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>In what way?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>In what way?</li> <li>This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>In what way?</li> <li>This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team. I don't want we. I want you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>In what way?</li> <li>This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's been my experience that, you know, typically people don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team. I don't want we. I want you. MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was</li> <li>fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of</li> <li>him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his</li> <li>person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest</li> <li>early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>In what way?</li> <li>This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle</li> <li>with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's</li> <li>been my experience that, you know, typically people don't</li> <li>have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team. I don't want we. I want you. MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Mr. Morley asked about the observations on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>why he was arrested.</li> <li>Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early?</li> <li>Absolutely.</li> <li>In what way?</li> <li>This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's been my experience that, you know, typically people don't have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste when they're casually traveling around.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team. I don't want we. I want you. MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Mr. Morley asked about the observations on the surveillance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk? Absolutely. And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early? Absolutely. In what way? This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's been my experience that, you know, typically people don't have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste when they're casually traveling around. MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.It's been my experience thatOne in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they'reassociated with the other residence will typically goback to those residences. The type of movement that hewas conducting was notBut you weren't following him.I wasn't.Then you don't know, right?We were getting feedback from the fugitive team.I don't want we. I want you.MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.Mr. Morley asked about the observations on thesurveillance.MR. MORLEY: No, I didn't. I said, "You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<pre>why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk? Absolutely. And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early? Absolutely. In what way? This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's been my experience that, you know, typically people don't have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste when they're casually traveling around. MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.         It's been my experience that         One in Ingham County and one in Livingston?         people who live at those residences and they're         associated with the other residence will typically go         back to those residences. The type of movement that he         was conducting was not         But you weren't following him.         I wasn't.         Then you don't know, right?         We were getting feedback from the fugitive team.         I don't want we. I want you.         MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.         Mr. MorLey: No, I didn't. I said, "You         considered him a flight risk based on what?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	why he was arrested.   Did the very nature of this offense being that he was   fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of   him being a flight risk?   Absolutely.   And in retrospect with the things that were found on his   person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest   early?   Absolutely.   In what way?   This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle   with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's   been my experience that, you know, typically people don't   have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste   when they're casually traveling around.   MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.   THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.   Mr. Morley?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team. I don't want we. I want you. MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Mr. Morley asked about the observations on the surveillance. MR. MORLEY: No, I didn't. I said, "You considered him a flight risk based on what?" THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<pre>why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk? Absolutely. And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early? Absolutely. In what way? This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's been my experience that, you know, typically people don't have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste when they're casually traveling around. MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley? CROSS-EXAMINATION</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.It's been my experience thatOne in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they'reassociated with the other residence will typically goback to those residences. The type of movement that hewas conducting was notBut you weren't following him.I wasn't.Then you don't know, right?We were getting feedback from the fugitive team.I don't want we. I want you.MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.Mr. MORLEY: No, I didn't. I said, "Youconsidered him a flight risk based on what?"THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection andallow that question to be answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	why he was arrested. Did the very nature of this offense being that he was fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of him being a flight risk? Absolutely. And in retrospect with the things that were found on his person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest early? Absolutely. In what way? This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's been my experience that, you know, typically people don't have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste when they're casually traveling around. MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road. It's been my experience that One in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they're associated with the other residence will typically go back to those residences. The type of movement that he was conducting was not But you weren't following him. I wasn't. Then you don't know, right? We were getting feedback from the fugitive team. I don't want we. I want you. MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Mr. Morley asked about the observations on the surveillance. MR. MORLEY: No, I didn't. I said, "You considered him a flight risk based on what?" THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection and allow that question to be answered. BY MR. MORLEY: You. Not your team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	why he was arrested.   Did the very nature of this offense being that he was   fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of   him being a flight risk?   Absolutely.   And in retrospect with the things that were found on his   person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest   early?   Absolutely.   In what way?   This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle   with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's   been my experience that, you know, typically people don't   have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste   when they're casually traveling around.   MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.   THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.   Mr. Morley?   CROSS-EXAMINATION   BY MR. MORLEY:   When you're trailing Mr. Kelsey the week of December 9th,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.It's been my experience thatOne in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they'reassociated with the other residence will typically goback to those residences. The type of movement that hewas conducting was notBut you weren't following him.I wasn't.Then you don't know, right?We were getting feedback from the fugitive team.I don't want we. I want you.MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.Mr. Morley asked about the observations on thesurveillance.MR. MORLEY: No, I didn't. I said, "Youconsidered him a flight risk based on what?"THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection andallow that question to be answered.BY MR. MORLEY:You. Not your team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A.	why he was arrested.   Did the very nature of this offense being that he was   fleeing and eluding from the police increase that risk of   him being a flight risk?   Absolutely.   And in retrospect with the things that were found on his   person, were you pleased that you did ask for his arrest   early?   Absolutely.   In what way?   This is a person that was traveling around in a vehicle   with a toothbrush and toothpaste and \$300 cash. It's   been my experience that, you know, typically people don't   have those items, at least the toothbrush and toothpaste   when they're casually traveling around.   MR. ROTH: Nothing further, Your Honor.   THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.   Mr. Morley?   CROSS-EXAMINATION   BY MR. MORLEY:   When you're trailing Mr. Kelsey the week of December 9th,   10th, 11th, 12th, does he go anywhere besides lngham or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	We had surveillance both on Teahen Road and Morton Road.It's been my experience thatOne in Ingham County and one in Livingston? people who live at those residences and they'reassociated with the other residence will typically goback to those residences. The type of movement that hewas conducting was notBut you weren't following him.I wasn't.Then you don't know, right?We were getting feedback from the fugitive team.I don't want we. I want you.MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.Mr. Morley asked about the observations on thesurveillance.MR. MORLEY: No, I didn't. I said, "Youconsidered him a flight risk based on what?"THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection andallow that question to be answered.BY MR. MORLEY:You. Not your team.Based on the information that we were receiving from the

1	MR. MORLEY: That's all I have.	1	Juror No. 11. I would ask that when we come back in
2	THE COURT: Mr. Roth, redirect?	2	after our break, we proceed with the framework that we
3	MR. ROTH: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.	3	addressed earlier.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may step	4	THE COURT: All right.
5	down, sir.	5	MR. ROTH: Without objection.
6	(At 12:10 p.m., the witness	6	THE COURT: Okay. We'll do that.
7	stepped down from the witness	7	MR. MORLEY: If I may, Your Honor, directed
8	stand.)	8	verdict?
9	THE COURT: Mr. Roth, further proofs?	9	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Morley.
10	MR. ROTH: Your Honor, at this time, I'm going	10	MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, pursuant to MCR 6.419
11	to verify that the Court has received all of the People's	11	the Court shall issue a directed verdict of acquittal on
12	exhibits.	12	any charge of the Defense in which the evidence is
13	MR. MORLEY: I didn't hear what you said.	13	insufficient to sustain a conviction.
14	MR. ROTH: Verify that the Court has received	14	It's the Defendant's position that the
15	all of the exhibits: 1 through 117, not 118, 119 through	15	prosecutor has not met its burden and satisfied the
16	199 I'm sorry, through 198, and then 202 through 212.	16	elements in either the fleeing and eluding resulting in
17	THE COURT: That's exactly what I show,	17	death charge or the driving while license suspended or
18	Mr. Roth.	18	revoked causing death charge. And I would ask the Court
19	MR. ROTH: With that, the People would rest.	19	to direct a verdict of acquittal on both counts.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Roth.	20	THE COURT: Mr. Roth?
21	And with that, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are	21	MR. ROTH: Your Honor, taking the evidence in
22	going to take a break. And what we're going to do,	22	the light most favorable to the People, there is more
23	consistent with what I warned you about yesterday that	23	than sufficient evidence as to each count. If the Court
24	might happen, is we will actually take a lunch break	24	has any questions, I'd be happy to answer them.
25	today. So we will send you to lunch on your own or	25	Otherwise, I'd ask the Court to deny the motion.
	104		106
1	whatever you want to do until 1:30, and then we'll come	1	THE COURT: Mr. Morley, anything further
2	back and we'll reconvene at that time. We'll need to	2	MR. MORLEY: Nothing further.
3	take a couple of breaks in the afternoon because I have	3	THE COURT: in response to that?
4	some a couple matters scheduled, but we'll just go on	4	I will deny the motion. I think there is
5	that schedule at this point.	5	clearly a fact question on the elements of the two counts
6	Again, please do not discuss the case with	6	that are alleged. There is since neither of you have
7	anyone, including amongst yourselves. You're not to the	7	gone through and identified specifically testimony one
8	point of deliberating yet. We have more proofs or part	8	way or another, I'm not going to take the time to do that
9	of this trial to be heard, and so do not discuss it	9	either, but suffice it to say that there is sufficient
10	amongst yourselves or with anyone else. If you happen to	10	testimony to create a fact question on the elements. So
11	see anyone affiliated with this case, as I said at the	11	l will deny the motion.
12	beginning, whether it be the lawyers, the parties, or	12	MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	even anybody in the audience, just walk by them as if	13	MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.
14	they're not even there, and they're to do the same thing	14	THE COURT: Thank you. See you back here about
15	to you. And if that does not happen, something different	15	1:30.
16	happens, you should report that to me or to Mr. Adkins.	16	MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.
17	All right.	17	(At 12:15 p.m., recessed;
18	THE BAILIFF: All rise.	18	reconvened at 1:37 p.m.)
19	(At 12:13 p.m., the jury left the	19	THE COURT: We need
20	courtroom.)	20	It's Juror No. 11, you said, Mr. Morley,
21	THE COURT: All right. And before we go off of	21	correct?
22	the record, Mr. Morley, do you want to address the matter	22	MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir.
23	we started the day, which is the issue regarding the	23	THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring the juror in.
24	juror, particular juror?	24	(At 1:40 p.m., Juror No. 11
25	MR. MORLEY: Mr. Kelsey has identified it as	25	entered the courtroom.)
	105		107

1	THE COURT: Please be seated.	1	THE COURT: Okay. If I recall correctly, I
2	Juror No. 11, I have called you in because I	2	think what was placed on the record was that it did rise
3	have a question I want to ask of you about arrival to	3	to the level of it being a basis for dismissal.
4	court this morning. At any time before we started the	4	Is that am I remembering that correctly,
5	proceedings this morning, did you observe or see the	5	Mr. Roth?
6	Defendant?	6	MR. ROTH: My position was at that time nothing
7	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.	7	needed to be done particularly given that we had more
8	THE COURT: Okay. What were the circumstances	8	than a week left on the trial. But given that we're now
9	of that?	9	at the end, all we have left, really, are closings and
10	JUROR NO. 11: He was in a State patrol car.	10	this afternoon. We have two alternates for a reason. I
11	THE COURT: All right. Where?	11	think is the appropriate time. The other thing it does
12	JUROR NO. 11: In front of the building.	12	is it erases the appellate issue.
13	THE COURT: Okay.	13	THE COURT: Can I see counsel at the bench a
14	JUROR NO. 11: I was walking up. They drove	14	minute?
15	by.	15	(At 1:41 p.m., an at-the-bench
16	THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else	16	discussion is held off the
17	that happened in that	17	record.)
18	JUROR NO. 11: No.	18	THE COURT: All right. We've had a conference
19	THE COURT: period of time?	19	at the bench in part to help refresh me as to what the
20	JUROR NO. 11: Okay. Mr. Roth, any questions?	20	prior issues were to make sure I had them right. I did
21	MR. ROTH: No, Your Honor.	21	recall them correctly, apparently, based on what you told
22	THE COURT: Mr. Morley, any questions?	22	me at the bench with regard to Juror No. 8.
23	MR. MORLEY: No, sir.	23	And then that the Defense, as I understand it,
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	24	is objecting to the proposal by the prosecutor that both
25	JUROR NO. 11: You're welcome.	25	11 and 8 be dismissed at this point in time or discharged
	108		110
1	(At 1:41 p.m., Juror No. 11 left	1	at this point in time. The prosecutor's position, as I
2	the courtroom.)	2	understand it, is that would eliminate any issue, the
3	THE COURT: You can be seated.	3	only issues we had about any seated jurors. And I
4	Mr. Roth?	4	understand there is then objection to that, including the
5	MR. ROTH: Your Honor, at this time I'm going	5	continued objection as to 8.
6	to move to excuse	6	And then, Mr. Morley, comments?
7	I'm waiting for the door.	7	MR. MORLEY: I think that's accurate,
8	THE COURT: You can close the door.	8	Your Honor. To be clear I think I conceded to 11
9	Go ahead.	9	previously. I'd object to the removal of both No. 11 and
10	MR. ROTH: Move to excuse Jurors 8 and 11.	10	No. 8, there being no basis for their removal, and the
11	This is why we have alternates. I don't think either one	11	Court should just rely on its random draw.
12		12	THE COURT: Mr. Roth?
	is a significant issue, but if we could go in knowing		
13	is a significant issue, but if we could go in knowing that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that	13	MR. ROTH: Nothing further.
		13 14	MR. ROTH:Nothing further. THE COURT:Okay.It does appear, as I said, I
13	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that		-
13 14	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe	14	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I
13 14 15	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both.	14 15	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that
13 14 15 16	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley?	14 15 16	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to
13 14 15 16 17	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd	14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis
13 14 15 16 17 18	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already	14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already established it. The parties already agreed, and there is	14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true for No. 11.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already established it. The parties already agreed, and there is no basis.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true for No. 11. There has been, during the trial, substantial
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already established it. The parties already agreed, and there is no basis. MR. ROTH: The parties did not agree. That's a	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true for No. 11. There has been, during the trial, substantial testimony about the Defendant having been arrested and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already established it. The parties already agreed, and there is no basis. MR. ROTH: The parties did not agree. That's a misstatement.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true for No. 11. There has been, during the trial, substantial testimony about the Defendant having been arrested and being held in custody, albeit not the specific length of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already established it. The parties already agreed, and there is no basis. MR. ROTH: The parties did not agree. That's a misstatement. MR. MORLEY: At the bench, we reached an	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true for No. 11. There has been, during the trial, substantial testimony about the Defendant having been arrested and being held in custody, albeit not the specific length of time being held in custody, but I don't think it rises to
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	that we have 12 jurors without an issue, I'd rather that than have 14 jurors and risk getting two that we maybe have issues on. So I'm going to move to excuse both. THE COURT: Mr. Morley? MR. MORLEY: I won't object on 11, Judge. I'd object on 8. There is no basis for it. We've already established it. The parties already agreed, and there is no basis. MR. ROTH: The parties did not agree. That's a misstatement. MR. MORLEY: At the bench, we reached an agreement. Nothing was placed on the record that anybody	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Okay. It does appear, as I said, I think, if I remember correctly when we discussed 8 that it did not rise given his answers, it did not rise to the level of demonstrating juror misconduct or a basis along that line for removal. I think the same is true for No. 11. There has been, during the trial, substantial testimony about the Defendant having been arrested and being held in custody, albeit not the specific length of time being held in custody, but I don't think it rises to the level, again, of tainting the juror. So I will deny

1	Mr. Doth2	-	0	Lightenant you've testified previously and the tell
1 2	Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: The one other thing to note for the	1 2	Ų.	Lieutenant, you've testified previously, and I'll tell you what I'm doing. I want to address some of the tips
3	record, and I don't recall if it was placed on this	3		that you and I discussed previously. Do you recall that?
4	morning, is that the Defendant was in civilian clothes	4	A.	I do.
5	when he was in the patrol car and in the front seat this	5	<b>д.</b>	The prosecutor has just sat down his copies of the tips,
6	morning and not visibly in handcuffs.	6	Q.	and what I'll do for ease of reference is I'll give you
7	THE COURT: Is that correct, to your	7		the number. I'll give you an opportunity to review them,
8	understanding, Mr. Morley; he was in civilian clothes?	8		and you let me know when you're ready. I would like to
9	THE DEFENDANT: I don't know. My hands could	9		start
10	have been up like this.	10		Well, let me give all brief we've
11	THE COURT: Speak with your counsel, sir, and	11		established it already, but it's my understanding that
12	then we'll ask Mr. Morley.	12		Sergeant McPhee activated or set up the tip line as it
13	MR. MORLEY: To my knowledge, it's accurate,	13		relates to this matter, right?
14	Your Honor. There could have an inadvertent display, but	14	A.	That is correct.
15	to my knowledge, yes.	15	0.	You were the tip coordinator I believe your title was,
16	THE COURT: Okay.	16	· ·	right?
17	MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	A.	That is correct.
18	THE COURT: All right.	18	Q.	Does that make you I'm not minimizing or anything.
19	So with that, Mr. Roth, are we ready for the	19		Does that make you the number two, or are you two peers
20	jury?	20		in terms of investigators at that point?
21	MR. ROTH: I believe so, Your Honor.	21	A.	l guess let me
22	THE COURT: Mr. Morley, are you ready to	22	Q.	- Here's what I'm trying to get at: Are people reporting
23	proceed with the understanding that we have, as to the	23		to you. "Here, Lieutenant, here's what we found."
24	timing of witnesses and that you'll need to break	24	A.	I am getting the tip sheets back. So I'm finding what
25	between, I understand it?	25		what was found.
	112			114
1	MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir.	1	Q.	Okay.
2	THE COURT: Okay.	2	A.	Are they reporting back to me directly? No. I don't
3	All right. Let's bring the jurors in.	3		think in the sense that you're referring to. If you had
4	(At 1:49 p.m., the jury entered	4		a tip and you were done with the tip, you may give it
5	the courtroom.)	5		back to me. You may have ended your shift before I came
6	THE COURT: Please be seated.	6		in, in the morning so the completed tip sheet could be
7	And, Mr. Morley, do you elect to present	7		sitting in my in-basket, at which time I would look at
8	evidence?	8		it.
9	MR. MORLEY: I would, Your Honor.	9		I may proactively generate a new tip based off
10	THE COURT: Okay.	10		of something that the trooper found, and just, as a
11	MR. MORLEY: Defense would call Lieutenant Lisa	11		detective, say "Gee, it would be nice to follow up on
12	Gee-Cram, I believe it is, please.	12		this." So I may have initiated yet a new tip off of that
13	THE COURT: Raise your right hand.	13		existing tip, if the case was so necessary, but
14	Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the	14		And then I would close the tip, you know, close
15	whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	15		the tip out and taken it and married my two sheets back
16	LIEUTENANT GEE-CRAM: Yes, sir.	16	6	together and then filed it.
17	THE COURT: Please be seated. State your full	17	Q.	Fair to say and I don't need to beat this to death,
18	name for us, and spell your last name.	18		but your hands are on all of these in some form or
19	THE WITNESS: Lisa Gee-Cram, G-E-E - C-R-A-M.	19		fashion? It's all got to go through you at one point,
20	THE COURT: Mr. Morley?	20		correct?
21	LISA GREE-CRAM	21	A.	That is correct.
22	called by the Defendant at 1:50 p.m., sworn by the Court,	22	Q.	That's what I want. With that said, I'd like to refer
23	testified:	23		you to Tip No. 100, please.
24		24	A.	Okay.
25	BY MR. MORLEY:	25	Q.	Why don't you take a moment and review that and let me
	113			115

1		know when you're ready, please.	1		partial (verbatim) vehicle on
2	A.	Okay.	2		trailer leaving residence on
3	Q.	Tip No. 100 came in on December 12th of '14. It appears	3		Morton Road on Tuesday.
4		it was assigned to you. You're Lisa that's referenced in	4	A.	Correct.
5		there, right?	5	Q.	Goes on to tell you makes reference to a Denali.
6	A.	Initially let me just explain this to you. Tip	6		Front end looked like belonged to
7		No. 100 is what we're looking at, yes?	7		a newer type vehicle. 80 percent
8	Q.	Right.	8		sure belonged to a Denali.
9	A.	Tip No. 100 was sourced from Tip No. 72. It was prepared	9		Stated that the driver of the
10		by me	10		Denali is a white male, 5' 9",
11	Q.	l understand that.  I'm just	11		medium build
12	A.	on 12-12. It was assigned initially to Peterson.	12		Something.
13	Q.	l see that.	13		facial hair and is always
14	A.	And then	14		wearing a hat.
15	Q.	But I'm asking are you the Lisa referenced in this?	15		Right?
16	A.	Yes, I am.	16	A.	I'm reviewing this, sir. None of this is my writing.
17	Q.	That's all I wanted.	17	Q.	l understand.
18	A.	Yes.	18	-	What you what you read to me, yes, is in this tip.
19	0.	And Tip No. 100 indicates:	19	0.	
20	×.	3515 Morton Road. Truck and	20	χ.	all of this, the alleged Denali and the alleged subject
21		trailer with partial (verbatim)	21		at 3515 Morton Road in Stockbridge, right?
22		damaged vehicle seen leaving	22	A.	That is correct.
23		above address on 12-9 of '14.	23	<b>Q</b> .	And that is not the Defendant's residence, right?
24		Right?	24	Q. A.	It is not.
24	A.	Actually, sir, I believe it says:	24	<b>А.</b> Q.	Did you conduct a follow-up investigation into that?
20	А.	116	2.5	Q.	118
1		3515 Morton Road-truck and	1	Δ	I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes.
2		trailer (w/	2	Q.	Direct your attention to Tip No. 135, please.
3		Meaning with.	3	<u></u> . А.	I'm sorry, say that again, 1, what?
4		parted/damage vehicle).	4	<b>Q</b> .	1-3-5.
5	0	Parted. Any idea what parted means?			Okay.
	Q.	Parted means not an entire vehicle.	5	<b>A.</b> Q.	In December 7th, a tip was assigned to you. The person
6 7	<b>A.</b> Q.	Okay. And then on the next page, you then went to 3515	0	Q.	in December 7th, a tip was assigned to you. The person
	Q.		7		identified as Requel has information on a possible
8			7		identified as Raquel has information on a possible
		to conduct an investigation, right?	8		culprit vehicle, right?
9	<b>A.</b>	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes.	8 9	<b>A.</b>	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct.
9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your	8 9 10	Q.	culprit vehicle, right? <b>That is correct.</b> That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14?
9 10 11	Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right?	8 9 10 11		culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was,
9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b>	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing	8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29.
9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking	8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and
9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th?
9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself.
9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to - I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct. Okay. And then related to that, and you referenced it	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony Hildabridle was bragging about
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to - I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct. Okay. And then related to that, and you referenced it	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony Hildabridle was bragging about
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. 1'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct. Okay. And then related to that, and you referenced it earlier, is Tip No. 72.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony Hildabridle was bragging about causing accident at Dam Site.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct. Okay. And then related to that, and you referenced it earlier, is Tip No. 72. Yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to - I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony Hildabridle was bragging about causing accident at Dam Site. Caller requested anonymity.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to conduct an investigation, right? I did go to 3515 Morton Road, yes. But they refused to contact law enforcement, in your words, right? Let me explain Tip 100 to you because you're missing No, no. I'm just asking No. They did not My question is They did not return a call, yes, to In fact, you indicated they refused to contact LE, law enforcement, right? Correct. Okay. And then related to that, and you referenced it earlier, is Tip No. 72. Yes. And, again, these two are related:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	culprit vehicle, right? That is correct. That was assigned to you on December 29th of '14? December 7th of '14. It was prepared by me. It was, yes, aside to I made disposition on it on 12-29. Is there any investigation done between December 7th and December 29th? Not by myself. Direct your attention to Tip No. 139, please. Okay. And 12-18, you received information: Anonymous caller advised Anthony Hildabridle was bragging about causing accident at Dam Site. Caller requested anonymity. That was assigned to you on or are about 12-19,

1	Q.	Did you follow up with that investigation?	1	Q.	It's assigned to you, right?
2	A.	That tip came in on 12-18, sir, and I closed it out on	2	A.	Yes, it is.
3		12-19.	3	Q.	Did you investigate this?
4	Q.	Direct your attention to Tip No. 140, please.	4	A.	I did not investigate this one myself. There is
5	A.	Okay.	5		disposition, though, on there that
6	Q.	12-13 of 2014, you received information that:	6	Q.	Direct your attention that wasn't my question. Direct
7		Caller believed the SUV in photos	7		your attention to Tip 39, please.
8		may have previously belonged to	8	A.	Okay. Yes?
9		her.	9	Q.	12-9 of '14, you received appears it was assigned to
10		Do you recall that? This was assigned to you	10		you on it's undated:
11		on December that's a two-part question. I apologize.	11		Luke Bramlett has Facebook page
12		This was assigned to you on December 13th of 2014?	12		with a white SUV in corner of
13	A.	Correct.	13		picture.
14	Q.	Do you recall that?	14		Is that accurate?
15	A.	l do, yes.	15	A.	That yes, sir.
16	Q.	Did you investigate that?	16	Q.	Did you investigate that?
17	A.	Yes, I did.	17	A.	l did not.
18	Q.	Direct your attention to Tip 141.	18	Q.	Direct your attention to Tip 111.
19	A.	Yes, sir.	19	A.	Yes, sir.
20	Q.	On December 12th, a tip was of 2014. A tip was	20	Q.	This is a tip that is prepared by you on December 12th of
21		assigned to you advising that:	21		2014, right?
22		Tip reported that Brian Bridle	22	A.	That is correct.
23		was driver taking friend back to	23	Q.	It indicates:
24		Stockbridge.	24		A guy named Cleo Davenport
25		Do you recall that?	25		driving white SUV. Said he was
		120			122
1	A.	l do.	1		wanted for murder of police
2	Q.	Did you investigate that?	2		officer in chase.
2 3	-	Did you investigate that? Yes, I did.	2 3		officer in chase. And in parenthesis it says:
	-				
3	<b>A.</b> Q.	Yes, I did.	3		And in parenthesis it says:
3 4	<b>A.</b> Q.	<b>Yes, I did.</b> Direct your attention to Tip 144.	3	A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.)
3 4 5	A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay.	3 4 5	<b>A.</b> Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that?
3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that:	3 4 5 6		And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me.
3 4 5 6 7	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle.	3 4 5 6 7	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that?
3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate?	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct. Did you investigate this?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct. Did you investigate this? I did not.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally investigate it."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct. Did you investigate this? I did not. Direct your attention to Tip 38, please.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally investigate it." The ones that weren't personally investigated,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct. Did you investigate this? I did not. Direct your attention to Tip 38, please. Yes, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally investigate it." The ones that weren't personally investigated, did you have somebody; you or Detective Sergeant McPhee
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes, I did.Direct your attention to Tip 144.Okay.On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate?That is not my writing.It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you?Yeah, that is me.Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle?That is correct.Did you investigate this?I did not.Direct your attention to Tip 38, please.Yes, sir.This one appears undated. This caller says:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally investigate it." The ones that weren't personally investigated, did you have somebody; you or Detective Sergeant McPheee or Detective Trooper Johnston assign somebody to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct. Did you investigate this? I did not. Direct your attention to Tip 38, please. Yes, sir. This one appears undated. This caller says: Tyler Brown went to a house at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally investigate it." The ones that weren't personally investigated, did you have somebody; you or Detective Sergeant McPheee or Detective Trooper Johnston assign somebody to investigate each of them?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	Yes, I did. Direct your attention to Tip 144. Okay. On May 13th, it appears you reviewed information that: Shannon Gauthier was in vehicle. Is that accurate? That is not my writing. It says: Information reviewed by Lisa. Is that you? Yeah, that is me. Okay. And Shannon Gauthier is also or was Shannon Hildabridle? That is correct. Did you investigate this? I did not. Direct your attention to Tip 38, please. Yes, sir. This one appears undated. This caller says: Tyler Brown went to a house at 1:30 Sunday to beat someone up.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	And in parenthesis it says: (ID Cleo.) Did you investigate that? It was not assigned to me. Did you investigate that? No. MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Lieutenant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley. Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROTH: The tips that Mr. Morley asked you about, there was a fair number where the answer was "I didn't personally investigate it." The ones that weren't personally investigated, did you have somebody; you or Detective Sergeant McPhee or Detective Trooper Johnston assign somebody to investigate each of them? Yes, sir.

3       A. Okay,       3       I left another vehicle - er, another card. However, the information was that a parted vehicle fet. So the concert, left and unding the investigation, where it was assigned to found some information one way or the other to a concert. The left another vehicle - er, another card. However, the the concert.         9       0. Correct. I want to tak a luft more broady about tip of lines. When you go to the median and you ask for the oracle and you and the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you and the oracle and you and the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you and the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you ask for the oracle and you ask and the oracle and you ask and the oracle and you ask and the oracle and you and the oracle and the oracle and you and the oracle and you and the oracle and th				-		
3       A. Okay,       3       1 left another vehicle - er, another card. However, the information was that a parted vehicle left. So the concert. Being an investigator and detective, is that a parted vehicle left. So the concert. Being an investigator and detective, is that a control was that a parted vehicle left. So the concert. Being an investigator and detective, is that a parted vehicle left. So the concert. How the read, had been disassembled at this focusion. That would be the concert.         9       Carrect. It was to tak a later more broady about tip time.       9       There are neighbors. There are people that are and the read. How here, that I alled to, that I stand to the read. How here all had been disassembled at this focusion. That would be the concert.         11       guide's assistance, as police officers, do you know that in the read. How here all had been disassembled.       11       around 355 that i interveeted, that I alled to, that I staid. "Did you see anybody disassembling a vehicle across is did. "Did you see anybody disassembled in this case, that i staid." Did you see anybody disassembled in the again the area is a did you. In that one could assembled in this case, that and the most is an old fire. It had that are figuing to get a constance when you get them out.         12       Ch Aridy understand that early did to left. The asset of the them out.       10       I did the entry down, I was a did riche. There was and diffice. It had the add that are there when you get the asset of the them out.         13       the intervent.       12       a guide of the entry down, I was a did riche. The again the the distes at all.         14       A. Ho did to contex.       12	1	A.	Were the I guess repeat that. Were these	1		When I went to that residence to follow up,
9       0. Isn't it true you didn't dose out any tips until the       =       information was that a parted vehicle left. So the         9       to, found some information on every or the assigned       5       concern, being an investigator and detective, is that         9       A. Prior to an arrest being made in this case, that is true.       8       at his to concern, being an investigator. There are people that are         10       Uncert.       Inter out to tak a limit more broadly about tip       9       There are neighbors. There are people that are         10       public assistance, applic offlexs, doy with that are had       10       saround 351s that i interviewed, that i taked to, that I         11       public assistance, applic offlexs, doy with that are had       12       the read." The answer to that was no. I – when I went         12       bed that aren't going to gat a certain number of tips that are had       12       the read." The answer to that was an I. – when I went         13       leads that aren't going to gat acertain number of tips that are had       12       that are could assume that a vehicle could be         14       A. We segret a number of tips that are had       12       that aren't going to gat acertain number of tips that are had         15       Q. And you understand that even when you get these, you have       13       that so correct.       14         14       A. That is correct. <t< td=""><th>2</th><td>Q.</td><td>I can ask leading questions. So we can do it this way.</td><th>2</th><td></td><td>nobody was nobody was home. Nobody answered the door.</td></t<>	2	Q.	I can ask leading questions. So we can do it this way.	2		nobody was nobody was home. Nobody answered the door.
s     person doing the investigation, whoever it was assigned to, found some information one way of the other to eliminate or confirm the subject?     s     concern, being an investigator and detective, is that perhaps the vehicle we were looking for which belongs to Mr. Redsey who bites down the read, had been disassembled at this location. There are people that are around 355 that interviewed, that i tailed to, that 1 and, 'Did you see anybody disassembled at weight one broady about the public's assistance, as palice officers, day was know that is day that was not.     around 355 that interviewed, that i tailed to, that 1 and, 'Did you see anybody disassembled at was not.       2     Q. Correct: I was to tail a little one broady about the public's assistance, as palice officers, day was know that is day that aren't going to gat a carrent when you get the public's assistance, as palice officers, due have is day that aren't going to gat a carrent when you get the set of investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, to investigate them towas and in them to them and the to investigate to investi	3	A.	Okay.	3		I left another vehicle er, another card. However, the
0         to, found some information one way or the other to         6         perhaps the vehicle we were looking for, which belongs to           7         diminate or confirm the subject?         7         Mr. Relsey who lives down the read, and been disassembled           9         0. Correct. I want to talk a finite more broadly about tip         9         around 3315 that Interviewed, that I talked to that I           10         public sostiance, as policy of the other to anything?         10         around 3315 that Interviewed, that I talked to that I           11         public sostiance, as policy of the other to anything?         13         to knock on the door at 3515, there is a game three           13         leads that aren't going to lead to anything?         13         to knock on the door at 3515, there is a game three           14         to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, correct?         16         front of that entry door, it was a diff drive. There was a gale of rubble, whether it was an old fire. It had to could assume that was an old fire. It had to could assume that a while could be a dustant individue ton some are isst propile who say "I saw           14         whether game about the individue to a say fire?         12         furthermore, the garage door, which in right mest to the boors to investigate that?           15         0. Ad you understate to follow up on specifically that         24         10         house was nothing in the say and of the say and or reason to inthe ing that appea	4	Q.	lsn't it true you didn't close out any tips until the	4		information was that a parted vehicle left. So the
2       eliminate or confirm the subject?       2         8       A. Prior to an arrest being made in this case, that is true.       8         9       Correct. Vant to sit all title more broadly about tip       8         10       fines. When you go to the media and you ask for the       10         11       public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that       11       said. "Did you are anybody disassembling a whicle across as you did you understand that are bad         13       leads that aren't poing to get a care dive when you get those, you have       14       that one could assume that a whicle very men you get those, you have         14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       13       13       disassembled in. That arange has an entry door. In         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out,       16       front of that entry door, it was a diff drive. There was a given the form of the garage. As an entry door. In         18       A. That is correct.       18       there the or offers thats/s, that all, at the boat         19       A. That is correct.       18       there the or offers thats/s, that all, at the form of the garage. As an entry door. In         10       investigations to till, to determine which nors are       18       that was visible to no the second as you that appead?         10       A regione offers trats/s, that more ason second cally that more	5		person doing the investigation, whoever it was assigned	5		concern, being an investigator and detective, is that
a       A. Prior to an arrest being made in this case, that is true.       a       at this location. That would be the concerv.         9       O. Correct. Iwant to alk all title more broadly about tip       p         11       public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that       p         12       public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that       p         13       leads that aren't going to get a certain number of tips that are bad       p         14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       p         15       hat instand bad to any high p       p         16       to investigate then to some extent to rule them out.       p         16       or any subtact that to some extent to rule them out.       p         16       or any subtact that to some extent to rule them out.       p         17       correct?       p       p         18       A. That is correct.       p       p         19       O. As police officers, are you trained based on those       p       p         14       A. That is correct.       p       p       p         16       ow subtact to follow up on specifically that       p       p       p         16       white SUV convelore?       p       p       p       p <th>6</th> <th></th> <th>to, found some information one way or the other to</th> <th>6</th> <th></th> <th>perhaps the vehicle we were looking for, which belongs to</th>	6		to, found some information one way or the other to	6		perhaps the vehicle we were looking for, which belongs to
9     Q. Correct. I want to talk a little more broadly about tip     9     There are neighbors. There are people that are       10     publics satisticner, as polic officer, do vul know that     1     satisficer, as polic action and vul ask for the       12     publics satisticner, as polic officer, do vul know that     1     satisficer, as polic action and vul ask for the       13     publics satisticner, as polic action and vul ask for the     12     the read?" The answer to that was no. 1 - when I went       14     A. we expect a number of tips that are to northing.     12     the read?" The answer to that was no. 1 - when I went       16     Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have     14     the nocould assume that a welicke could be       15     Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have     14     the nocould assume that a welicke could be       16     to investigate them to some extent to rule them out,     16     front of that entry door, it was a did five. There was a first it intervider, it was an old five. There was a first it intervider, it was an old five. The asset at all,       17     correct?     12     There are neighbors, there was nothing that appared       18     A. That is correct.     18     been there for some time. It was if its not not sate at all,       19     Q. The only tip i wanted to follow up on specifically that     24     the start intervider, which is right next to the house, was glass, and it was visible to the sat	7		eliminate or confirm the subject?	7		Mr. Kelsey who lives down the road, had been disassembled
10       lines: When you go to the media and you ask for the       10       around 3515 that 1 interviewed, that 1 talked to, that 1         11       public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that       11       said, "Did you see anybody diseasembling a vehicle across         12       you're going to get a cet around as to that the add to nothing.       14       that aren't going to lead to anything?         13       leads that aren't going to lead to anything?       13       to knock on the door at 3515, there is a garage there         14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       14       that one could assume that a which could be         15       0. And you understand that even when you got those, you have       15       disasembled in a tradition of that entry door. In that are could assume that a which could be         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out.       16       front of that entry door. In that and the one are any thick sing that to the formation time. It wasn't fresh.         17       to subtantial and which ones are any thick sing them to the       10       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all, a actually, leading into the forat of the garage. And, for theremore, the garage door, which is right next to the         18       which to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you abour was the front end of the       124       126<	8	A.	Prior to an arrest being made in this case, that is true.	8		at this location. That would be the concern.
11       public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that       11       said, "Did you see anybody disassembling a vehicle across         12       you're going to get a certain number of tips that are bad       12       the road?" The answer to that was no. 1 – when I went         14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       14       that one could assume that a vehicle could be         15       Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have       15       disassembled in. That garage has an entry door. In         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out.       16       from of that entry door, it was a diff dire. It had         18       A. That is correct.       18       been there for some time. It was an old fine. It had         19       Q. At polic officers, any you trained based on those       20       actually, leading into the front of the garage. And,         21       substantial and which ones are just people who say 'I saw       21       furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the         22       a white SUV somewhere?       22       bouse, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         23       to only up vanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         24       N. I did go to that - yes, 1 did.       2       that the vehicle dwas a could fay, indan o reason to believe	9	Q.	Correct. I want to talk a little more broadly about tip	9		There are neighbors. There are people that are
12       you're going to get a certain number of tips that are bad       12       the road?" The answer to that was no. 1- when I went         13       Leads that aren't going to lead to anything?       13       to knock on the door at 351, shere is a garage there         14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       13       to knock on the door at 351, shere is a garage there         15       Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have       14       that encry door, it was a dirt drive. There was a gibe of robble, whether it was an old fire. It had         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out, correct?       17       font of that entry door, it was a dirt drive. There was a gibe of robble, whether it was an old fire. It had         16       substantial and witch ones are just people who say 'l saw       21       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all, actually, leading into the farards, most racks at all, a actually, leading into the garage. And, furthermore, the garage door, which is s'ngith next to the       22         2       a whit's SUV somewhere?       22       house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         23       A. Yes, ir.       23       looking into the garage. And, furthermore, the garage door, which is 'ngith next to the         2       whit's SUV somewhere?       22       lookag at a dir was visible to see into. So         24       Terme was calait there was nothing that appeared       10	10		lines. When you go to the media and you ask for the	10		around 3515 that I interviewed, that I talked to, that I
13       leads that aren't going to lead to anything?       13       to knock on the door at 3515, there is a garage there         14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       14       that one could assume that a vehicle could be         15       Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have       15       disasembled in. That garage has an entry door. In         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out,       16       front of that entry door, it was a diri drive. There was         17       correct?       17       a pile of rubble, whether it was no old files. It had         18       A. That is correct.       18       There were on fresh tracks, no tracks at all,         20       a vehice. SU somewher?       21       actually, leading into the forot of the garage. And,         21       substantial and which ones are isot people who say 'l saw       21       furthermore, the garage door, which is right nearby.         24       Q. The only tip I vanied to follow up on specifically that       25       Eased off of that, we had no reason to believe         25       Mr. Moley asked you about was the forot end of the       22       bolads say. I had on reason to believe         26       Could you explain how that was eliminated?       1       believe -1 - 1 should say. I had no reason to believe         27       A. I didg to that - yesh. I did. <th>11</th> <th></th> <th>public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that</th> <th>11</th> <th></th> <th>said, "Did you see anybody disassembling a vehicle across</th>	11		public's assistance, as police officers, do you know that	11		said, "Did you see anybody disassembling a vehicle across
14       A. We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.       14       that one could assume that a vehicle could be         15       Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have       15       disassembled in. That garage has an entry door. In         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out,       16       front of that entry door, it was a dirt drive. There was a pile of rubble, whether it was an old file. It had         18       A. That is correct.       18       been there for some time. It wasn't fresh.         19       Q. As police officers, are you trained based on those       19         21       substantial and which ones are just people who say 'I saw       21         22       house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So       looking into the form of the garage. And,         23       A. Yes, sir.       22       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared       to me to be pieces of any white whice.         24       0. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white whice.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       25       Based off of that, we had no reason to believe?         24       . I clan.       128       believe -1 -1 should say, I had no reason to believe         30       Could you explain how that was eliminated?       2       that t	12		you're going to get a certain number of tips that are bad	12		the road?" The answer to that was no. I when I went
15       Q. And you understand that even when you get those, you have       15       disassembled in. That garage has an entry door. In         16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out.       16       front of that entry door. It was a dift drive. There was         17       correct?       17       a pile of rubble, whether it was an old fire. It had         18       A. That is correct.       18       been there for some time. It wasn't fresh.         19       Q. As police officers, are you trained based on those       19       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all, actually, leading into the four of the garage. And, furthermore, the garage, there was nothing that appeared         21       substantial and which ones are just people who say 'I saw       21       furthermore, the garage, there was nothing that appeared         22       M. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared         24       Q. The only tip l wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white whice.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front tend of the       25       Based off of that, we had no reason to believe         26       Looking into the garage, there was a dist drive.       10       believe -1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe         27       A. I did go to that - yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle weither had no the dat	13		leads that aren't going to lead to anything?	13		to knock on the door at 3515, there is a garage there
16       to investigate them to some extent to rule them out,       16       front of that entry door, it was a dirt drive. There was         17       correct?       17       a pile of rubbic, whether it was an old fire. It had         18       A. That is correct.       17       a pile of rubbic, whether it was an old fire. It had         19       O. As police afficers, are you trained based on those       19       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all,         20       substantial and which ones are just people who say 'l saw       20       furthermore, the garage dor, which is right next to the         23       A. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared         24       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         24       124       126       126       126         1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe -1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe         2       A. I did go to that -yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       4       Denail, had been disassembled at that residence, and that         3       I. do't recall which tip number it was. The tip       6       Transported on this flat trailer.	14	A.	We expect a number of tips that lead to nothing.	14		that one could assume that a vehicle could be
17       correct?       17       a pile of rubble, whether it was an old fire. It had         18       A.       That is correct.       18         19       Q.       As police officers, are you trained based on those       19       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all,         19       Q.       As police officers, are you trained based on those       19       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all,         21       substantial and which ones are just people who say 'I saw       21       furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the         22       A. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage. And,         23       A. Yes, sir.       24       trace were in off that, we value to the deal         24       Q. The only tip I vanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pices of any white which.         24       V       10       believe -1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe       10         10       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe -1 - I should say, I had no theen       10         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       3       located at this point in time, which was this white         4       A. I can.       4       Deal, had been disassembled at that residence, and that         6       A. I don't recall which t	15	Q.	And you understand that even when you get those, you have	15		disassembled in. That garage has an entry door. In
18       A. That is correct.       18       been there for some time. It wasn't fresh.         19       Q. As police officers, are you trained based on those       19       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all, actually, leading into the form of the garage. And, furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         21       substantial and which ones are just people who say 'I saw       21       furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         23       A. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared         24       Q. The only tip i wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Wohlcle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe - 1 - I should say, I had no reason to         26       1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe - 1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe         2       A. I clan.       1       believe - 1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe       1         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       1       believe - 1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe         4       A. I can.       5       that was actually the whiche that any observed being         6       A. I can.       6       transported on	16		to investigate them to some extent to rule them out,	16		front of that entry door, it was a dirt drive. There was
19       Q. As police officers, are you trained based on those       19       There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all,         21       investigations to tell, to determine which ones are       20       actually, leading into the front of the garage. And,         21       substantial and which ones are just people who say "I saw       21       furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the         23       A. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared         24       Q. The only tip I vanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       26       Eased off of that, we had no reason to         124       1       beliewe - I - I should say, I had no reason to beliewe       128         2       A. I did go to that - yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Coalead.       5       that was actually the vehicle that was this white         4       A. I can.       4       Denali, had been disassembled that that         5       Q. Co ahead.       6       Transported on that.         6       A. I don't recall which tip number it was. 3515 Moron Road, which       8       A. I closed the ip based on that.         9       happens to be	17		correct?	17		a pile of rubble, whether it was an old fire. It had
20       investigations to tell, to determine which ones are substantial and which ones are just people who say "I saw a white SUV somewhere?       20       actually, leading into the front of the garage. And, furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         23       A. Yes, sir.       23       house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         24       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       25       Based off of that, we had no reason to         24       V       126       126         1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe - I - I should say, I had no reason to believe         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       3       located at this point in time, which was this white         4       A. I can.       4       Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that         5       A. I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip       7       Q. Thank you.         6       A. I don't recall which the information is, is that though it came       8       A. I closed the tip based on that.         9       MR, ROTH Thank you, Mr. Roth.       11       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.         12       in in different forms with d	18	A.	That is correct.	18		been there for some time. It wasn't fresh.
21       substantial and which ones are just people who say "I saw       21       furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the         22       a white SUV somewhere?       22       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared         24       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         26       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         26       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       25       Based off of that, we had no reason to         10       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe -1 - I should Say, I had no reason to believe         2       A. I doit out - yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Co alhead.       5       that was actually the vehicle that was observed being         4       A. I can.       4       Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that         6       A. I closed was a vehicle that was seen       7       Q. Thanky you.         8       leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which       8       A.       I closed the tip based on that.<	19	Q.	As police officers, are you trained based on those	19		There were no fresh tracks, no tracks at all,
22       a white SUV somewhere?       22       house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So         23       A. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared.         24       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       to me to be pieces of any white whicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       25       Based off of that, we had no reason to         1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe -1 - 1 should say, I had no reason to believe         2       A. I did go to that yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       3       located at this point in time, which was this white         4       A. I can.       4       Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that         5       G. Go ahead.       6       transported on this flat trailer.         7       information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen       A. I cloced the tip based on that.         8       A. I cloced the tip based on that.       10       I have nothing further.         11       And the information is, is that though it came       11       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.         12       in in different forms with different, as we heard	20		investigations to tell, to determine which ones are	20		actually, leading into the front of the garage. And,
23       A. Yes, sir.       23       looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.         24       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the 124       24       Issue to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the 124       1       Issue to be pieces of any white vehicle.         24       1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe -1 - I should say, I had no reason to believe         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       1       believe -1 - I should say. I had no reason to believe         4       A. I can.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been located at this point in time, which was this white         6       A. I can.       4       Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that that was a set discussed was a vehicle that was seen       6         6       L and the information is we discussed was a vehicle that was seen       7       Q. Thank you.         10       I have nothing further.       1       1         11       And the information is, is that though it came       11       THE COURT: Thank you.         12       in different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a       13       MR. MORLEY: No, sir.         13	21		substantial and which ones are just people who say "I saw	21		furthermore, the garage door, which is right next to the
24       Q. The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that       24       Low to be pieces of any white vehicle.         25       Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the       126       128         1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe - I - I should say, I had no reason to believe         2       A. I did go to that yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       3       located at this point in time, which was this white         4       A. I can.       5       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         5       Q. Go ahead.       5       that the vehicle that was observed being         6       A. I can.       5       that was actually the vehicle that was observed being         7       Q. Go ahead.       6       A. I clore the ip based on that.         9       happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's       9       MR. ROTH: Thank you.         10       I have nothing further.       11       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.         12       in in different formation is, is that though it came       11       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.         12       In in different formation we can call it a parted vehicle, a       15       you.      <	22		a white SUV somewhere"?	22		house, was glass, and it was visible to see into. So
25       Mr. Moriley asked you about was the front end of the       25       Based off of that, we had no reason to         1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe - I - I should say, I had no reason to believe         2       A. I did go to that - yes, I did.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       3       located at this point in time, which was this white         4       A. I can.       5       that was actually the vehicle that was observed being         6       A. I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip       6       transported on this flat trailer.         7       information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen       7       Q. Thank you.         8       leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which       8       A. I closed the tip based on that.         9       happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's       9       MR. ROTH: Thank you.         10       Thave nothing further.       11       The COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.         12       in in different forms with different, as we heard,       12       Mr. Morley, anything further?         13       different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a       13       MR. MORLEY: No, sir.         14       partial vehicle, a disassembled v	23	A.	Yes, sir.	23		looking into the garage, there was nothing that appeared
124     125       1     vehicle. Did you investigate that?     1     believe - 1 - 1 should say, I had no reason to believe       2     A. I did go to that - yes, I did.     2     that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been       3     Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?     3     located at this point in time, which was this white       4     A. I can.     4     Denail, had been disassembled at that residence, and that       5     Q. Go ahead.     5     than been disassembled at that residence, and that       6     A. I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip     6     transported on this flat trailer.       7     information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen     7     Q. Thank you.       8     leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which     8     A. I closed the tip based on that.       9     happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's     9     MR. ROTH: Thank you.       10     residence.     10     I have nothing further.       11     And the information is, is that though it came     11     THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.       12     in in different forms with different, as we heard,     12     Mr. Morley, anything further?       13     different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a     13     MR. MORLEY: No, sir.       14     partial vehicle, white believed to be	24	Q.	The only tip I wanted to follow up on specifically that	24		to me to be pieces of any white vehicle.
1       vehicle. Did you investigate that?       1       believe -1 - 1 should say, 1 had no reason to believe         2       A. 1 did go to that - yes, 1 did.       2       that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been         3       Q. Could you explain how that was eliminated?       3       located at this point in time, which was this white         4       A. 1 can.       4       Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that         5       Q. Go ahead.       5       that was actually the vehicle that was observed being         6       A. 1 don't recall which tip number it was. The tip       6       transported on this flat trailer.         7       information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen       7       Q. Thank you.         8       leaving, 1 believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which       8       A.       I closed the tip based on that.         9       happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's       9       MR. ROTH: Thank you.       10         10       residence.       10       I have nothing further.       11         11       And the information is, is that though it came       11       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.         12       in in different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a       13       MR. MORLEY: No, sir.         13       different information,	25		Mr. Morley asked you about was the front end of the	25		Based off of that, we had no reason to
2A.I did go to that yes, I did.2that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been3Q.Could you explain how that was eliminated?3located at this point in time, which was this white4A.I can.4Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that5Q.Go ahead.5that was actually the vehicle that was observed being6A.I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip6transported on this flat trailer.7information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen7Q.Thank you.8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness19indicated, it was previously - that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody e			124			126
3Q.Could you explain how that was eliminated?3located at this point in time, which was this white4A.I can.4Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that5Q.Go ahead.5that was actually the vehicle that was observed being6A.I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip6transported on this flat trailer.7information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen7Q.Thank you.8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came1THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.15that a white vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road, as 118stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that ig was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detectiv	1		vehicle. Did you investigate that?	1		believe I I should say, I had no reason to believe
4A.I can.4Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that5Q.Go ahead.5that was actually the vehicle that was observed being6A.I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip6transported on this flat trailer.7information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen7Q.Thank you.8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. RORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective <td< th=""><th>2</th><th>A.</th><th>l did go to that yes, l did.</th><th>2</th><th></th><th>that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been</th></td<>	2	A.	l did go to that yes, l did.	2		that the vehicle we were looking for that had not been
5Q.Go ahead.5that was actually the vehicle that was observed being6A.I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip6transported on this flat trailer.7information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen7Q.Thank you.8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, adiassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness18When I went 0 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that it p was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was l	3	Q.	Could you explain how that was eliminated?	3		located at this point in time, which was this white
6A.I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip6transported on this flat trailer.7information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen7Q.Thank you.8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the	4	A.	l can.	4		Denali, had been disassembled at that residence, and that
7information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen7Q.Thank you.8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his <tr< th=""><th>5</th><th>Q.</th><th>Go ahead.</th><th>5</th><th></th><th>that was actually the vehicle that was observed being</th></tr<>	5	Q.	Go ahead.	5		that was actually the vehicle that was observed being
8leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which8A.I closed the tip based on that.9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness)18When I went to 3515 Morton Road. as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So	6	A.	I don't recall which tip number it was. The tip	6		transported on this flat trailer.
9happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's9MR. ROTH: Thank you.10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness)18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25	7		information as we discussed was a vehicle that was seen	7	Ç	). Thank you.
10residence.10I have nothing further.11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness)18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness)19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	8		leaving, I believe it was, 3515 Morton Road, which	8	A	. I closed the tip based on that.
11And the information is, is that though it came11THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road.18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	9		happens to be a neighboring house to Mr. Kelsey's	9		MR. ROTH: Thank you.
12in in different forms with different, as we heard,12Mr. Morley, anything further?13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness)18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	10		residence.	10		I have nothing further.
13different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a13MR. MORLEY: No, sir.14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	11		And the information is, is that though it came	11		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.
14partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is14THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when there. Whether it blew out of the door or23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	12		in in different forms with different, as we heard,	12		Mr. Morley, anything further?
15that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a15you.16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	13		different information, we can call it a parted vehicle, a	13		MR. MORLEY: No, sir.
16portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen16THE WITNESS: Thank you.17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	14		partial vehicle, a disassembled vehicle, the tip was is	14		THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. Thank
17leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.17(At 2:08 p.m., the witness18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	15		that a white vehicle, white believed to be SUV vehicle, a	15		you.
18When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I18stepped down from the witness19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	16		portion of this vehicle or not an entire vehicle was seen	16		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19indicated, it was previously that tip was previously19stand.)20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	17		leaving on a trailer from 3515 Morton Road.	17		(At 2:08 p.m., the witness
20assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective20THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	18		When I went to 3515 Morton Road, as I	18		stepped down from the witness
21Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door21MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	19		indicated, it was previously that tip was previously	19		stand.)
22when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or22saying that right, please.23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	20		assigned to somebody else. It was assigned to Detective	20		THE COURT: Mr. Morley, further witnesses?
23whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on23THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	21		Peterson whose card was laying on the ground at the door	21		MR. MORLEY: Call Trooper Rochefort, if I'm
24the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been24the media is not to film this witness or to report his25there prior to me.25name.	22		when we went there. Whether it blew out of the door or	22		saying that right, please.
25 there prior to me. 25 name.	23		whether the people saw it or not, who knows. It was on	23		THE COURT: I'll indicate for the media that
	24		the ground. So I knew that Detective Peterson had been	24		the media is not to film this witness or to report his
125 127	25		there prior to me.	25		name.
			125			127

1		Diasco raiso your right hand sir. Do you	1		linou ch
1		Please raise your right hand, sir. Do you	1	0	know, sir.
2		swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and	2	Q.	Direct your attention to Tip No. 1 and specifically the
3		nothing but the truth?	3		pages associated with it. Do you have an e-mail
4		TROOPER ROCHEFORT: Yes, sir.	4		associated with Tip No. 1?
5		THE COURT: Could you again state your full	5		Yes, sir.
6		name for the record, please.	6	Q.	That's what I'm referring to and actually the last full
7		THE WITNESS: Trooper Leslie Joseph Rochefort	7		paragraph of that e-mail.
8		III.	8		Okay.
9		THE COURT: Mr. Morley?	9	Q.	It's an e-mail from you to other Michigan State Police
10		LESLIE J. ROCHEFORT III	10		personnel dated December 8th, 2014. And in relevant
11		called by the Defendant at 2:09 p.m., sworn by the Court,	11		part, you received information from the Stockbridge
12		testified:	12		Police Chief Torres that three persons he thinks may
13		DIRECT EXAMINATION	13		be responsible.
14		BY MR. MORLEY:	14		Luke and Tyler were involved in
15	Q.	Troop, you testified previously and to cut ahead a	15		the fight and not sure how David
16		little bit, I'm going to ask you some questions about	16		Porter fits in. Torres
17		tips. That is the prosecutor's book of tips. And I'll	17		Being Chief Torres.
18		tell you right now I'd like to ask you about Tip No. 10.	18		was convinced Tyler drives a
19		If you want to flip to that and take a look at that and	19		white SUV so I checked the Heeney
20		let me know when you're ready, please.	20		address and was unable to locate.
21	A.	I'm ready.	21		Is that accurate?
22	Q.	Tip No. 10 on December 8th was assigned to you, and it	22	A.	That is, looks like, the last paragraph.
23		indicates that:	23	Q.	Last full paragraph?
24		Retired Detective Ballentine from	24	A.	Last full, yes.
25		Ingham County Sheriff's	25	Q.	Any reason to disagree with that?
		128		-	130
1		Department advised he has a	1	A.	No. I wrote it.
1		Department advised he has a friend in Stockbridge who knows	1	_ `	<b>No. I wrote it.</b> Did you investigate any of that?
2		friend in Stockbridge who knows	2	Q.	Did you investigate any of that?
2 3		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The	2 3	Q. A.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes.
2		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke	2 3 4	Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the
2 3 4 5		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as	2 3 4 5	Q. A.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you
2 3 4 5 6		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional	2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready?
2 3 4 5 6 7		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<pre>friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown or Luke Bramlett previously?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard? Do you know where Davy Bettelon lives?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown or Luke Bramlett previously? Before December 8th, I could not tell you right now if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard? Do you know where Davy Bettelon lives? I believe it was a Morton Road address.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown or Luke Bramlett previously? Before December 8th, I could not tell you right now if I heard them before the exact time this tip came over or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard? Do you know where Davy Bettelon lives? I believe it was a Morton Road address. 3500 Block of Morton Road?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown or Luke Bramlett previously? Before December 8th, I could not tell you right now if I heard them before the exact time this tip came over or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard? Do you know where Davy Bettelon lives? I believe it was a Morton Road address. 3500 Block of Morton Road? I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<pre>friend in Stockbridge who knows everyone in Stockbridge. The names Tyler Brown and Luke Bramlett were mentioned as possible suspects. No additional info received that supports the name given as possible suspects. Interview both suspects. Interview both suspects. Is that accurate? Yes. Did you conduct a further investigation into those two names? I did. That was not the first time you heard either of those names, though, is it? I don't recall. You don't recall whether you'd heard the name Tyler Brown or Luke Bramlett previously? Before December 8th, I could not tell you right now if I heard them before the exact time this tip came over or not. I mean</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Did you investigate any of that? Yes. Tip No. 32 and we have may have addressed this the other day, but I have a different question. Are you ready? I'm here. This is a December 9th looks like it was assigned to you on or about December 9th referencing you: Interviewed Luke Bramlett, who identified Billy Porter (William) from Gregory, Michigan. Porter is a half brother of Davy Crockett Bettelon, who was beat up in a bar. Photograph vehicle. Is that accurate? Yes. So on December 8th disregard? Do you know where Davy Bettelon lives? I believe it was a Morton Road address. 3500 Block of Morton Road? I don't know. Direct your attention to Tip No. 35, please.

1       Caller advised jeff Shepherd,       1       that several people, including a Christina and Luke         2       white male, thirties, owns a       2       Royal, entered the SUV, right?         3       white SUV. Lives on Brogan.       4       Caller stated Shepherd       5         4       Known to hang out at Back Street       4       Q.       Direct your strike that.         5       bar. Caller stated Shepherd       5       MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Troop. The would run from police. He also         6       would run from police. He also       6       you.         7       has       8       Mr. Roth?         9       Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A.       Looks like I did.       14       Q.       (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q.       Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address v <th></th>	
3       white SUV. Lives on Brogan.       3       A.       Entered, yes, the SUV.         4       Known to hang out at Back Street       4       Q.       Direct your strike that.         5       bar. Caller stated Shepherd       5       MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Troop. The you.         6       would run from police. He also       6       you.         7       has       8       Mr. RoTH:         8       Excuse me.       9       MR. NORLEY: That's all I have, Troop. The you.         9       Also owned Wainut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it         17       and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?       11       Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about the address v         18<	ank
4       Known to hang out at Back Street       4       Q.       Direct your strike that.         5       bar, Caller stated Shepherd       5       MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Troop. The you.         7       has       7       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.         8       Excuse me.       8       Mr. Roth?         9       Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.         20       Closed.       20       What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.         22       A. Yes.       23	ank
5     bar. Caller stated Shepherd     5     MR. MORLEY: That's all I have, Troop. The would run from police. He also       6     would run from police. He also     6     you.       7     has -     7     THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.       8     Excuse me.     8     Mr. Roth?       9     Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home     9     MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness.       10     on Oakley Road. Interview bar     10     Your Honor.       11     tender and owner of bar to     11     THE COURT: You may.       12     confirm info.     12     CROSS-EXAMINATION       13     Did you investigate that?     13     BY MR. ROTH:       14     A. Looks like I did.     14     Q. (Approaching the witness.)       15     Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears     16     Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it       17     and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?     17     the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh       18     A. That's what 1 wrote.     19     A. Yes, sir.     20       20     Because it says - under that it says:     19     A. Yes, sir.       21     Right?     21     A. 3585.       22     Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?     22     Q. Thank you. Mr. Morley	ank
6       would run from police. He also       6       you.         7       has       7       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.         8       Excuse me.       8       Mr. Roth?         9       Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address sum on sinitially located. You thought it and she was unsure if the was there. Is that accurate?       17       the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says - under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.       20       Q. What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.       22       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.         23       Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigati	diik
7       has       7       THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.         8       Excuse me.       8       Mr. Roth?         9       Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address of morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A. That's what I wrote.       18       memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says - under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.         21       Right?       21       A       3585.         22       A. Yes.       22       Q. What number is that?         23       Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?       23       Yes.         24       Investigate each one?       24       investigate each one? <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
8       Excuse me.       8       Mr. Roth?         9       Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it         17       and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?       17       the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A. That's what I wrote.       18       memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.         20       Closed.       20       Walt number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.         22       A. Yes.       12       12         3       So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?       23       14       A based on physica	
9       Also owned Walnut Ridge Care Home       9       MR. ROTH: May I approach the witness,         10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address of to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,         16       to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it         17       and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?       17       the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A. That's what I wrote.       18       memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says - under that it says:       20       Q. What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.         22       A. Yes.       22       Q. Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number         23       Q. So that was the sum and substance of your inve	
10       on Oakley Road. Interview bar       10       Your Honor.         11       tender and owner of bar to       11       THE COURT: You may.         12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address with a structure of the Back Street,         16       to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A. That's what I wrote.       18       memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says - under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.         20       Closed.       20       Q. What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.         22       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.         23       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.         24       investigate each one?       25       A. Yes.         25       Q. What other it says r	
11tender and owner of bar to11THE COURT: You may.12confirm info.12CROSS-EXAMINATION13Did you investigate that?13BY MR. ROTH:14A. Looks like I did.14Q. (Approaching the witness.)15Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears15Mr. Morley asked you about the address with a distance of your investigation appears16to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,16Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it17and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?17the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh18A. That's what I wrote.18memory as to the exact number?19Q. Because it says under that it says:19A. Yes, sir.20Closed.20Q. What number is that?21Right?21A. 3585.22Q. What other it says right here:2523Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?2324A. Negative.25A. Yes.25Q. What other it says right here:25A. Yes.2627She was unsure if he was there.1Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vidence, were you able to rule out those as possil3Closed.3suspects4A. 15of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there.5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q. Okay.6investigation?7A. Y	
12       confirm info.       12       CROSS-EXAMINATION         13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like 1 did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address with a distance of your investigation appears         16       to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it         17       and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?       17       the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A. That's what I wrote.       18       memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.         20       Closed.       20       Q. What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.         22       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.         23       [''m not going to go through them one by one. Did       investigate each one?         24       A. Negative.       25       A. Yes.         2       She was unsure if he was there.       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the via	
13       Did you investigate that?       13       BY MR. ROTH:         14       A. Looks like I did.       14       Q. (Approaching the witness.)         15       Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address v         16       to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it         17       and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?       17       the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A. That's what I wrote.       18       memory as to the exact number?         19       Q. Because it says under that it says:       19       A. Yes, sir.         20       Closed.       20       Q. What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A. 3585.         22       Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?       23       I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did         24       investigate each one?       25       A. Yes.       13         25       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.       14         2       She was unsure if he was there.       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the viewed wou apost as a suspects         3 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
14A. Looks like I did.14Q. (Approaching the witness.)15Q. Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears15Mr. Morley asked you about the address with the address	
15       Q.       Well, the sum and substance of your investigation appears       15       Mr. Morley asked you about the address v         16       to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,       16       Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it         17       and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?       17       the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh         18       A.       That's what I wrote.       19       Q.       Because it says under that it says:       19       A.       Yes, sir.         20       Closed.       20       Q.       What number is that?         21       Right?       21       A.       3585.         22       A.       Yes.       22       Q.       Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number?         23       Q.       So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?       23       I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did         24       A.       Negative.       25       A.       Yes.         2       So that was the sum surg if he was there.       12       Q.       And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vie         2       She was unsure if he was there.       1       Q.       And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vie         3       Closed.       3 </td <td></td>	
16to be that you contacted the owner of the Back Street,16Davy Bettelon was initially located. You thought it17and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?17the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh18A.That's what I wrote.18memory as to the exact number?19Q.Because it says under that it says:19A.20Closed.20Q.What number is that?21Right?21A.3585.22A.Yes.22Q.3Q.So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?23I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did24A.Negative.24investigate each one?1525Q.What other it says right here:25A.Yes.1213212Contacted owner of Back Street.11Q.And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vie2She was unsure if he was there.11Q.And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vie3Closed.3suspects44A.I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner4A.I5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there.5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q.Okay.6investigation?7A.Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
17and she was unsure if he was there. Is that accurate?17the 3500 Block of Morton Road. Does that refresh18A. That's what I wrote.18memory as to the exact number?19Q. Because it says under that it says:19A. Yes, sir.20Closed.20Q. What number is that?21Right?21A. 3585.22A. Yes.22Q. Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number23Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?23I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did24A. Negative.24investigate each one?1625Q. What other it says right here:25A. Yes.1021Contacted owner of Back Street.1Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the via2She was unsure if he was there.3suspects43Closed.1Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the via3Closed.3suspects4A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner4A. I5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there.5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q. Okay.6investigation?7A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
18A. That's what I wrote.18memory as to the exact number?19Q. Because it says under that it says:19A. Yes, sir.20Closed.20Q. What number is that?21Right?21A. 3585.22A. Yes.22Q. Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number23Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?23I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did24A. Negative.24investigate each one?2525Q. What other it says right here:25A. Yes.1Contacted owner of Back Street.1Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vide3Closed.3suspects4A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner4A. I5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q. Okay.6investigation?7A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	was
19Q.Because it says under that it says:19A.Yes, sir.20Closed.20Q.What number is that?21Right?21A.3585.22A.Yes.22Q.Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number23Q.So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?23I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did24A.Negative.24investigate each one?2325Q.What other it says right here:25A.Yes.1Contacted owner of Back Street.1Q.And based on physical evidence, interviews, the via2She was unsure if he was there.3suspects13Closed.3suspects4A.I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner4A.I5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q.Okay.6investigation?7A.Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	your
20Closed.20Q.What number is that?21Right?21A.3585.22A.Yes.22Q.Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number23Q.So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?23I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did24A.Negative.24investigate each one?2325Q.What other it says right here:25A.Yes.1Contacted owner of Back Street.1Q.And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vie2She was unsure if he was there.3suspects13Closed.3suspects44A.I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner4A.I5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q. Okay.6investigation?7A.Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
21Right?21A.3585.22A. Yes.21A.3585.23Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?22Q.Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number24A. Negative.23I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did24A. Negative.24investigate each one?25Q. What other it says right here:25A.1Contacted owner of Back Street.1Q.2She was unsure if he was there.1Q.3Closed.1Q.4A.I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner35of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."5Q.6Q.Okay.6investigation?7A.My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote7A.7A.My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote7A.	
22       A. Yes.       22       Q. Thank you. Mr. Morley asked you about a number         23       Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?       23       I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did         24       A. Negative.       24       investigate each one?       25         25       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.       10         1       Contacted owner of Back Street.       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the viewidence, were you able to rule out those as possil         3       Closed.       1       Q. Okay.       A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner       4       A. I         5       of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       5       Q in conjunction with the remainder of the         6       Q. Okay.       6       investigation?       7       A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
23       Q. So that was the sum and substance of your investigation?       23       I'm not going to go through them one by one. Did         24       A. Negative.       24       investigate each one?       24         25       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.       25         1       Contacted owner of Back Street.       132       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the views of Back Street.         2       She was unsure if he was there.       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the views of Back Street.         3       Closed.       3       suspects         4       A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner       5       Q in conjunction with the remainder of the         5       Q. Okay.       6       investigation?       7       A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
24       A. Negative.       24       investigate each one?         25       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.         1       Contacted owner of Back Street.       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the view evidence, were you able to rule out those as possile         2       She was unsure if he was there.       2       evidence, were you able to rule out those as possile         3       Closed.       3       suspects         4       A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner       4       A. I         5       of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       5       Q in conjunction with the remainder of the         6       Q. Okay.       6       investigation?       7         7       A. My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote       7       A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	of tips.
25       Q. What other it says right here:       25       A. Yes.         12       132       132         1       Contacted owner of Back Street.       1       Q. And based on physical evidence, interviews, the views,	you
1321321Contacted owner of Back Street.1Q.And based on physical evidence, interviews, the view2She was unsure if he was there.2evidence, were you able to rule out those as possile3Closed.3suspects4A.I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner4A.5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q.Okay.6investigation?7A.My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote7A.Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
1       Contacted owner of Back Street.       1       Q.       And based on physical evidence, interviews, the vidence, interviews, the vidence, were you able to rule out those as possible of the vidence.         2       She was unsure if he was there.       2       evidence, were you able to rule out those as possible of the vidence.         3       Closed.       3       suspects         4       A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       4       A.         5       of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       5       Q.       in conjunction with the remainder of the investigation?         7       A. My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote       7       A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
2       She was unsure if he was there.       2       evidence, were you able to rule out those as possile         3       Closed.       3       suspects         4       A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner       4       A. I         5       of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       5       Q.       in conjunction with the remainder of the         6       Q. Okay.       6       investigation?         7       A. My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote       7       A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	34
3       Closed.       3       suspects         4       A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       4       A. I         5       of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."       5       Q.       in conjunction with the remainder of the investigation?         7       A. My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote       7       A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	leo
<ul> <li>A. I didn't write closed, but I did write "Contacted owner of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote</li> <li>A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.</li> </ul>	ole
5of Back Street. She was unsure if he was there."5Q in conjunction with the remainder of the6Q.Okay.6investigation?7A.My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote7A.Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
6Q.Okay.6investigation?7A.My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote7A.Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
7 A. My penmanship is quite different than whoever wrote 7 A. Yes. It was determined to be unrelated.	
8 closed. 8 MR. ROTH: Thank you.	
9 Q. Direct your attention to Tip 86, please. 9 Nothing further.	
10A. Okay.10THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.	
11Q.It appears this one, albeit undated, is prepared by you,11Mr. Morley?	
12right?12MR. MORLEY: No, sir. Thank you.	
13A. Yes.13THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
14Q.14You may step down, sir.	
15I was contacted by Allison Cole,15THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
16owner of Back Street bar, who16(At 2:17 p.m., the witness)	
17informed me her bartender had17stepped down from the witness	
18more info from the night of the18stand.)	
19     fight. Angela Falgenhauer, who     19     MR. MORLEY: Approach, Judge?	
20bar tends, stated she saw several20THE COURT: You may.	
21 people from the fight get into a 21 (At 2:18 p.m., an at-the-bench	
22 white SUV. 22 discussion is held off the	
23 <b>Right?</b> 23 <b>record.</b> )	
24 A. Yes. 24 THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, we're	
25 Q. And you subsequently contacted Angela, and she confirmed 25 to have you go back to the jury room with Mr. Adk	2 going
133	

1		(At 2:18 p.m., the jury left the	1		reconstruction, mechanical engineering related.
2		courtroom.)	2		And then in May of 2014, I left Armstrong
3		THE COURT: All right. So we'll be on break.	3		Forensic Engineering, and I'm now with Explico
4		And, Mr. Morley, you can get set up, but, as l	4		Engineering.
5		said, depends on how long it takes me to get through	5	Q.	You indicated or you used the phrase mechanical
6		these other couple matters.	6		engineering, accident reconstructionist. Are those two
7		MR. MORLEY: Understood. Thank you, Judge.	7		interrelated?
8		THE COURT: All right.	8	A.	They go hand in hand. In order to understand accident
9		(At 2:18 p.m., recessed;	9		reconstruction, you need to understand the principles of
10		reconvened at 3:02 p.m.)	10		mechanical engineering, such as physics, dynamics, the
11		THE COURT: Are we ready for the jury?	11		things that are taught in a mechanical engineering
12		MR. ROTH: Yes, Your Honor.	12		program.
13		THE COURT: Mr. Morley, are you ready, sir?	13	Q.	Have you previously testified as an expert as a
14		MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir.	14		mechanical engineering/accident reconstructionist?
15		THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring them in.	15	A.	Yes, I have.
16		(At 3:03 p.m., the jury entered	16	Q.	About how many times, if you know?
17		the courtroom.)	17	A.	I think in court somewhere around 20 times. Maybe just a
18		THE COURT: Please be seated.	18		little under that, about 20 times.
19		Mr. Morley, you may call your next witness,	19	Q.	And you distinguished in court. Have you been retained
20		sir.	20		as an expert but not necessarily the matter hasn't
21		MR. MORLEY: Call Dr. Charles Funk.	21		gone to court or something to that effect?
22		THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.	22	A.	Sure. I've been retained in probably somewhere between
23		Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the	23		500 and 1,000 cases of reconstruction, but only a small
24		whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	24		number of those actually go to trial. So about 20 of
25		DR. FUNK: I do.	25		those.
		136			138
1		THE COURT: Please state your full name for us	1	Q.	Have you ever been offered as an expert and not so
2		and spell your last name, please.	2		qualified or accepted?
3		THE WITNESS: Charles Funk, F-U-N-K.	3	A.	No.
4		THE COURT: Mr. Morley?	4		
					MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, I'd move to qualify
5		CHARLES FUNK	5		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and
5 6		CHARLES FUNK called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court,	5 6		
					Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and
6		called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court,	6		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction.
6 7		called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified:	6 7		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor.
6 7 8 9	0.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION	6 7 8		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is
6 7 8 9 10	Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current	6 7 8 9		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields
6 7 8 9 10 11		called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession?	6 7 8 9 10 11		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	0.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a teaching assistant at the University of Michigan.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as part of their workup in this matter.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a teaching assistant at the University of Michigan. I finished my Ph.D. in 2005, at which time I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as part of their workup in this matter. And when you say workup, Sergeant Avery testified
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a teaching assistant at the University of Michigan. I finished my Ph.D. in 2005, at which time I was employed by an engineering consulting firm called	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as part of their workup in this matter. And when you say workup, Sergeant Avery testified previously. He's the Michigan State Police accident
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a teaching assistant at the University of Michigan. I finished my Ph.D. in 2005, at which time I was employed by an engineering consulting firm called Exponent, which I was there until 2012, at which point I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as part of their workup in this matter. And when you say workup, Sergeant Avery testified previously. He's the Michigan State Police accident reconstructionist. And there were a lot of calculations
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a teaching assistant at the University of Michigan. I finished my Ph.D. in 2005, at which time I was employed by an engineering consulting firm called Exponent, which I was there until 2012, at which point I left and joined a firm called Armstrong Forensic	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Α.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as part of their workup in this matter. And when you say workup, Sergeant Avery testified previously. He's the Michigan State Police accident reconstructionist. And there were a lot of calculations done as part of that accident reconstruction. Was that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	called by the Defendant at 3:05 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, I'd like to ask your what's your current profession? I am a mechanical engineer. What's your educational background? I have a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Drexel University. I have a master's degree and Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the University of Michigan. What is your professional background? I had a bunch of jobs when I was an undergraduate. And subsequent to that I was a research assistant and a teaching assistant at the University of Michigan. I finished my Ph.D. in 2005, at which time I was employed by an engineering consulting firm called Exponent, which I was there until 2012, at which point I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	Dr. Funk as an expert in mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction. THE COURT: Mr. Roth? MR. ROTH: Without objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The witness then is qualified and will be permitted to testify in the fields of mechanical engineering and accident reconstruction as an expert. Go ahead, Mr. Morley. BY MR. MORLEY: Dr. Funk, what materials have you reviewed as it relates to this matter? Sure. I was provided with the scene photographs of the incident, as well as the police reports and police accident reconstruction materials that were provided as part of their workup in this matter. And when you say workup, Sergeant Avery testified previously. He's the Michigan State Police accident reconstructionist. And there were a lot of calculations

			1		
1		that you reviewed?	1		Yes, I have.
2	А.	Yes. He used a program called RECFORMS in order to do	2	Q.	
3	~	those calculations.	3		seen on this screen as well as the videos that you
4	Q.	Apparently common within the industry?	4		referenced?
5	А.	Sure. It's a free program that anybody can download and	5		One of the videos, yes.
6		get access to, yes.	6	Q.	, 5
7	Q.	Did you not get materials that you needed or anything not	7		event, or are they merely illustrative aids for the jury?
8		provided to you that would help you formulate an opinion	8	A.	No. They are not meant to recreate anything having to do
9		in this matter?	9		with this incident. They're purely demonstrative aids.
10	А.	No. I had everything that I needed to provide an opinion	10	Q.	Do they bear a reasonable or a substantial similarity to
11		within a reasonable degree of an engineering certainty.	11		the underlying crash?
12	Q.	And did you go to the scene of the underlying crash?	12	А.	They do. The roadway - we understand the roadway, the
13	A.	Yes, I have.	13		approach that the officer was taking, and the incident
14	Q.	When and why?	14		location. All of those things could be well understood.
15	А.	We went I went in May of this year, and I wanted to	15		MR. MORLEY: Approach the witness, Your Honor?
16		understand what the topography of the road was. I wanted	16		THE COURT: You may.
17		to understand the width of the lanes, the eastbound and	17		MR. MORLEY: Judge, I should have asked
18		the westbound lanes. I wanted to understand if there was	18		beforehand. Am I at F or G?
19		a shoulder. Was it paved? Was it gravel? Was it grass?	19		THE COURT: Oh, where you are? Okay. You have
20		How close were the objects to the roadway that were	20		already marked F. So you are on G.
21		there? Were there any, what I'll call, perturbations or	21		BY MR. MORLEY:
22		things in the roadway that could cause slight	22	Q.	(Approaching the witness.)
23		imperfections while driving down the roadway? Somebody	23		Show you what's been marked as Defendant's
24		may call it pothole perturbation or just a bump in the	24		Proposed G. It's previously been provided to the
25		road or a dip. Those are all examples of perturbations.	25		prosecutor by e-mail.
		140			142
1	Q.	You just said it. Are bumps in the roadway is it	1	A.	Okay.
2		perturbation, P-E-R-T-U-R-B-A-T-I-O-N?	2	Q.	And what is Defendant's G?
3	A.	Perfect.	3	A.	Defendant's G is the printout or hard copy of my Power
4	Q.	Is a bump or bumps in the roadway considered	4		Point presentation, and it appeared toward the end there
5		perturbations?	5		were a couple extra superfluous or extra pieces of paper.
6	A.	Yes.	6	Q.	That's my fault. Good spot. Defendant's H, Proposed H.
7	Q.	And did you take photos of the scene?	7		Ask if you can are you able to tell me what that is?
8	A.	l did.	8	A.	Well, it's labeled inspection site videos that were
9	Q.	Were there any let me step back a little bit. Were	9		conducted by me, but that's just how it's labeled. I
10		there any signs or indications of bumps in the roadway or	10		don't know what is actually on the disk.
11		anything like that?	11	Q.	Okay. Those are the videos that we referenced on you
12	A.	No. On the eastbound approach, there are no signs	12		used a GoPro at the scene, right?
13		indicating a dip in the road that you sometimes would see	13	A.	Correct. I mounted a GoPro to the front windshield of my
14		or that there were any imperfections in the road.	14		vehicle and drove the scene.
15	Q.	And just to be a little bit more specific, and correct me	15	Q.	And are either of these these are meant simply to
16		if I'm wrong, please, you reviewed the accident scene and	16		support or help explain and illustrate your testimony to
17		also from about a half-mile east of the accident scene	17		the jury?
18		check that, west of the accident scene to about a quarter	18	A.	That's correct.
19		mile east to the accident scene. Is that accurate?	19		MR. MORLEY: Your Honor, I move for admission
20	A.	Sure. I drove from the understand from the stop sign	20		of Defendant's G and H.
21		that was located at Brogan Road all the way up past	21		MR. ROTH: Voir dire, Your Honor?
22		just past the incident location.	22		THE COURT: You may.
23	Q.	And you have prepared, not quite a Power Point but a	23		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
24		presentation to assist the jury as an illustrative aid to	24		BY MR. ROTH:
1			1		
25		your expert testimony?	25	Q.	So let's talk first about the GoPro, sir. What kind of

1 vehicle were you driving? 1 mole	l of vehicle but different composition.
2 <b>A. A 2015 Chevy Equinox.</b> 2	And then, finally, there is a video of driving
3 Q. That's obviously very different than the vehicle in 3 a car	r into a wall, which I think poses a 403 problem the
4 question in this case, correct? 4 same	e way that there was an objection to playing audio.
5 A. That's correct. 5	THE WITNESS: There is no video of crashing
6 Q. And what speeds were you driving? 6 into	a wall.
7 A. I don't recall the exact speeds I was going at the time. 7	MR. ROTH: Brian?
8 Q. Above the posted limit? 8	THE WITNESS: That's in the presentation.
9 A. I don't know. It's possible a little over, but I'm not 9	MR. MORLEY: Presentation. It's not a video.
10 sure. 10 It's p	ictures is, I think, what he's saying. I
11 Q. But certainly no where near the speed involved in the 11 under	erstand what you're saying.
12 pursuit, correct? 12	MR. ROTH: (Talking to Mr. Morley off the
13A. No. That's correct, no.13record	rd.)
14 Q. And when we look inside of the presentation here, there 14	So not the video. There is pictures of that
15 is some stuff about there is some government 15 that	item.
16 standards, right? 16	That's my objection.
17 A. Correct. Yeah, there is 17	THE COURT: Mr. Morley, response?
18 Q. As it relates to the make and model of this vehicle? 18	MR. MORLEY: Judge, these are being offered
19 A. As it just relates to the standard of what the government 19 simp	ly as demonstrative aids and only as illustrative
20 runs to certify vehicles sold in the US, yes. 20 aids.	And the case law requires it's generally
21 Q. And that's specifically applied to this make and model of 21 adm	issible if it bears a reasonable or substantial
22 the patrol vehicle, correct? 22 simil	arity to an issue of fact involved at a trial.
23 A. It is within the realm of that generation of Impala, yes. 23	In this case there is no disputing that the
24 Q. All right. That does not specifically speak to an Impala 24 view	was done in May, so it's going to be little bit
25 with what's known as the police package, correct? 25 diffe	rent out there, and it's not at night. But the
144	146
1 A. No. It's meant to purely just be a demonstrative aid to 1 road	way hasn't changed. Nothing has changed. It's not a
2 understand that when they certify these vehicles, they 2 recre	eation. It's just an illustrative aid. So there is
3 run them at a certain speed, and that speed requires a 3 no	there no reason it doesn't come in.
4 certain amount of energy. That's simply all it is. 4	Similarly, the case law on videos, and I'd cite
5 Q. And what's that speed? 5 the C	Court to People v Bulmer, B-U-L-M-E-R, 256 Mich App
6 <b>A. 35 miles per hour.</b> 6 33, a	an '03 decision, referencing specifically videos, as
7 Q. And that's when they run it into a wall, right? 7 a ma	itter of fact:
8 <b>A. Correct.</b> 8	Demonstrative evidence is
9 Q. And obviously an accident at a much greater speed would 9	admissible when it aids the fact
10 be different, correct? 10	finder in reaching a conclusion
11 <b>A. That's correct.</b> 11	on a matter that is material to
12 Q. And with a different vehicle, it would be different, 12	the case. Demonstrative evidence
13 correct? 13	must be relevant and probative.
14 <b>A. Could be.</b> 14	Further, when evidence is offered
15 Q. And with the vehicle with the different package, for 15	not in an effort to recreate an
16 example, a police package, which would alter the makeup 16	event, but as an aid to
17 of the vehicle, it would be different, correct? 17	illustrate an expert's testimony
18 <b>A. It could be.</b> 18	regarding issues related to the
19MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I have no objection to19	event, there need not be an exact
20 most of the presentation. I think the GoPro video would 20	replication of the circumstances
be under 403 or 401 or 402 a relevance issue as it does 21	of the event.
22 not deal with any of the same conditions; different 22	And that cites to the <i>Lopez</i> decision, <i>Lopez v</i>
	eral Motors, 224 Mich App 618, '97 decision, which is
24 And then we look at the government standards at 24 the o	controlling case.
24And then we look at the government standards at24the c25a different speed, a different type of same make and25	

1		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, the People stand with	1		are on the north side or the top portion of your screens.
2		the objection.	2	Q.	And at this point I think you used the word a macro view.
3		THE COURT: The objection is overruled so long	3	-	You have, at least in this presentation, you have not yet
4		as the presentation and I haven't seen the	4		delved into I talked about the calculations from that
5		presentation, but so long as the presentation is as	5		program in Sergeant Avery's report. This is on a more
6		represented; that it is used as a demonstrative or	6		macro 10,000 feet level right here?
7		illustrative assist to the expert's testimony. And so I	7	A.	Correct. This is how I approach a case and how I
8		will allow it on that basis.	8		approach an accident reconstruction. Always, the first
9		(At 3:18 p.m., DXG and DXH are	9		thing you do is gather the physical evidence. And one of
10		received.)	10		the things we can gather is aerial photographs of the
11		MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.	11		image to help us overlay the vehicles as we're going to
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'G)	12		see in a little bit. The vehicles and the physical
13		BY MR. MORLEY:	13		evidence documented by the police helps us to identify
14	Q.	Doctor, with that, could you take us into the	14		them on the roadway.
15	Q.	presentation and tell us tell us what this is; what	15	Q.	And these are scene photographs that were actually,
16		we're about to see.	16	Q.	these were taken by the Michigan State Police, right?
17	A.		17	A.	
	А.	going to look for, basically, the supporting evidence,		А.	show and the series of photographs that I'm going to
18			18		
19		the physical evidence to help me reach the opinions that	19		show are of two tire marks in the roadway. There is
20		I did in this case. So the first thing we want to start	20		one is in red, and one is in blue. And what that means
21		off with is, we want to understand sort of what what	21		is that there were two tire marks that were documented by
22		are the basic things that we know here? We know the date	22		the police and they did a great job documenting those
23		of the loss, the approximate time of the loss, the	23		tire marks as to taking many points along that curve.
24		location. We know the Chevrolet is traveling eastbound	24		And as we move forward once again we can see
25		in a police packaged Chevrolet Impala.	25		that there are that there are those red and blue
		148			150
1		It's a dark road. It's unlit. The roadway is	1		markers in the roadway. And you can also see there that
1 2		It's a dark road. It's unlit. The roadway is unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is	1 2		markers in the roadway. And you can also see there that there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the
		,			
2	Q.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here?	2	Q.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the
2 3	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour.	2 3		there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up.
2 3 4	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here?	2 3 4		there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.
2 3 4 5	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of	2 3 4 5	A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on	2 3 4 5 6	<b>A.</b> Q.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing?
2 3 4 5 6 7	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the</li> <li>- as the roadway is lit up.</li> <li>Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.</li> <li>Sure.</li> <li>What are you referencing?</li> <li>If you look on to I'm sorry.</li> <li>I think you can touch the screen.</li> <li>Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the</li> <li>- as the roadway is lit up.</li> <li>Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.</li> <li>Sure.</li> <li>What are you referencing?</li> <li>If you look on to I'm sorry.</li> <li>I think you can touch the screen.</li> <li>Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the <ul> <li>as the roadway is lit up.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.</li> <li>Sure.</li> <li>What are you referencing?</li> <li>If you look on to I'm sorry.</li> <li>I think you can touch the screen.</li> <li>Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation.</li> <li>Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the <ul> <li>as the roadway is lit up.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.</li> <li>Sure.</li> <li>What are you referencing?</li> <li>If you look on to I'm sorry.</li> <li>I think you can touch the screen.</li> <li>Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	-	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the - as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2. Purely done I could have done it the other way. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up.</li> <li>Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.</li> <li>Sure.</li> <li>What are you referencing?</li> <li>If you look on to I'm sorry.</li> <li>I think you can touch the screen.</li> <li>Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area</li> <li>over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in</li> <li>the roadway that are visible by the external lights that</li> <li>were brought in as part of the investigation.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark</li> <li>is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2.</li> <li>Purely done I could have done it the other way. It</li> <li>doesn't matter.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the - as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2. Purely done I could have done it the other way. It doesn't matter. And these are the tire marks of the police cruiser?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth? Correct. These are just taken right from Google Earth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the - as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2. Purely done I could have done it the other way. It doesn't matter. And these are the tire marks of the police cruiser? Correct. These are the police marks on the tire marks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. Q.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth? Correct. These are just taken right from Google Earth. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2. Purely done I could have done it the other way. It doesn't matter. And these are the tire marks of the police cruiser? Correct. These are the police marks on the tire marks from the police cruiser. And so what we have to do is we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. Q.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth? Correct. These are just taken right from Google Earth. All right. Once again, here we go. This is Dexter Trail, and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the - as the roadway is lit up.</li> <li>Can you show me where that is you said imperfections.</li> <li>Sure.</li> <li>What are you referencing?</li> <li>If you look on to I'm sorry.</li> <li>I think you can touch the screen.</li> <li>Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2.</li> <li>Purely done I could have done it the other way. It doesn't matter.</li> <li>And these are the tire marks of the police cruiser?</li> <li>Correct. These are the police marks on the tire marks from the police cruiser. And so what we have to do is we have to attribute them to what tires are they from. So</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth? Correct. These are just taken right from Google Earth. All right. Once again, here we go. This is Dexter Trail, and it's right in the area of the subject crash. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2. Purely done I could have done it the other way. It doesn't matter. And these are the tire marks of the police cruiser? Correct. These are the police marks on the tire marks from the police cruiser. And so what we have to do is we have to attribute them to what tires are they from. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	unlit and the roadways are dry, and the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. Is this just normal fact finding for you right here? Correct. This is just normal fact finding just sort of bring it all together so we all understand that we're on the same starting point. Then what we want to understand is where did this incident occur. So what we want to do is we get I get Google Earth images. And first I want to show you just sort of a general macroscopic large picture where we're talking about and then sort of zoom in. And you can see there is Dexter Trail going left and right across the screen, and Brogan Road is going sort of north and south. And the red circle that's in there is the area of the subject incident, the crash. And right there I think you said these are just taken from Google Earth? Correct. These are just taken right from Google Earth. All right. Once again, here we go. This is Dexter Trail, and it's right in the area of the subject crash. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	there are some imperfections in the roadway just in the as the roadway is lit up. Can you show me where that is you said imperfections. Sure. What are you referencing? If you look on to I'm sorry. I think you can touch the screen. Oh, can I? Wow. That's pretty neat. Over in this area over here (illustrating), there are some perturbations in the roadway that are visible by the external lights that were brought in as part of the investigation. Okay. There are two tire marks. I labeled them. The tire mark is Tire Mark 1, and the blue flags are Tire Mark 2. Purely done I could have done it the other way. It doesn't matter. And these are the tire marks of the police cruiser? Correct. These are the police marks on the tire marks from the police cruiser. And so what we have to do is we have to attribute them to what tires are they from. So we want to understand how those how this vehicle went off the roadway and then crashed into the trees.

1		this route. And then you can see that the tire marks go	1		MR. MORLEY: No. That's no, and I've been
2		off into the grass grass area that's located there on	2		interjecting, and counsel is right. I'm not trying to
3		the northern part of the road.	3		make it a narrative. However, Judge, there have been
4	Q.	These are still, although in daytime, these are not	4		narratives earlier, and an expert needs to be able to
5		these are photographs taken by Michigan State Police,	5		convey his opinion. I have been trying to make sure that
6		right?	6		it's not a narrative, and I'll keep an eye on it. I
7	A.	That's correct. These were taken obviously in the	7		don't think it's been abused.
8		morning time now versus at nighttime, but they still show	8		THE COURT: All right. The point is well
9		the same the same progression of the tire marks. And	9		taken.
10		you can see even see the tire marks in the roadway and	10		So, Mr. Morley, if you'll
11		sort of the one that's primarily is this blue one	11		MR. MORLEY: I'll try.
12		that's here (illustrating.) You can see that there is a	12		THE COURT: proceed in the appropriate
13		dark mark between those two blue points, and there is a	13		fashion, that will be fine.
14		comparable one for the red, for the red mark, that they	14		MR. MORLEY: I'm trying.
15		documented.	15		BY MR. MORLEY:
16		And, once again, you can see it here. They do	16	Q.	Is there anything more that you think we need to know at
17		go off of the roadway into the grass and then off into	17		this stage regarding yaw marks?
18		the trees. So when we want to understand tire marks, and	18	A.	Not until we get to the actual calculations.
19		they call them tire marks because you have to understand	19	Q.	And now we're going to your collision reconstruction
20		what they are first. There are essentially two main kind	20		steps, which means what?
21		of tire marks. One is a skid mark. So you go out on the	21	A.	These are the steps that you need to take in order to
22		roadway. You slam on your brakes. You leave a nice	22		reach to determine the speed of the police vehicle at
23		black patch on the ground. That's a skid mark. Okay.	23		the point of loss of control.
24		A yaw mark is different; in that, a yaw mark is	24	Q.	This is simply telling what you got to do?
25		a mark that's caused when a vehicle is starting to spin	25	A.	Right. Look at the physical evidence, which is what the
		152			154
1		or rotate. And we can tell the difference based on what	1		police documented at the scene, photographs and the
2		the patterns look like on the tire marks. But in this	2		measurements. What's the right methodology? And from
3		particular case, because they're separated, we can tell	3		that, you get the speed of the Chevy.
4		that they're yaw marks.	4	Q.	What is right or appropriate methodology mean?
5		So in this illustrative example here from the	5	A.	Well, appropriate methodology is, well, you have to
6		Northwestern Traffic Accident Reconstruction book, this	6		figure out based on what you have, what's the right
7		is a vehicle making a right turn. And what happens is	7		equation to use. In this particular case, we're going to
8		that when it's making a right turn, it leaves marks on	8		talk about it, and it's called the critical speed
9		the left side because that's where the weight is getting	9		calculation. We're going to talk about that a little bit
10		transferred. When you make a right turn, you actually	10		more. But in this particular case, that's the best
11		transfer weight to the left side of the vehicle. So the	11		methodology to get the speed of the Chevy.
12		left side.	12	Q.	Is this what we're talking about then with the vehicle
13		In this particular case in our crash, we have a	13		speed?
14		left turn going on. So when we have a left turn going	14	A.	Correct. Not to make this a math lesson here today, but
15		on, you're going to see the marks on the right side.	15		essentially the equation that you need to understand for
16		Okay. That's just the way that's just the way	16		critical speed is when a vehicle is going in a curve, the
17		vehicles operate. It's kind of the same principle if	17		acceleration, A, is related to the this by the speed
18		you're driving down the roadway and you make a right turn	18		squared as well as the radius of the curve.
19		and you have got a bag of groceries sitting immediately	19		And when we combine things, we get
20		to your right, they're going to fall to the left. It's	20		essentially we need to know three terms in order to get
21		the same thing. It's just the way the weight shift is	21		vehicle speed. The first one is gravity. That's a
22		going in the car.	22		constant, so we know that. So there are two others we
23		So the next step is	23		need. One is drag factor, which is essentially friction
24		MR. ROTH: Your Honor, I guess I'm going to	24		between the tires and the road. And we need to
25		object. This is a narrative. There is no questions.	25		understand the radius of the curve. That's those are
		153			155

1		Ale and aligned aligned and a determine	1		Construction to management that an useful Dut in and on to do
1 2	0	the two things that we need to determine.	1 2		So you have to measure that as well. But in order to do
	Q.	So at least right here you're just giving us the formula? That's correct.	3		the calculations that we need to do, all we care about in
3	A.	Go ahead.	4		this particular case is the asphalt, and that's what they did the measurements on.
4	Q.	That's correct. This is just the formula that we're	5		So we position the car on the road so that the
6	А.	going to employ in a second.	6		right front tire and the right rear tire line up with the
7	Q.	F is what; force?	7		measurements that were taken by the police.
8	Q. A.	No. Force is in this particular case, there are two	8	О.	That's what we saw earlier with the red and blue, I
9	А.	Fs. The first one is big F, and that's the pull force.	9	Q.	think, markers?
10		In this particular case there are multiple ways of	10	A.	
11		determining the drag factor or the friction on the road.	11	<b>А.</b> О.	Okay. So this is simply your lines on this diagram
12		In this particular case, the police employed	12	Q.	are simply from what was previously grafted by Michigan
13		what we call a drag sled. Essentially what that is, is	13		State Police, right?
14		you kind of take a tire, you fill it up with concrete,	14	A.	Correct. The two colored lines there, yes.
15		and you know the weight of it, and then you pull it and	15	Q.	Okay.
16		you record the force that's being measured on the on	16	₹. A.	Now, there is also as you can see, there is a white
17		the measurement tool for pulling it.	17		line that is drawn well, we say from the center of
18		So and the relationship between the weight,	18		gravity of the vehicle all along that curve. And what
19		the force being pulled divided by the weight, that gives	19		that is going to do is going to provide us with the
20		you the drag factor.	20		radius. So we calculate the radius of the
21	0.	All of this goes into determine the speed	21	О.	Radius of what, I'm sorry?
22	<u></u> А.	Absolutely.	22	<u>х</u> . А.	
23	Q.	here? Okay. Go ahead.	23		goes from the roadway off toward the grass on the north
24	A.		24		side. That radius of that curve is 1,222 feet.
25		The weight of that drag sled is 48 pounds, and then they	25	Q.	Okay.
		156			, 158
1		pulled it five times. Okay. And each one of those	1	A.	And that's what we calculate.
2		received a different a slightly different ranging from	2	Q.	Any significance to that or just part of the equation?
3		33 pounds up to 39 pounds. So all we do is take	3	A.	That's the main variable. There are two main variables:
4		divide 37, for example, by 48. You get .77. So what I	4		The friction, which we already talked about with the drag
5		did is I calculated at minimum the average and the	5		sled, and now the radius. So now we know the radius, and
6		maximum drag factors for the road.	6		we know the friction. Now we can calculate the speed.
7	Q.	Your photo or police photo?	7		So what we do is we range the speed by varying
8	A.	What this is, this is the aerial photograph that we	8		the drag factor between our minimum and our maximum. And
9		talked about just a second ago that was pulled from	9		what you get is an average speed of 117 miles per hour,
10		Google Earth. And then all of the various white text,	10		and then the range of that speed is 112 to 122 miles per
11		that's all of the measurements that the police documented	11		hour.
12		with their survey device at the scene. And then the	12	Q.	What do you mean by that with a range?
13		red the red and the blue lines on there are the tire	13	A.	Well, as in any accident reconstruction, as you see the
14		marks that we talked about. And then I have superimposed	14		officers took five measurements with the drag sled and
15		a Chevrolet Impala. It's sort of blue on the screen	15		got five slightly different numbers. So in order to do
16		here. I'm going to highlight that for you here for a	16		that, you need to range things. So we take the minimum,
17		second. (Illustrating.)	17		and we take the maximum, and we know it's somewhere
18		That's the Chevrolet Impala. I've highlighted	18		between those numbers. And then we take the average
19		four positions of the Chevrolet Impala on the road.	19		value as well.
20	Q.	Same picture?	20		And when we do that, we get that the speed
21	A.	This is just a little more zoomed in, and I took away all	21		range for this this Chevrolet police vehicle was
22		of the text. And, once again, this is zoomed in a little	22		between 112 and 122 miles per hour at the point of the
23		more, and all we're focused on here and all we care about	23		loss of control that we have documented in the roadway.
24		here is the physical evidence in the roadway. As soon as	24	Q.	And that loss of control was to reference back to a
25		we get into the grass, that has a different drag factor.	25		prior that's right as we started that radius?
		157	1		159

			1		
1	А.	Correct. That's the point located here.	1		the speed of the vehicle was between 112 and 122 miles
2	Q.	Okay.	2		per hour.
3	A.	(Illustrating.)	3	Q.	Is that
4		Like I circled right there.	4	A.	So our
5	Q.	Okay.	5	Q.	I'm sorry, those are the same numbers that your
6	A.	That puts us at about 112 to 122 miles per hour.	6		calculations came up with?
7	Q.	Now, this is our calculations, speed calculations that	7	A.	Absolutely. We got the same result between our
8		you've done based on the Michigan State Police	8		calculation and event data recorder information. So we
9		reconstruction, is there also event data recorder speeds?	9		have a good mesh between the two.
10	A.	Correct. The subject Chevrolet was equipped with an	10	Q.	Does that happen often?
11		event data recorder.	11	A.	Typically you'll get within the range of something, but
12	Q.	Let me interrupt real quick. What's the difference	12		to fall the bound exactly on top of each other, it's a
13		between the event data and the calculations we just went	13		little more uncommon.
14		through?	14	Q.	What did you do next?
15	А.	Well, essentially an event data recorder is information	15	A.	Well, what we wanted to do next is we wanted to
16		that's gathered from the vehicle while it's going down	16		understand, okay. The vehicle is going 117 112 to
17		the roadway. And then what you want to do, is you want	17		122 miles per hour. What does that mean in terms of the
18		to make a comparison between your hand calculation and	18		severity of it going while it's going down the
19		your event data recorder numbers that you have here. And	19		roadway. And one of the things we want to compare it to
20		then you want to make a comparison. Do they line up? Do	20		is when you buy a new car, any car, any car sold in the
21		they make sense. So that way you know if you have a good	21		United States, it has to be certified by the federal
22		mesh between what's being stored in the car's computer	22		government. And what the federal government does is that
23		versus what's being calculated based on the physical	23		they take cars and they crash them into a wall at
24		evidence.	24		35 miles per hour. Okay. And
25	Q.	Okay. So this is you're now calculating the event	25	Q.	Why?
		160			162
1		data recorder speed. Why? To buttress your prior	1	A.	Well, what they want to do is they have dummies in the
2		calculations?	2		car. And they want to make sure if you crash into a wall
3	A.	That's correct. We want to understand what is the event	3		at 35 miles per hour that your that the injuries sort
4		data recorder say? I mean, 112 to 122 miles an hour,	4		of sustained by the dummies in the car are going to be
5		okay, we understand that's the range it's calculated, but	5		low. The last thing you want is to crash into a wall at
6		does the event data recorder agree with that, or is it	6		35 miles an hour and the car just disintegrates into
7		something different? In this particular case, we have	7		nothing.
8		just leading to the point where the vehicle goes out of	8		So what they do is they range it between one
9		control, we have the vehicle speed as recorded here, and	9		and five stars. Five stars being the highest. So any
10		it ranges somewhere here, down around 116, 117 miles per	10		time you see a car commercial now, they say "Received
11		hour. So we know that 117 miles an hour is the speed	11		five star safety rating." Or when you go buy a car, look
12		is speed that the vehicle was going.	12		at the sticker on a brand new car. It has on the right
13	Q.	You then are do you determine the severity of the	13		side of the sticker, it talks about the crash. And it
14		crash? Is that what this is?	14		has roll over, front, and side.
15	A.		15		And they evaluate those three parameters. So
16		the event data recorder.	16		anytime you buy a car and you're looking at it, you'll
17	Q.	Of, what, I'm sorry?	17		see most cars now fall somewhere between four and five
18	A.	The event data recorder information.	18		stars.
19	Q.	Okay.	19	Q.	Did you research that specifically to an '06 Impala?
20	<u></u> .	And so when you look at this, this paper was published	20	<u></u> . А.	
21		back in 1999. It says that vehicle speed in an event	21		found an '06 Impala there, and they ran that vehicle
22		data recorder is accurate to within four percent. So	22	О.	Let me interrupt. I'm sorry. You did that because
23		that means that the speed could be four percent lower or	23	κ.	that's the information that you were provided because
24		four percent higher than that 117 miles per hour. And	24		that was the make and year of the underlying police
25		what that means if you apply four percent is that you get	25		cruiser, right?
		161			163
			1		

1	A.	It's within the range of that.	1	A.	That's correct.
2	Q.	Okay.	2	Q.	Right.
3	A.	What they they don't test a vehicle every year. I	3	A.	, , ,
4		mean, Chevy doesn't put out a brand new Impala every	4		the 117 miles per hour that it's going, now you have 11
5		year. So what they do is they typically will test the	5		times. So that means 11 times more energy that it has to
6		first year of a new vehicle. So if a vehicle is launched	6		dissipate to come from 117 miles an hour down to stop,
7		a new vehicle is launched, they'll crash that car.	7		and that's a lot of energy that has to get rid of.
8		But they don't need to crash it again if nothing changed	8	Q.	Do you then take photographs of the scene?
9		the following year. Unless there is a major structural	9	A.	Correct. So now we wanted to look at the scene
10		change to the vehicle, they won't re-crash it.	10	Q.	Why?
11	Q.	Okay.	11	A.	of the subject incident.
12	A.	So that crash was done at 35 miles per hour, and this is	12	Q.	Why?
13		what the wall so I'm talking about here this is the	13	A.	Well, what you want to understand is you want to
14		wall that I'm referring to. They just crashed the	14		understand the roadway. You want to understand what's
15		vehicle into the wall and after the wall, they document	15		the width of the road? What's what's there on the
16		the damage, and they look at the information from the	16		shoulder? Those kind of things. What's the lane width?
17		dummies.	17		So you want to understand all of those things
18		So why is all that important? Well, that's	18		or are there any imperfections or hills or dips or bumps
19		important because one of the most important things in	19		or anything in the roadway that could cause a problem
20		crash severity is the energy that goes into a crash. And	20		while driving.
21		the energy again, not to put more math in here, but	21	Q.	Did you find anything on the roadway that stood out to
22		energy is essentially one half mass times velocity	22		you?
23		squared. Okay. So we need to know speed, and we need to	23	A.	Sure. This is a photograph here that shows this would
24		know the weight of the object.	24		be the approach. So the officer in this photograph would
25	Q.	Why is energy important?	25		be traveling this way, and I'm standing up near toward
		164			166
1	A.	Well, energy is important because as you see in this	1		the inter you know, the crash location with the trees,
2		equation, the energy is related to the speed squared. So	2		and I'm looking back into the roadway. And you can see
3		that means let's say you're going 20 miles an hour.	3		that there are two dips. One here and one here
4		You're going to have a certain amount of energy. Okay.	4		(illustrating).
5		Now, if you're going 40 miles an hour, now you	5	Q.	And are these these are dips. Are these marked or are
6		have four times the amount of energy. So when you look	6		there signs or anything on there?
7		at things, when you double the speed of a car, right, two	7	A.	No. There are no signs or anything to indicate that
8		squared would be four, so you're going to have four times	8		there are dips or anything in the roadway.
9		as much energy. So if you go three times as fast, you	9	Q.	Okay. What is this?
10		have nine times as much energy. So what you see is that	10	A.	The other thing is that there is there is really no
11		the faster you're going compared to something else, the	11		paved shoulder. You can see that there is the fog line.
12		more energy you have, and the more the more the	12		The white line is called a fog line. And then just to
13		more energy you have to, what we call, dissipate or get	13		the other side of that is gravel and then the grass. So
14		rid of before you stop.	14		there is no paved shoulder or anything like that.
15		Okay. I mean, in order to get rid of all that	15		So once you go from the roadway from the
16		energy to come to a stop, you have to get rid of it all	16		asphalt to the gravel, your friction goes down. So the
17		somehow. So you can hit the brakes. You can run into	17		amount of friction goes down. Well, what happens if
18		something. There's got to be a way to get that energy	18		friction goes down? It's much easier to lose control of
19		out of the car.	19		the vehicle. And if you lose control of the vehicle at a
20		So if we can compare that to the speed limit on	20		very high right rate of speed, it's going to be very bad.
21		this roadway, which is 55 to the government test, that	21	Q.	What are we seeing in this picture, the tape measure
22		means that the that on this roadway, you're traveling	22		across the lane?
23		down the roadway with two and a half times the energy on	23	A.	
24			24		
		the government test.	24		the eastbound shoulder. And this shows that from the
25	Q.	the government test. Because the government test is at 35 miles an hour?	24		center of the yellow dash line here to the center of the

			-		
1		fog line is a little over nine feet. Now, the car is	1		a little bit.
2		about six feet, so you got	2		BY MR. MORLEY:
3	Q.	Six feet wide?	3	Q.	We're on Dexter Trail, right?
4	А.	Six feet wide. So you get maybe a foot and a half on	4	A.	We're on Dexter Trail, yep, heading eastbound.
5		each side if you're traveling exactly in the center of	5	Q.	Heading east?
6		the lane.	6	A.	Yes.
7		Now, if there is something, perturbation or	7	Q.	Okay.
8		something that occurs, you only got a foot and a half	8	A.	There is a little side street here. We're still
9		before you're off into the gravel or off to something	9		traveling eastbound. I'm we're about to go over the
10		else to correct for that. And that's not a lot of space.	10		bumps; one. The other one. There is some rocking of the
11		And what's going to be really key here is that	11		car, and then here's where we have the incident location
12		when you're driving down the road, when you're driving	12		right there.
13		at, like, ten miles an hour and you want to make a left,	13	Q.	Okay. And did you form an opinion as to the cause of
14		a left steer, for example, you have to put a lot of steer	14		this crash?
15		to do that. Now, think when you're driving down the	15	A.	Sure. Based on based on all of the physical evidence
16		freeway at 70 miles an hour and you want to change lanes,	16		we have, consistent with a single vehicle loss of
17		you just have to input a small amount of steering.	17		control, the speed limit is 55 miles per hour. And we
18		So what happens is that the faster you're	18		have a very high energy situation where you have 11 times
19		going, the smaller the amount of the steering you have to	19		more energy than the federal government crash test. So
20		put in. So if something happens where there is a	20		and we have the Chevrolet traveling somewhere between
21		steering input that goes like this or like this	21		112 and 122 miles per hour.
22		(demonstrating) at those speeds, the likelihood of there	22		So we have traveling more than twice the posted
23		being a loss of control event just is amplified.	23		speed limit. That amount of energy, anything goes wrong
24	Q.	And you did drive this, right?	24		could be catastrophic.
25	А.	Yes, I did drive it.	25	Q.	Were these bumps that you referenced, were those a factor
		168			170
1	Q.	Why?	1		in the crash?
2	A.	Well, I wanted to understand what effect what effect	2	A.	These should be seen as a
		- ,		л.	They could have been, yes.
3		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that	3		I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a
3					
		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that	3		I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a
4		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but	3 4		I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that
4 5		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused	3 4 5		I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would
4 5 6		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like	3 4 5 6	Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does
4 5 6 7		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was	3 4 5 6 7	Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all?
4 5 6 7 8		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn,
4 5 6 7 8 9		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it? THE COURT: Sure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I think it was at 82 or 85 miles per hour.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q.	<pre>this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused  that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it? THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. Q. A.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I think it was at 82 or 85 miles per hour. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it? THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (Stepping down from the witness)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I think it was at 82 or 85 miles per hour. Okay. Is that an accurate indication of the speed at the time
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q.	<pre>this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused  that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it? THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (Stepping down from the witness stand.)</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I think it was at 82 or 85 miles per hour. Okay. Is that an accurate indication of the speed at the time of the crash?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q.	<pre>his had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused  that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it? THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (Stepping down from the witness stand.) So this is at the stop sign. Now I'm going</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I think it was at 82 or 85 miles per hour. Okay. Is that an accurate indication of the speed at the time of the crash? No. We call that speedometer slap. So the needle slap,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>A.</b> Q.	this had while just driving down the roadway. And that there were - there were the two dips that went over, but there were also imperfections in the roadway that caused - that caused my car to sort of move side to side, like there were some uneven surfaces. And so as I was driving, I was rocking. And those alone coupled with the fact that you have no shoulder, that alone could also be a reason for caused a loss of control event especially given the speed. You recorded this on a GoPro, I believe, it's called? That's correct. Can you play that for us and tell us what we're seeing, please? Sure. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I go to my computer and play it? THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (Stepping down from the witness stand.) So this is at the stop sign. Now I'm going eastbound crossing over Brogan Road, coming up the hill	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I think you testified earlier well, let me step back a little bit. There was testimony from Sergeant Avery that the front tires of the police cruiser were worn and would need to be replaced soon, or words to that effect. Does that affect the subject vehicle at all? Sure. That could affect yeah. If the tires are worn, then, again, any imperfections, anything like that, that could cause a loss of control event, yes. You reviewed, and I think you referenced it, that the Michigan State Police investigation. Do you have any significant disagreements with their investigation? No. I think we're I think we're in similar similar ranges in terms of speeds. They did a very nice job documenting all of the physical evidence. Sergeant Avery testified, as a quick aside, there was an exhibit. There was a picture of the speedometer. I think it was at 82 or 85 miles per hour. Okay. Is that an accurate indication of the speed at the time of the crash? No. We call that speedometer slap. So the needle slap, so it slaps on to the it sort of gets stuck in a

1		any publications out there that talk about that that is	1	Q.	All right. I want you to think about that vehicle for a
2		an accurate way of determining speed in a crash. It	2		second. You're aware that a vehicle was being pursued,
3		might be one of those things that at the end of the day	3		correct?
4		you do your reconstruction. You find that the	4	A.	That's my understanding.
5		speedometer was stuck at 85. And your reconstruction	5	Q.	All right. So we've got a vehicle testimony in excess of
6		says 85. That's one of the things that you go "Hmm,	6		100 miles per hour. It's an SUV. Testimony that the
7		that's interesting." That's it.	7		driver had been drinking alcohol. Testimony that the
8	Q.	In your experience in your opinion how serious was this	8		lights were out. This is not a trained police officer.
9		crash?	9		If I hadn't said it, front headlights out. What risks
10	A.	This was the most serious crash I think I've ever seen in	10		does that vehicle pose, first of all, to the driver of
11		the 500 to 1,000 crashes I've done.	11		the vehicle?
12	Q.	Why?	12	A.	Which vehicle are you talking about, I'm sorry?
13	A.	Well, we have a high rate of speed. I've never seen a	13	Q.	The suspect excuse me, the vehicle being pursued.
14		crash with a speed at this level. And then the fact that	14		Imagine for a second headlights out, driver has had
15		the vehicle was split into multiple pieces. I've never	15		alcohol, in excess of 100 miles per hour on these same
16		seen a car split into multiple pieces like that.	16		roads. Not a police trained driver.
17		MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Doctor.	17	A.	I don't know. I don't have an opinion to that.
18		That's all I have, Judge.	18	Q.	You couldn't tell me if that poses any risk to the
19		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.	19		driver?
20		Mr. Roth, you may cross-examine.	20	A.	Poses a risk to the driver? Any vehicle traveling that
21		MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.	21		fast poses a risk to the driver, yes.
22		CROSS-EXAMINATION	22	Q.	What about if the driver has been drinking? Does that
23		BY MR. ROTH:	23		risk go up?
24	Q.	Good afternoon, sir.	24	A.	I don't know. I don't have an opinion to that.
25	A.	Good afternoon.	25	Q.	You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving makes
		172			174
1	Q.	My name is Jonathan Roth. I'm from the Ingham County	1		it more dangerous than just driving?
2		Prosecutor's Office. I have a series of questions for	2		MR. MORLEY: Well, I'm going to object, Your
3		you this afternoon. If at any point you don't understand	3		Honor. He's already said he doesn't have an opinion.
4		or need me to rephrase, please let me know. Okay?	4		He's been gualified as a mechanical engineer
5	A.				ne s been quaimeu as a mechanical engineer
	1.	We'll do.	5		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise.
6	<b>Q</b> .	<b>We'll do.</b> All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in	5		
6 7	_				reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise.
	_	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in	6		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the
7	Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?	6 7		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all.
7 8	Q. <b>A.</b>	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and	6 7 8		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b>	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes.	6 7 8 9		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer.
7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?	6 7 8 9 10	Q.	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH:
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b>	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct? Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct? Yes. Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct? Yes. Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct? Yes. Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV? No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct? I was retained, yes. They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct? Yes. And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct? Yes. Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on. More or less
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?</li> <li>I was retained, yes.</li> <li>They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did they produce for you a white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	<pre>reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: 1 don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. 1 don't know the effects of 1 don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. 1 don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on. More or less dangerous than driving with headlights on?</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?</li> <li>I was retained, yes.</li> <li>They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did they produce for you a white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL to study, investigate?</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q.	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on. More or less dangerous than driving with headlights on? If the headlights are off, that's I definitely would
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?</li> <li>I was retained, yes.</li> <li>They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did they produce for you a white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL to study, investigate?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did you look at any of the data that you talked about</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q.	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on? If the headlights are off, that's I definitely would say that's more dangerous, yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?</li> <li>I was retained, yes.</li> <li>They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did they produce for you a white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL to study, investigate?</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on. More or less dangerous than driving with headlights on? If the headlights are off, that's I definitely would say that's more dangerous, yes. And a trained driver, somebody trained in high speed
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?</li> <li>I was retained, yes.</li> <li>They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did they produce for you a white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL to study, investigate?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did you look at any of the data that you talked about here for the police vehicle for a white 2003 GMC Yukon</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on? If the headlights are off, that's I definitely would say that's more dangerous, yes. And a trained driver, somebody trained in high speed pursuit and a not-trained driver; more dangerous, less
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>All right. First of all, you worked for the Defense in this particular case, correct?</li> <li>I was retained, yes.</li> <li>They pay you some money for developing the opinion and coming in and testifying to it, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>And the direction was to focus on the police vehicle, correct?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Did they ask you to do any investigation, calculation as it relates to the vehicle that was being pursued, the white SUV?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did they produce for you a white 2003 GMC Yukon Denali XL to study, investigate?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did you look at any of the data that you talked about here for the police vehicle for a white 2003 GMC Yukon</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	reconstructionist. It's outside his expertise. MR. ROTH: I don't think that's outside of the realm of expertise at all. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You can take the answer. MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ROTH: You don't have an opinion if drinking and driving is more dangerous than simply driving? I'm not a toxicologist. I don't know the effects of I don't understand the effects of alcohol on people. I don't pertain to know anything about that. No, I don't have an opinion to it. You don't. All right. What about headlights being out? Driving at night without the headlights on. More or less dangerous than driving with headlights on? If the headlights are off, that's I definitely would say that's more dangerous, yes. And a trained driver, somebody trained in high speed

			1		
1	A.	Just training?	1		police package that was outfitted to this specific
2	Q.	Yes.	2		vehicle, correct?
3	A.	Or are you talking about training with headlights off?	3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Training and driving a vehicle at high speeds?	4	Q.	Police package, is it something that police vehicles are
5	A.	Someone that's trained at driving at high speeds? Sure.	5		outfitted to make them a little bit safer, correct,
6		They would be more likely to handle something like that.	6		particularly at high speed?
7	Q.	Thank you. So now let's talk about the risk that that	7	A.	I don't exactly know why they are outfitted the way they
8		vehicle poses to other people on the road. I assume,	8		are. But I know that they have, you know, different
9		again, you're going to tell me that you don't know if	9		brakes, tires. Sometimes they have a bumper bar on the
10		this person being having had alcohol in an unspecified	10		front. I don't know all of the reasons for that.
11		amount, you don't know if that poses a risk to other	11	Q.	But they're better? They're certainly not putting worse
12		people on the road?	12		options on the police vehicles, correct?
13	A.	No.	13	A.	No. I wouldn't figure they put worse options on the
14	Q.	You don't know if that makes it any more dangerous to	14		vehicles, no.
15		other people who might be on the road?	15	Q.	All right.
16	A.	No, I don't.	16	A.	I don't know if they are better, though, but they're
17	Q.	Okay. And what about headlights being out? Driving at	17		definitely not worse.
18		night, headlights are out. Does that pose other risks	18	Q.	Now, the calculations that you showed here, what tools
19		for people on the road?	19		did you use to reach these calculations?
20	A.	Sure. If you're driving with the headlights out, that	20	A.	The calculations that I have, what do you mean; tools?
21		does pose a risk, sure.	21	Q.	Did you have a calculator?
22	Q.	Well, what about driving at speeds in excess of 100 miles	22	A.	Sure. I used Excel to do it. Microsoft Excel to do it,
23		per hour?	23		but I could do it on a calculator or my iPhone.
24	A.	Sure. Anybody driving at speeds in excess of 100 miles	24	Q.	Sure. Using a computer?
25		per hour, sure.	25	A.	Sure.
		176			178
1	Q.	And if that vehicle is traveling at excuse me,	1	0	You were able to sit down at a desk in an office and work
	×٠	And it that vehicle is traveling at excuse life,	1	Q.	fou were able to sit down at a desk in an onice and work
2	×۰	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police	2	Q.	on this?
2 3	¥.			Q. A.	
	χ.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police	2		on this?
3		traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it	2 3	A.	on this? Sure.
3 4		traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it?	2 3 4	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? <b>Sure.</b> How much time did it take to process all of this data, to
3 4 5		traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole	2 3 4 5	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? <b>Sure.</b> How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions?
3 4 5 6	А.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time?	2 3 4 5 6	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few
3 4 5 6 7	А.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves	2 3 4 5 6 7	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours.
3 4 5 6 7 8	А.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>A.</b> Q.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	А. Q. А. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope. 100?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication that there was another vehicle involved in this crash?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope. 100? No. Well, it depends on how far back the initial pursuit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication that there was another vehicle involved in this crash? No. It was not a consideration. I was not - you know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope. 100? No. Well, it depends on how far back the initial pursuit was. You didn't give me the speed of the pursuing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication that there was another vehicle involved in this crash? No. It was not a consideration. I was not - you know, that was not a consideration in any of the materials that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope. 100? No. Well, it depends on how far back the initial pursuit was. You didn't give me the speed of the pursuing vehicle, but basically in order depending on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication that there was another vehicle involved in this crash? No. It was not a consideration. I was not you know, that was not a consideration in any of the materials that I reviewed, correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope. 100? No. Well, it depends on how far back the initial pursuit was. You didn't give me the speed of the pursuing vehicle, but basically in order depending on the distance between them initially, somebody would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication that there was another vehicle involved in this crash? No. It was not a consideration. I was not you know, that was not a consideration in any of the materials that I reviewed, correct. This was a one-car motor vehicle crash, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	traveling at in excess of 100 miles per hour and a police vehicle is pursuing it at 85 miles per hour, when will it catch up to it? I'm sorry, so if the vehicle stays above 100 the whole time? Yeah. Sort of a story problem. If the first car leaves in excess of 100 miles per hour and the police vehicle is after it at 85, when does the police vehicle catch up? Sounds like an SAT question, but, yeah, sure, it will never catch up. Never, right? Correct. What about 90? When does it catch up? It does not. 95? Nope. 100? No. Well, it depends on how far back the initial pursuit was. You didn't give me the speed of the pursuing vehicle, but basically in order depending on the distance between them initially, somebody would have to go faster in order to catch up to somebody ahead of them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	on this? Sure. How much time did it take to process all of this data, to do the methodology, to each reach your conclusions? To do all of the methodology and conclusions, a few hours. MR. ROTH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth. Mr. Morley, redirect examination, sir? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MORLEY: Doctor, you testified earlier that you received all of the information you needed to formulate an expert opinion, right? That's correct. And in all of your materials, is there any indication that there was another vehicle involved in this crash? No. It was not a consideration. I was notyou know, that was not a consideration in any of the materials that I reviewed, correct. This was a one-car motor vehicle crash, right?

1		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.	1	٨	That's correct, sir.
2		You may step down, sir. Thank you, very much.	2	А. О.	·
3		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	3	Q.	investigation and that determination to the Michigan
4		(At 3:54 p.m., the witness	4		State Police?
5		stepped down from the witness	5	Δ	Yes, sir.
6		stand.)	6	Q.	Why?
7		THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen	7	Q. A.	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8		Well, I should ask Mr. Morley. I shouldn't	8	Π.	chasing that night, sir.
9		assume.	9	0	And given the toll that I'm sure it took on you and your
10		Further proofs, Mr. Morley?	10	Q.	department, was it more appropriate to go with a detached
11		MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir. Call Deputy Hoeksema.	11		third party to do the investigation?
12		THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.	12	Δ	Yes, sir, absolutely.
13		Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the	13		All right. And so that question, is that more
14		whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	14	Q.	appropriate for the investigating body?
14		DEPUTY HOEKSEMA: 1 do.	14	٨	Yes, sir.
16		THE COURT: Please be seated and state your	16	л.	MR. ROTH: I have nothing further.
					THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.
17		full name for us again.	17		
18		THE WITNESS: Deputy Richard, R-I-C-H-A-R-D, Hoeksema, H-O-E-K-S-E-M-A.	18		Mr. Morley, anything further?
19		,	19		MR. MORLEY: No, sir. Thank you.
20		THE COURT: Mr. Morley?	20		THE COURT: You may step down.
21		MR. MORLEY: Approach the witness, Your Honor?	21		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: You may.	22		(At 3:57 p.m., the witness
23		RICHARD HOEKSEMA	23		stepped down from the witness
24		called by the Defendant at 3:55 p.m. sworn by the Court,	24		stand.)
25		testified:	25		THE COURT: Mr. Morley, any further proofs?
		DIRECT EXAMINATION	1		
1		BY MR. MORLEY:	1		MR. MORLEY: One moment, please, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure.
2	0		2		
3	Q.	Deputy, I'm going to show you what's been marked as Defendant's check that Plaintiff's 112 and ask if	3		MR. MORLEY: No, sir. THE COURT: Defense rests.
4		you've seen that.	4		MR. MORLEY: Yes, sir.
5		•	5		THE COURT: Mr. Roth, rebuttal?
6 7	<b>д</b> .	If I've seen this actual photograph, sir? Yes.	7		MR. ROTH: People call Sergeant Allan Avery.
	Q. A.	No, sir, I have not.	8		It won't be but a minute, Your Honor.
8	_				THE COURT: That's fine. Whatever it takes.
9	Q.	Okay. This was admitted. This is a picture of a vehicle	9		
10		at the Dam Site Inn. Is this the vehicle that you were	10		Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
11		trying to catch up to on the early morning hours of December 7th, 2014?	11		whole truth, and nothing but the truth? SERGEANT AVERY: Yes, sir.
12		I don't know.	12		
13	_		13		THE COURT: Please be seated. State your full
14	Q.	Was this the driver of the vehicle that you were trying	14		name for us again.
15		to catch up to in the early morning hours of	15		THE WITNESS: Sergeant Allan Avery, A-L-L-A-N
16		December 7th, 2014?	16		A-V-E-R-Y.
17	А.	No, sir. I don't know.	17		MR. ROTH: I assume, Your Honor, he's still
18		MR. MORLEY: Nothing further.	18		recognized as an expert?
19		Thank you, Deputy.	19		THE COURT: He is.
20		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morley.	20		You have no objection to that, I assume,
21		Mr. Roth?	21		Mr. Morley?
22			22		MR. MORLEY: No objection, Your Honor. Thank
23	~	BY MR. ROTH:	23		YOU.
~ ·	Q.	To be clear, Deputy, you're not saying no. You simply	24		THE COURT: All right.
24	Q.		- ·		
24 25	×۰	don't know, correct?	25		ALLAN AVERY 183

1		called by the People at 3:58 p.m., sworn by the Court,	1	We're not finished. You're not at the point where you
2		testified:	2	can begin deliberating. So do not discuss the case
3		DIRECT EXAMINATION	3	amongst yourselves. Do not discuss the case with anyone
4		BY MR. ROTH:	4	else.
5	Q.	Do you know the proximate weight difference between a GMC	5	And, once again, I'm sure you're tired of me
6		Yukon Denali and the police vehicle in this case?	6	telling you this, but do not watch, read, or listen to
7	A.	Roughly twice. I would say the Denali is probably twice	7	any media reports or do any investigation or researching
8		as heavy as the police car.	8	of anything about this case while we are in recess.
9	Q.	And how does that weigh into the force of a collision?	9	Have a good weekend.
10	A.	A heavier object would have more energy.	10	(At 4:01 p.m., the jury left the
11	Q.	And, therefore, exponentially more destructive ability in	11	courtroom.)
12		an accident?	12	THE COURT: You can be seated.
13	A.	Yes, sir.	13	The only issue well, first of all, is there
14	Q.	To both its occupant and driver and anybody else it were	14	anything that you want to put on the record, Mr. Roth?
15		to hit?	15	MR. ROTH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
16	A.	Yes, sir.	16	THE COURT: Mr. Morley?
17		MR. ROTH: Nothing further.	17	MR. MORLEY: No, sir. Thank you.
18		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roth.	18	THE COURT: The only issue I want to address is
19		Mr. Morley, any questions, sir?	19	obviously I think we need to address the final jury
20		MR. MORLEY: No questions, Your Honor. Thank	20	instructions, and I will be guided, and we don't need to
21		you.	21	do this all on the record, but just to say that we need
22		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	22	to obviously be prepared for one unified version of those
23		You may step down. Thank you.	23	instructions before we begin closing arguments on Monday
24		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	24	morning.
25		(At 3:59 p.m., the witness	25	So we can talk about logistically how we're
		184		186
1		stepped down from the witness	1	going to do that. Again, unless you want it on the
2		stand.)	2	record, we can go off the record.
3		THE COURT: Mr. Roth, further rebuttal proofs?	3	MR. ROTH: I don't need to do it on the record,
4		MR. ROTH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	4	but I would like it decided today so we can tailor our
5		THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, what we are	5	closings to the Court's ruling.
6		going to do at this point is we are going to recess for	6	THE COURT: That's fine.
7		the day and have you come back on Monday morning. Same	7	Why don't we go off the record right now, and
8		program, other than today we made an exception, but 8:15	8	we can talk about a couple of things that I'm aware of we
9		meet downstairs. Mr. Adkins will bring you up about	9	need to address in the closing instruction, and you can
10		8:30, and then we will move to the next part of this	10	tell me what you are aware of.
11		case, which will be the closing arguments.	11	MR. ROTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
12		Now, you should plan as far as your personal	12	THE COURT: Before we go back, I do want to put
13		schedule, you should plan for the potential that you	13	one more thing on the record. I think it is it was
14		would be here all day on Monday or a good part of the	14	assumed based upon my ruling overruling of the
15		day. It is possible you will be here for quite a period	15	objection as to Defendant's G and H, I think it was
16		of time on Monday. Depends on how long your	16	assumed that it was admitted, although I'm not sure I
17		deliberations take.	17	actually said it on the record that G and H are admitted
18		I have, in addition to the closing arguments, I	18	and received. Just as a matter of housekeeping, that was
19		will present some final instructions to you. So that	19	the result of the ruling.
20		takes a little bit of time. I say assume that you may be	20	MR. MORLEY: Thank you, Judge.
21		deliberating when we reach the lunch hour. And if that	21	THE COURT: Mr. Morley, as I have it marked, I
22		is so, if you are in deliberations, we'll bring lunch	22	believe what was admitted, then, on behalf of the Defense
23		into the jury room for you.	23	were Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, G, and H.
24		In the interim while we are in recess, I again	24	MR. MORLEY: I think that's right, Judge.
25		tell you do not discuss the case amongst yourselves.	25	THE COURT: Okay.
		185		187

1	MR. ROTH: Just to be clear for the record, the
2	demonstrative exhibits would not go back to the jury,
3	would be my understanding.
4	MR. MORLEY: They're admitted. I don't think
5	there is a preclusion of them going to the jury. It's a
6	cautionary instruction issue.
7	MR. ROTH: I will investigate, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. So we'll go off of the
9	record and talk about the jury instructions.
10	(At 4:06 p.m., the matter was
11	concluded for the day.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	188

1	STATE OF MICHIGAN)
2	) SS. COUNTY OF INGHAM)
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	I, Melinda I. Dexter, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
8	188 pages comprise an accurate, true, and complete
9	(Volume 7 of 9) transcript of the proceedings and
10	testimony taken in the case of the <b>People of the</b>
11	State of Michigan versus John C. Kelsey II,
12	Case No. 14-1380-FH, on Friday, June 5, 2015.
13	I further certify that this transcript of the
14	record of the proceedings and testimony truly and
15	correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the
16	respective parties. WITNESS my hand this the
17	twenty-ninth day of November 2015.
18	
19	
20	
21	Melinda I. Dexter, RMR, CSP-4629
22	NCRA Realtime Systems Administrator Official Court Reporter
23	313 West Kalamazoo P.O. Box 40771
24	Lansing, Michigan 48901-7971
25	